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ABSTRACT
Methods of increasing the resistance of plants, in particular soybeans, to nematodes, in particular soybean cyst nematodes, are provided herein. The methods include increasing the expression of Glyma18g02580, Glyma18g02590 and/or Glyma18g2610 in cells of a plant and in particular in root cells of a plant to increase the resistance of the plant and plant cells to nematodes. The methods include increasing the expression using constitutive promoters or by increasing the copy number of the polynucleotides. Constructs for expressing these polypeptides, transgenic cells, transgenic plants and methods of generating the same are also provided. Methods of screening plant cells for resistance or susceptibility to nematodes are also provided.
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FIG. 2 Silencing strategy: artificial miRNAs
Replace the miR319a sequence with amiRNA sequence targeting gene of interest, under control of a strong soybean promoter
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## RHG1 MEDIATED RESISTANCE TO SOYBEAN CYST NEMATODE

## CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This patent application claims the benefit of priority of U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/646,017, filed May 11, 2012 and U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/676,854, filed Jul. 27, 2012, which are both incorporated herein by reference in their entirety.

## REFERENCE TO SEQUENCE LISTING

This application includes an electronically submitted Sequence Listing in .txt format. The .txt file contains a sequence listing entitled "2020.11.09 15-674-US_Sequence_ Listing_ST25.txt" created on Nov. 9, 2020, and is 44.6 kilobytes in size. The Sequence Listing contained in this .txt file is part of the specification and is hereby incorporated by reference herein in its entirety.

## STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH

This invention was made with government support under grant numbers 06 -CRHF-0-6055 and 10-CRHF-0-6055 awarded by USDA/NIFA. The government has certain rights in the invention.

## BACKGROUND

Soybean cyst nematode ( SCN ) is currently the most economically damaging disease for United States soybean production in most years. Estimates suggest that SCN accounts for over $\$ 700$ million in reduced soybean production in the United States annually. SCN also seriously impacts soybean production in other countries such as Brazil, Argentina and China. Soybean varieties with increased resistance to SCN have been identified, but resistance is quantitative and efficacy varies depending on nematode genotypes, hence use of the more resistant varieties still can result in soybean yield loss due to SCN.

The genetic basis for resistance to SCN has been partially defined, to the level of genetic loci, and appropriate sources of the soybean locus Rhg1 make substantial contributions to SCN resistance. Prior to the present work, the specific genes and gene products controlling Rhg1-mediated SCN resistance have not been successfully documented.

## SUMMARY

Methods of increasing resistance of a plant to nematodes, in particular increasing resistance of soybeans to SCN are provided herein. Several gene products from the rhg1-b locus are identified and the relationship of the gene products to resistance to SCN in soybeans is demonstrated.

In one aspect, methods of increasing resistance of a plant to nematodes, suitably cyst-forming nematodes, suitably SCN by increasing the expression of or altering the expression pattern or gene copy number of a polynucleotide encoding a Glyma18g02580 polypeptide, a Glyma18g02590 polypeptide, a Glyma18g02610 polypeptide, a polypeptide having $90 \%$ or more identity to SEQ ID NO: 1, SEQ ID NO: 2, SEQ ID NO: 5, SEQ ID NO: 6, or SEQ ID NO: 3, or a homolog or functional variant of any of the aforementioned polypeptides in cells of the plant are provided. Use of
combinations of the polypeptides is envisioned. The polynucleotides encoding these polypeptide sequences may be derived from the Williams 82, PI88788 or Peking (PI 548402) soybean varieties or other sources of the polynucleotides. The poly peptide sequences are provided and the polymorphisms between the sequences in different varieties are noted. Increased expression of the polynucleotides in cells of the plant increases the resistance of the plant to nematodes. Suitably expression is increased in cells of the root of the plant. Suitably expression of at least two of the polynucleotides is increased. Suitably, expression of all three of the polynucleotides is increased.

In another aspect, methods of increasing resistance of a plant to nematodes, suitably cyst-forming nematodes, suitably SCN by altering (increasing or decreasing) the expression in cells in the root of the plant of a polypeptide identical or similar to at least a portion of SEQ ID NO: 1 of Glyma18g02580, SEQ ID NO: 2,5 or 6 of Glyma18g02590 or SEQ ID NO: 3 of Glyma18g02610 relative to the expression in cells in the root of the plant of a polypeptide whose expression can be used as a control, such as Glyma11g35820, are provided. Suitably expression of at least two of the polypeptides is increased. Suitably, expression of all three of the polypeptides is increased. Alternatively or in addition, expression of the polynucleotides encoding the polypeptides of Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590, and/or Glyma18g2580 may be increased as well.

In another aspect, methods of identifying plants that exhibit useful levels of resistance of a plant to nematodes suitably cyst-forming nematodes, suitably SCN by identifying plants that exhibit altered (increased or decreased) expression in cells in the root of the plant of a polypeptide identical or similar to at least a portion of SEQ ID NO: 1 of Glyma18g02580, SEQ ID NO: 2, 5 or 6 of Glyma18g02590 or SEQ ID NO: 3 of Glyma18g02610 relative to the expression in cells in the root of the plant of a polypeptide whose expression can be used as a control, such as Glymallg35820, are provided. Suitably expression of at least two of the polypeptides is at a higher level than in plants that are more susceptible to SCN. Suitably, expression of all three of the polypeptides is at a higher level. Alternatively or in addition, expression of the polynucleotides encoding the polypeptides Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590, and/or Glyma18g2580 may be at a higher level as well.
In yet another aspect, a construct comprising a promoter operably linked to a polynucleotide encoding at least a portion of Glyma18g02580 polypeptide comprising SEQ ID NO: 1, a Glyma18g02590 polypeptide comprising SEQ ID NO: 2,5 or 6 , a Glyma 18 g 02610 polypeptide comprising SEQ ID NO: 3 or a polypeptide having at least $90 \%$ identity to SEQ ID NO: 1, SEQ ID NO: 2, SEQ ID NO: 3, SEQ ID NO: 5, SEQ ID NO: 6 or a homolog or functional portion of any of the aforementioned polypeptides or combinations thereof is provided. The construct may be used to generate transgenic plants or seeds.

In still another aspect, a transgenic plant comprising an exogenous or non-native polynucleotide encoding at least a portion of Glyma18g02580 polypeptide comprising SEQ ID NO: 1, Glyma18g02590 polypeptide comprising SEQ ID NO: 2, 5 or 6 , Glyma 18 g 02600 polypeptide comprising SEQ ID NO: 4, Glyma18g02610 polypeptide comprising SEQ ID NO: 3 or a polypeptide having at least $90 \%$ identity to SEQ ID NO: 1, SEQ ID NO: 2, SEQ ID NO: 3, SEQ ID NO: 4, SEQ ID NO: 5, SEQ ID NO: 6, a homolog or a functional portion of any of the aforementioned polypeptides or com-
binations thereof or the polypeptides described herein from either the PI88788 or Peking-source is provided. The transgenic plant has increased resistance to nematodes, suitably cyst-forming nematodes, suitably SCN. Suitably, the transgenic plant comprises at least one polynucleotide encoding at least two or at least three of the polynucleotides encoding the Glyma18g02580, Glyma18g02590, and Glyma 18 g 02610 polypeptides.

In a further aspect, a transgenic cell comprising a polynucleotide encoding a polypeptide capable of increasing resistance to nematodes, suitably cyst-forming nematodes, suitably SCN is provided. The polypeptide includes at least a portion of a polypeptide having at least $90 \%$ identity to SEQ ID NO: 1, SEQ ID NO: 2, SEQ ID NO: 3 or similar sequences derived from PI88788 (such as SEQ ID NO: 5) or Peking-source (such as SEQ ID NO: 6) or combinations thereof. Suitably, the polynucleotide includes at least two or three of the polypeptides having at least $90 \%$ identity to SEQ ID NO: 1, SEQ ID NO: 2 , SEQ ID NO: 3.

In another aspect, methods of generating a transgenic plant by introducing an exogenous polynucleotide encoding at least a portion of a Glyma 18 g 02580 polypeptide having at least $90 \%$ identity to SEQ ID NO: 1, Glyma18g02590 polypeptide having at least $90 \%$ identity to SEQ ID NO: 2, 5 or 6, or Glyma18g02610 polypeptide having at least $90 \%$ identity to SEQ ID NO: 3 , or homologs or combinations thereof are provided. The transgenic plant has increased expression of Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590, and/or Glyma18g02580 in a cell in a root of the plant. The transgenic plant has increased resistance to nematodes, suitably cyst-forming nematodes, suitably SCN, as compared to a control plant. Suitably, the transgenic plant has increased expression of at least two of the polynucleotides or all three of the polynucleotides encoding the Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590, and/or Glyma18g02580 polypeptides.

In yet a further aspect, methods of identifying molecules that interact with the Rhg1 locus, Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590 and/or Glyma18g02580 RNA transcripts, or the Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590 and/or Glyma18g02580 polypeptide are provided. The methods include detecting molecules capable of binding the Rhg1 locus, Glyma18g02610, Glyma 8 g 02590 or Glyma18g02580 RNA transcripts, or Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590 or Glyma18g02580 polypeptides.

In a still further aspect, methods of identifying the resistance or susceptibility phenotype of a plant to cyst nematodes are provided. The method includes detecting a genetic marker associated with cyst nematode resistance or susceptibility in a first plant cell and comparing the genetic marker in the first plant cell to the genetic marker in a second plant cell with a known resistance or susceptibility phenotype or a control plant cell. The genetic marker may be sequence variations, methylation differences, mRNA expression differences or other differences identified herein. Suitably, the genetic marker is associated with characteristics of the Rhg-1 locus, such as those reported herein. Suitably, the genetic marker is the genomic copy number of at least one of Glyma18g02600, Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590 or Glyma 18 g 02580 . Suitably the plant is a soybean and the nematodes are SCN.

In still a further aspect, methods of increasing resistance of a plant to nematodes comprising expressing a polynucleotide encoding a Glyma18g02610 polypeptide, a Glyma 18 g 02590 polypeptide, or a Glyma 18 g 02580 polypeptide, a polypeptide having $90 \%$ or more identity to SEQ ID NO: 1, SEQ ID NO: 2, SEQ ID NO: 5, SEQ ID NO: 6
or SEQ ID NO: 3, or a homolog or functional variant or combinations of any of the aforementioned polypeptides in a cell. Suitably, the polynucleotide encodes at least two or all three of the Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590 or Glyma18g02580 polypeptides. The polypeptides or a cell encoding the polypeptide may then be applied to the plant, seeds of the plant or to soil in which the seeds may be planted. The application increases the resistance of the plant to nematodes.

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a pictorial depiction of the lifecycle of SCN.
FIG. 2 is a pictorial depiction of one gene silencing strategy that uses artificial microRNA sequences to target a gene of interest.

FIG. 3A-3B show that there are three genes at rhg1-b that contribute to SCN resistance. FIG. 3A is a photograph showing representative SCN -infested roots; root vascular cylinder and nematodes stained with acid fuchsin. Fewer nematodes progress from J 2 to J 3 , J4, adult male or eggfilled adult female (cyst) stages in SCN-resistant roots. FIG. 3 B is a graph showing that SCN development beyond J2 stage in transgenic roots of soybean variety Fayette with the designated gene silenced, relative to Williams 82 (SCNsusceptible) and non-silenced Fayette (SCN-resistant) controls. Mean $\pm$ std. error of mean. *: Fayette (silenced) significantly different from Fayette (not silenced) based on ANOVA $p<0.05$. EV: transformed with empty vector.

FIGS. 4A-4D show a set of graphs showing that nematode development is impacted by level of silencing. FIGS. 4A and 4C show that nematode development on Williams 82 and Fayette roots transformed with empty vector (EV), or Fayette transformed with silencing constructs (2580RNAi or ami2590) was dependent on level of silencing. Transgenic roots with reduced target transcript abundance (+) displayed nematode development similar to Williams 82 (SCN-susceptible), while transgenic roots with non-silenced transcript level (-) had nematode development similar to Fayette (SCN-resistant). FIGS. 4B and 4D show the transcript abundance of target genes in roots from (A) or (C) respectively, measured by qPCR. SKP16 transcript used as reference and normalized to Fayette-EV. The results of FIGS. 4B and 4D were used to place roots in the 'well-silenced' (+) or 'not well-silenced' ( - ) categories shown in FIGS. 4A and 4C. FIGS. 4A and 4 B are Glyma18g02580, FIGS. 4C and 4 D are Glyma18g02610. Bars represent mean $\pm$ std. error of mean.

FIG. 5A-5D show a 31.2 kb repeat that elevates expression of the encoded genes is present in SCN-resistant haplotypes of the Rhg 1 locus. FIG. 5A is a schematic of Rhg1 locus of Williams 82 (top), and five fosmid inserts from rhg 1-b haplotype. DNA sequences of soybean reference genome shown for the two designated locations. Numbers and block icons refer to soybean genes (e.g., Glyma 18 g 02540 ). Fosmids \#3, 4 and 5 carry rhg1-b genome segments that span repeat junctions. FIG. 5B shows the Rhg1 repeat junction sequence from four different sources of SCN resistance (compare to reference genome sequences in (FIG. 5A)), FIG. 5C is a graph showing the number of whole-genome shotgun sequencing reads corresponding to reference genome region shown in green in FIG. 5A was ten-fold greater than for genome regions adjacent to rhg 1-b on chromosome 18 or for Rhg1-homeologous loci on chromosomes 11 and 2. FIG. 5D is a graph showing transcript abundance of genes encoded in the 31 kb repeat region is much greater in roots from SCN-resistant soybean varieties
relative to SCN -susceptible varieties. Mean $\pm$ std. error of mean shown for qPCR ; results for Glyma18g02600 were at limit of detection.

FIG. 6A-6B show Fiber-FISH detection of Rhg 1 copy number variation in widely used soybean lines. FIG. 6A is a schematic showing the two adjacent probes isolated from a single PI88788 (rhg1-b) genomic DNA fosmid clone whose insert spans a repeat junction, generating a 25.2 kb probe (green label) and an adjacent 9.7 kb probe (red label). DNA for green-labeled and red-labeled fiber-FISH probes are shown under the corresponding sequence regions of Williams 82. The 25.2 kb fragment from rhg1-b haplotype used for green probe was a single continuous DNA fragment that spans a repeat junction. FIG. 6B shows a composite of four Fiber-FISH images (four DNA fibers) per genotype, and probe diagram. Alternating pattern of red and green hybridization on single genomic DNA fibers indicates ten and three direct repeat copies of the 31 kb block at Rhg 1 locus of SCN-resistant Fayette (rhg1-b derived from PI 88788) and Peking (PI 548402) respectively, and one copy per Rhgl haplotype SCN -susceptible Williams 82 . White bars $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, which correspond to approximately 32 kb using a 3.21 $\mathrm{kb} / \mu \mathrm{m}$ conversion rate.

FIG. 7A-7E show that multiple SCN-resistant varieties contain the DNA junction indicative of a repeat within the Rhgl locus, and exhibit elevated expression of genes fully encoded within the repeat. FIG. 7A is a schematic of PCR primers used in FIG. 7B (see also FIG. 5). FIG. 7B is a photograph of a gel showing the results of PCR using outward-directed oligonucleotide primers shown in FIG. 7A that match sequences at the outer edges of the 31 kb segment of Rhg1 locus that is repeated in some soybean varieties. $R$ indicates SCN-resistant and S indicates SCN-susceptible soybean variety. For primers 81 and 82 see Table 4. FIG. 7C shows the DNA Sequence from 11 SCN -resistant varieties and reveals identical sequence (SEQ ID NO: 15) for the repeat junction indicating a shared origin. Red bar indicates repeat junction (see also FIG. 5). FIG. 7D is a graph showing the transcript abundance for genes encoded at Rhg 1 (normalized to SKP16), revealing elevated expression of genes fully encoded within the repeats of Rhg1 from PI 88788 or Peking sources, relative to expression of the same genes in SCN-susceptible varieties. Bars represent mean $\pm$ std. error of mean. Glyma 18 g 02600 is expressed below $0.01 \%$ of SKP1 6 (CT>35 cycles). FIG. 7E is an RNA blot analysis for Glyma 18 g 02570 using RNA collected from roots of whole plants of Fayette and Forrest (SCN resistant) and Williams 82 (SCN susceptible). * denotes the band corresponding to the expected transcript size of Glyma18g02570 ( 1.2 kb ). The band at 1.8 kb corresponds to non-specific ribosomal binding. Cultivars Fayette and Forrest (that contain repeats of the 31 kb DNA segment) display the same banding pattern as Williams 82 (that contains a single copy of the 31 kb DNA segment); no alternative transcripts for Glyma18g02570 were detected as a result of the repeated DNA in Fayette and Forrest. RACE PCR from plants carrying rhg1-b confirmed full-length transcripts (with transcript ends as annotated in the reference genome) for Glyma18g02580, -2590 and -2610.

FIG. 8 is a graph showing qPCR for genes in and outside of Rhg1 repeat. RNA collected from roots of 3 individual plants grown in pots, 5 days post emergence. Dark gray bars are estimated to be high copy number lines based on gDNA qPCR and cDNA sequencing. Light grey bars are low copy number containing lines that also require Rhg4 for full resistance.

FIG. 9 contains example gel photographs and a table summarizing many experiments showing that resistant and susceptible cultivars have differential DNA methylation at or adjacent to the genes in the duplicated region, especially in the promoter regions. In McrBC experiments, methylated genomic DNA is cleaved by McrBC, which reduces the abundance of the PCR product, while in HpaII experiments, methylated genomic DNA is not cleaved by HpaII and it is the non-methylated DNA that is cleaved, leading to reduced abundance of the PCR product.

FIG. 10 is a photograph of a Western blot showing that an epitope-tagged version of the Glyma18g02610 protein, produced from an introduced polynucleotide in transgenic roots, is expressed in both Williams 82 and Fayette transgenic roots and the products are similar in size.

FIG. 11 A is a graph showing the quantitative PCR gene expression analysis for genes at the Rhg1 locus in susceptible and resistant roots showing that some of these genes not only are more highly expressed in resistant cultivars (as is also shown in FIG. 11), but also exhibit some upregulation after inoculation with SCN.

FIG. 11B is a graph showing the quantitative PCR gene expression analysis following methyl jasmonate or water treatment, which reveals that Glyma18g02610 is expressed more highly in response to elevated levels of methyl jasmonate.
FIG. $12 \mathrm{~A}-12 \mathrm{H}$ is a set of photographs showing the histochemical staining of promoter-GUS expression in Fayette hairy root with (FIGS. 12B, 12D, 12F and 12H) or without (FIGS. 12A, 12C, 12E, and 12G) nematode inoculation. FIGS. 12A and 12B show Glyma18g02580. FIGS. 12C and 12D show Glyma18g02590. FIGS. 12E and 12F show Glyma 18 g 02610 . FIGS. 12G and $\mathbf{1 2 H}$ show Glyma14g06080.

FIG. 13 provides the nucleotide and amino acid sequences for Glyma18g2590 from the indicated varieties.

FIG. 14 is a computer generated schematic of the threedimensional structure of Glyma18g2590 showing the polymorphisms among the varieties in the structure.
FIG. 15 is a graph showing elevated SCN resistance conferred by simultaneous overexpression of multiple genes rather than overexpression of individual genes from the 31 kb rhg1-b repeat. SCN development beyond J2 stage is reported for transgenic soybean roots (variety Williams 82) overexpressing the designated single genes, or overexpressing all genes encoded within the 31 kb repeat (Glyma18g02580, -2590, -2600 and -2610), relative to Williams 82 (SCN-susceptible) and Fayette (SCN-resistant) controls. Mean $\pm$ std, error of mean for roots transformed with empty vector (EV) or gene overexpression constructs (OX). *: Williams 82-OX significantly different from Williams 82-EV based on ANOVA $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

FIG. $16 \mathrm{~A}-16 \mathrm{~B}$ is a set of graphs showing that expressing the native Fayette Glyma18g02590 allele in Williams 82 does not alter SCN development. FIG. 16A is a graph showing similar nematode development on transgenic roots of Williams 82 expressing empty vector (EV) or Williams 82 expressing the Fayette (rhg1-b-type) allele of Glyma18g02590 under control of Fayette Glyma18g02590 promoter sequences ( $2590_{\text {FayP }}:: 2590_{\text {Fay }}$ ). Williams 82 transformed with either construct allowed a greater proportion of nematodes to advance beyond the J2 stage compared to Fayette-EV. FIG. 16B is a graph showing transcript abundance for Glyma18g02590 in roots from FIG. 16A, measured by qPCR. SKR16 transcript used as reference; data normalized to Williams 82-EV. Bars in FIG. 16A and FIG. 16 B represent mean $\pm$ std. error of mean.

FIG. $\mathbf{1 7}$ is a graph showing that qPCR reveals elevated transcript abundance of the intended genes in roots transformed with the multiple gene simultaneous overexpression construct of FIG. 15, and no significant elevation of PR-1 expression. Transgenic roots carried either the multiple-gene construct (OX) or empty vector (EV). Similar results obtained in second independent experiment with different transgenic events, except PR-1 abundance was more similar (closer to 1.0) between Williams $82-\mathrm{EV}$, Fayette-EV and Williams-OX roots in second experiment. Bars represent mean $\pm$ std. error of mean. Data for Glyma18g02600 are less dependable for Williams-EV and Fayette-EV because their qPCR signal was at the limit of accurate qPCR detection ( $\mathrm{CT}>33$ ).

FIG. 18 is a set of graphs showing that overexpression of Glyma18g2580, Glyma18g2590 and Glyma18g2610 in combination can confer resistance on a susceptible Williams 82 variety.

Table 1 provides the length estimates for the Fiber-FISH hybridization signals.

Table 2 provides the gene copy number of the Phg1 locus in various soybean varieties.

Table 3 provides the amino acid polymorphisms within the 31 sib repeat at rhg $1-\mathrm{b}$.

Table 4 provides the DNA sequences of the oligonucleotide primers used for PCR.

Table 5 provides the amino acid sequences for Glyma18g2580, Glyma18g2590, Glyma18g2600, and Glyma18g2610.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Methods of identifying plants resistant or susceptible to cyst nematodes, such as the soybean cyst nematode (SCN), methods of assessing a plant's level of resistance or susceptibility to SCN, methods of increasing resistance of a plant or plant cells to cyst nematodes and methods of generating transgenic plant materials, including transgenic cells and plants, are provided herein. In addition, constructs including polynucleotides encoding the Rhg1 polypeptides described herein or homologs or variants thereof are provided herein as SEQ ID NO: 1-6. Transgenic plants or transgenic plant cells with increased resistance to cyst nematodes, particularly SCN, carrying a transgene encoding a non-native or exogenous Rhg1 derived polynucleotide encoding the polypeptides of SEQ ID NOs: 1-6 are provided herein. Nontransgenic plants carrying the polypeptides or bred or otherwise engineered to express increased levels of the polypeptides or the polynucleotides encoding the polypeptides are also disclosed.

SCN is caused by the nematode Heterodera glycines. The life cycle of the nematode is shown in FIG. 1. Once afield is infested with this nematode, no economically feasible means of eliminating SCN from that field presently exists. Current management of SCN often focuses on crop rotation and planting SCN-resistant varieties of soybeans to control H. glycines populations across multiple years, as well as use of SCN-resistant and/or SCN-tolerant soybeans to facilitate acceptable yield of the present year's crop. Practitioners have adopted "Race" and "Hg Type" terminologies to describe $H$. glycines populations according to their ability to overcome known sources of plant SCN-resistance. Several races and Hg Types exist and soybean resistance to one type may offer little to no protection against another type of the nematode. In addition, H. glycines are outcrossing organisms for which local populations are genetically heterogeneous (and new nematode genotypes can be introduced),
hence local populations can undergo shifts in race or Hg Type such that previously effective plant SCN resistance can lose efficacy. Thus, the ability to identify which soybeans are resistant to which $H$. glycines nematode populations and the further ability to genetically engineer soybean plants with increased resistance to more than one type of nematode population is needed.

Soybeans with increased resistance to SCN are available and have been used in cross-breeding experiments to generate soybeans that are more resistant to SCN. The soybean rhg 1 locus of Peking was previously identified, mapped to a region of chromosome 18 (formerly known as linkage group G), and a gene at that locus encoding a product carrying leucine-rich repeats and a protein kinase domain (LRRkinase) was hypothesized to account for the increased resistant to SCN. In plants carrying the rhg1-b locus derived from soybean PI88788, SCN still penetrate and initiate feeding, but a high percentage of the syncitia do not persist and undergo the full sequence of nematode development (molting through the J3 and 14 stages to adulthood, sexual fertilization, and female transition to an embryo-filled and environmentally persistent cyst) (Li, Chen et al. 2004), (Colgrove and Niblack 2008). The molecular basis of this partial SCN-resistance is not understood. Despite 50 years of research on SCN, a pathogen causing hundreds of millions of dollars of economic losses in the U.S. annually, there were no confirmed public reports of a cloned soybean SCN resistance gene prior to the priority application.
Further fine genetic mapping of the rhg locus, which is also known as the Rhg 1 locus, or by other more restricted designations such as rhg1-b, was completed in plants carrying the PI88788 source of Rhg1, and new markers associated with the resistance genotype were identified. See Kim, M., D. L. Hyten, A. F. Bent and B. W. Diers, 2010. Fine mapping of the SCN resistance locus rhgl-b from PI 88788. Plant Genome 3:81-89, which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety. PI88788 was chosen because it is the source of resistance in many cross-bred lines currently marketed as resistant to SCN. These markers are tightly linked with resistance or susceptibility to SCN and may be useful to identify or predict whether soybean breeding lines are likely to display a SCN-resistant or SCN-sensitive phenotype. The refined map of the rhg 1-b locus from PI88788 suggested that the LRR-kinase gene that is very close to the rhg1-b locus does not make significant contributions to the SCN resistance phenotype. The study of Melito et al. 2010, which used transgenic roots expressing full-length transcripts or constructs that partially silence the expression of transcripts, also found no evidence to support a role for the rhg1-b-proximal Glyma18g02680 LRR-kinase SCN resistance. Kim et al. demonstrated that the rhg1-b genetic components associated with the SCN resistance/ susceptibility phenotype of PI88788 and its derivatives are located within the chromosomal interval defined by the termini BARCSOYSSR_18_0090 and BARCSOYSSR_ 18_0094. The most recent fine-structure genetic mapping defined an interval for rhg1-b that corresponds to a 67 kb interval carrying 11 predicted genes in the sequenced genome of SCN-susceptible Williams 82 soybean (Kim, Hyten et al. 2010). See FIG. 5.

Here we report the identification and functional testing of multiple genes in the rhg 1-b genetic interval. Within the Rhg1 locus, multiple copies (ten, seven or three copies in the varieties investigated to date) of a chromosome segment encoding four identified genes within the Rhg 1 locus are present in SCN-resistant soybean varieties, while only one copy of this segment is present in the tested SCN -susceptible
varieties that lack Rhg1 alleles derived from the resistant varieties such as PI88788, PI437654 or Peking. See FIGS. 5 and 6. Silencing of any one of three genes within the multi-copy gene block using miRNA leads to increased susceptibility to SCN in transgenic soybean roots. In transgenic roots from a previously SCN -susceptible soybean variety, simultaneous overexpression of three or four of the rhg1-b genes from the multi-copy gene block leads to increased SCN resistance. The genes within this block are expressed at significantly higher levels in the tested SCNresistant soybean varieties. Trans-acting factors in Fayette also are not sufficient to drive the elevated expression of transgenic DNAs sequences carrying these -2 kb of Glyma18g02590 or Glyma18g02610 promoter DNA sequence, when those sequences are integrated at loci other than rhg1-b in Fayette. DNA methylation at multiple sites within the Rhg1 locus is polymorphic between SCN-resistant and SCN-susceptible lines, and this may contribute to the gene expression differences that correlate with SCN resistance. The number of copies of this locus also correlates to the levels of expression of the Glyma18g2580, Glyma18g2590 and Glyma18g2610 polypeptides and mRNAs and to the level of resistance to SCN. Thus gene dosing based on increasing the number of copies of the repeated region of the DNA may be a key factor mediating increased expression of the polypeptides and increased resistance to SCN. Many portions of these findings were reported in Cook, D. E., Lee, T. G., Guo, X., Melito, S., Wang, K., Bayless, A., Wang, J., Hughes, T. J., Willis, D. K., Clemente, T., Diers, B. W., Hudson, M. E. and Bent, A. F. 2012. Copy Number Variation of Multiple Genes at Rhgl Mediates Nematode Resistance in Soybean. Science 338: 1206-1209 and the associated Supporting Online Material (Supplementary materials), which are incorporated herein by reference in their entirety.

The resistance or susceptibility phenotype of a plant can be predicted with valuable accuracy by comparing a genetic marker in the plant to the same genetic marker or selectable marker in a second plant with known resistance or susceptibility phenotype. Thus methods of screening a first plant or plant cell for resistance or susceptibility to cyst nematodes is provided herein. The methods include detecting a genetic or selectable marker associated with cyst nematode resistance or susceptibility to cyst nematodes in the first plant cell and using that marker to predict the resistance or susceptibility of the first plant or plant cell to nematodes. Prediction does not mean a $100 \%$ guarantee of the phenotype regarding resistance or susceptibility of the plant to cyst nematodes. The predicting step may include comparing the marker in the first plant or plant cell to the marker in a second plant or plant cell with a known resistance or susceptibility phenotype. The marker phenotype or genotype of the second cell is predictive of the cyst nematode resistance phenotype in the first cell. The prediction may be used to select resistant soybeans or resistant plant cells for use in generating resistant soybean lines.

A plant includes any portion of the plant including but not limited to a whole, plant, a portion of a plant such as a part of a root, leaf, stem, seed, pod, flower, cell, tissue or plant germplasm or any progeny thereof. Germplasm refers to genetic material from an individual or group of individuals
or a clone derived from a line, cultivar, variety or culture. Soybean plant refers to whole soybean plant or portions thereof including, but not limited to, soybean plant cells, soybean plant protoplasts, soybean plant tissue culture cells or calli. A plant cell refers to cells harvested or derived from any portion of the plant or plant tissue culture cells or calli.

The rhg1 locus is a chromosomal region identified as a region important for resistance to SCN . A locus is a chromosomal region where one or more trait determinants, genes, polymorphic nucleic acids, or markers are located. A quantitative trait locus (QTL) refers to a polymorphic genetic locus where the underlying gene controls a trait that is quantitatively measured and contains at least two alleles that differentially affect expression of a phenotype or genotype in at least one genetic background, with said locus accounting for part but not all of the observed variation in the overall phenotypic trait that is being assessed. A genetic marker is a nucleotide sequence or amino acid sequence that may be used to identify a genetically linked locus, such as a QTL. Examples of genetic markers include, but are not limited to, single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP), simple sequence repeats (SSR; or microsatellite), a restriction enzyme recognition site change, genomic copy number of specific genes or target sequences or other sequence based differences between a susceptible and resistant plant.
Genetic or selectable markers can be detected using a variety of analytic methods, including RFLP, AFLP, sequence analysis, hybridization such as allele specific hybridization analysis, differential PCR or other methods such as those known to those of skill in the art. A list of single nucleotide polymorphisms between resistant and susceptible soybeans in the Rhg1 multi-gene copy region is provided in Table 1. In another embodiment, the marker is the genomic copy number, or an estimate of the genomic copy number, of at least one of the genes or DNA sequences found in the replicated region of the resistant lines. In yet another embodiment the marker is the genomic DNA segment carrying the border between the replicated region at Glyma18g02610 and Glyma18g02570 as shown in FIGS. 5 and 6. Selection methods may also include analysis of traits, phenotype polymorphisms or selectable markers not defined by DNA or RNA sequence differences, such as differences in methylation of a DNA sequence, or polypeptide expression levels or in gene expression levels. As shown in the Examples the soybean SCN resistance Rhg1 locus, in particular the promoter regions of Glyma18g02610, Glyma 18 g 02590 and Glyma 18 g 02580 , was highly methylated in the resistant plants as compared to susceptible plants. Methylation distinctions in and adjacent to these genes, for example in the promoter and upstream regions of the genes, may be used to distinguish between resistant and susceptible lines. In addition, resistant plants had higher mRNA levels for Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590 and Glyma18g02580 than susceptible plants. See FIG. 5. Thus methods of detecting the gene expression levels of any of these genes, for example by monitoring mRNA abundance, may be used in the methods described herein. In another embodiment, the marker may be the protein expression level of at least one of Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590 and Glyma18g02580. Any of these differences may be used as a screen to test whether a plant or plant cell is likely to be resistant or susceptible to nematodes.

TABLE 1

| Amino acid polymorphisms within the 31 kb repeat at rhg1-b. The position of the protein-coding genes was predicted by comparing the fosmid clone sequences to the Glyma1 version of the soybean genome assembly. (L) or (R) indicate either the left or right side of the junction. * indicates an insertion of 1 aa ( 3 bp of DNA sequence) between amino acid position 287 and 288 based on the Williams 82 genome assembly (Glyma1). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gene ID | Amino Acid Position | W82 | \#1 | \#3 | \#4(L) | \#5(L) | \#5(R) |
| 2590 | 203 | Q | K | Q | K | - | K |
| 2590 | 285 | E | Q | E | Q | Q | Q |
| 2590 | 286 | D | H | D | H | H | H |
| 2590 | 287 | D | E | D | E | E | E |
| 2590 | 287-288* | - | A | - | A | A | A |
| 2590 | 288 | L | I | L | I | I | I |

The markers described above are linked to the phenotype of increased resistance to cyst nematodes or alternatively to susceptibility to cyst nematodes. The methods of detecting may comprise amplifying the marker or a portion thereof to produce an amplified product. The presence of the product may be indicative of the marker or the amplified product may be sequenced. The amplified product may also be assessed via differential sensitivity to a restriction endonuclease. The marker may be detected using allele specific hybridization analysis, quantitative PCR, Northern blot analysis, Western blot analysis or another methodology. Methods of detecting or evaluating genetic or phenotypic markers of traits such as those descried herein are available to those of skill in the art, many such methods are provided in the Examples, and it is anticipated that new methods may be developed in the future to detect the Rhg1 polymorphisms described herein. For example, the markers can be used to detect the presence or absence of the multi-copy Rhg1 region during breeding selection processes.

A linked locus describes a situation in which a genetic marker and a trait are closely linked chromosomally such that the genetic marker and the trait do not independently segregate and recombination between the genetic marker and the trait does not occur during meiosis with a high frequency. The genetic marker and the trait may segregate independently, but generally do not. For example, a genetic marker for a trait may only segregate independently from the trait $5 \%$ of the time; suitably only $5 \%, 4 \%, 3 \%, 2 \%, 1 \%$, $0.75 \%, 0.5 \%, 0.25 \%$, or less of the time. Genetic markers with closer linkage to the trait-producing locus will serve as better markers because they segregate independently from the trait less often because the genetic marker is more closely linked to the trait. Genetic markers that directly detect polymorphic nucleotide sites that cause variation in the trait of interest are particularly useful for their accuracy in marker-assisted plant breeding. Thus, the methods of screening provided herein may be used in traditional breeding, recombinant biology or transgenic breeding programs or any hybrid thereof to select or screen for resistant varieties.

In the methods described herein the SCN resistance or susceptibility phenotype of a first soybean is identified by comparing the genetic marker in the first soybean to that in a second soybean with a known resistance phenotype. The second soybean may be known to be resistant to SCN. Thus a first soybean having the same genetic marker as the second soybean is likely to also be resistant to SCN. Resistant soybeans are known in the art and include but are not limited to PI88788, Peking, Hartwig, Fayette, Forrest, LD02-5320, LD02-5025, and LD01-7323 or lines carrying loci that
contributed to or were derived from these cultivars such as those provided in Table 2. In particular, the methods allow identification of soybean plants having increased resistance to Race 3 SCN and other nematode populations, similar to PI88788. Alternatively, the second soybean may be known to be susceptible to SCN. Thus a first soybean having the same genetic marker as the second soybean is likely to be susceptible to SCN. Susceptible soybeans are known in the art and include, but are not limited to, 'Williams 82', Essex, Thorne, Sturdy, LG03-1672, and LG00-3372 or lines carrying loci that contributed to or were derived from one of these cultivars such as those provided in Table 2. In particular, the methods allow identification of soybean plants having susceptibility to SCN similar to that of 'Williams 82 .' Although resistance to SCN is widely observed to be a quantitative trait, the terms susceptibility and resistance as used in the preceding paragraphs refer to qualitative trans, such that identification as a resistant soybean indicates that the soybean is more resistant than the susceptible soybean line to which it is being compared. Likewise, identification of a soybean as a susceptible soybean indicates that the soybean is more sensitive than the resistant soybean line to which it is being compared.

TABLE 2

| Analysis of copy number of the Rhg1 locus in various soybean varieties |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Copy Number Estimate |  |
| Genotype | Chromosome 18 Rhg1) | Chromosome 11 (homolog) |
| LD00-3309 | $9.9 \pm 1.8$ | $0.9 \pm 0.2$ |
| 4J105-3-4 | $9.9 \pm 1.9$ | $1.0 \pm 0.2$ |
| LD02-4485 | $9.8 \pm 2.2$ | $1.0 \pm 0.3$ |
| CL0J095-4-6 | $9.6 \pm 1.5$ | $0.9 \pm 0.2$ |
| LD02-9050 | $9.4 \pm 3.4$ | $1.0 \pm 0.4$ |
| LG05-4292 | $9.4 \pm 1.7$ | $1.0 \pm 0.2$ |
| Maverick | $9.2 \pm 3.3$ | $0.9 \pm 0.3$ |
| LD01-5907 | $2.9 \pm 0.9$ | $1.1 \pm 0.3$ |
| PI427_136 | $1.1 \pm 0.3$ |  |
| PI404_188A | $1.1 \pm 0.3$ |  |
| LG98-1605 | $1.1 \pm 0.4$ |  |
| U03-100612 | $1.1 \pm 0.2$ |  |
| LG90-2550 | $1.1 \pm 0.3$ |  |
| PI398_881 | $1.1 \pm 0.2$ |  |
| PI518_751 | $1.0 \pm 0.3$ |  |
| IA3023 | $1.0 \pm 0.2$ |  |
| PI561_370 | $1.0 \pm 0.2$ |  |
| HS6-3976 | $1.0 \pm 0.3$ |  |
| LG03-2979 | $1.0 \pm 0.2$ |  |
| LG92-1255 | $1.0 \pm 0.3$ |  |
| Prohio | $1.0 \pm 0.2$ |  |
| LG03-3191 | $1.0 \pm 0.2$ |  |
| PI507_681B | $1.0 \pm 0.2$ |  |
| LG05-4464 | $0.9 \pm 0.2$ |  |
| NE3001 | $0.9 \pm 0.3$ |  |

Resistance (or susceptibility) to SCN can be measured in a variety of ways, several of which are known to those of skill in the art. In the examples, soybean roots were experimentally inoculated with SCN and the ability of the nematodes to mature (molt and proceed to developmental stages beyond the J 2 ) on the roots was evaluated as compared to a susceptible and/or resistant control plant. A SCN greenhouse test is also described in the Examples and provides an indication of the number of cysts on a plant and is reported as the female index. Increased resistance to nematodes can also be manifested as a shift in the efficacy of resistance with respect to particular nematode populations or genotypes. Additionally but not exclusively, SCN-susceptible soybeans
grown on SCN-infested fields will have significantly decreased crop yield as compared to a comparable SCNresistant soybean. Improvement of any of these metrics has utility even if all of the above metrics are not altered.

As demonstrated in the Examples a set of three genes found on a tandemly repeated segment of chromosome 18 were identified whose silencing led to increased susceptibility to SCN in a resistant variety. The three genes are found along with a fourth gene, part of a fifth gene, and other DNA sequences in a chromosome segment approximately 31 kb in length that is present in 10 copies in the soybean varieties that carry the rhg1-b allele or haplotype of Rhg that is in widespread commercial use for control of SCN disease of soybean. This Rhg1 chromosome segment is found in at least three copies in all SCN resistant varieties tested to date. Various resistant varieties early three, seven or ten copies and the higher copy number versions of Rhg 1 express higher levels of transcripts for the three genes. Higher copy number versions of Rhg 1 also confer more resistance to SCN on their own (exhibit less reliance on the simultaneous presence of desirable alleles of other SCN resistance QTL such as Rhg4 in order to effective confer SCN resistance, relative to Rhg1 haplotypes with lower Rhg1 repeat copy numbers). In the Examples, over-expression of the three genes in a susceptible variety made roots more resistant to SCN. Methods of increasing resistance of a plant to cyst nematodes by selecting plants carrying genetic markers associated with Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590, and/or Glyma18g02580 alleles that are present within the Rhg1 locus are described. As shown in the Examples, genetic polymorphisms ranging from single nucleotide polymorphisms to gene rearrangements (i.e. gene duplications) and differences in methylation may occur in other Glycine max plant lines and other Glycine species, which may alter the expression or biological impact of one or more genes linked to the Rhgl locus, and careful selection of desirable alleles of particular genes at the Rhgl locus may be desirable to allow selection of plants with increased resistance to SCN.

Methods of increasing resistance of a plant to cyst nematodes, including but not limited to SCN, by increasing the expression of or altering the expression pattern of or increasing the copy number of a polynucleotide encoding the Glyma18g02610 (SEQ ID NO: 3), Glyma18g02590 (SEQ ID NOs: 2, 5 and 6), and/or Glyma18g02580 (SEQ ID NO: 1) polypeptides or functional fragments or variants thereof in cells of the plant are also provided. The polypeptide may be $80 \%, 85 \%, 90 \%, 95 \%, 97 \%, 98 \%, 99 \%$ or $100 \%$ identical to the sequences provided. We have sequenced these genes from both resistant and susceptible varieties and found few polymorphisms within the coding regions and few changes that result in an amino acid change. The Glyma18g2590 polypeptide does have some significant polymorphisms between the resistant and susceptible varieties that appear to be functionally related to SCN resistance as shown in the Examples.

Suitably the expression of the polypeptides encoded by Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590, and/or Glyma18g02580 is increased in a root of the plant. Suitably, the expression of the polypeptides encoded by Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590, and/or Glyma18g02580 is increased in root cells of the plant. The plant is suitably a soybean plant or portions thereof. The polynucleotides may also be transferred into other non-soybean plants, or homologs of these polypeptides or polynucleotides encoding the polypeptides from other plants, or synthetic genes encoding products similar to the polypeptides encoded by Glyma 18 g 02610 , Glyma18g02590, and/or Glyma18g02580 may be overex-
pressed in those plants. Other plants include but are not limited to sugar beets, potatoes, corn, peas, and beans. The overexpression of the genes may increase the resistance of plants from these other species to nematodes and in particular cyst nematodes, such as the soybean cyst nematode Heterodera glycines, the sugar beet cyst nematode Heterodera schacthii, the potato cyst nematodes Globodera pallida and related nematodes that cause similar disease on potato such as Globodera rostochiensis, the corn cyst nematode Heterodera zeae, and the pea cyst nematode Heterodera goettingiana.
The expression of the polynucleotides may be increased by increasing the copy number of the polynucleotide in the plant, in cells of the plant, suitably root cells, or by identifying plants in which this has already occurred. Suitably, the polynucleotide is present in three, seven or even ten copies. Suitably at least two or all three of the polynucleotides encoding the polypeptides or the polypeptides of Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590, and Glyma18g02580 are expressed. Alternatively the expression may be increased using recombinant DNA technology, e.g., by using a strong promoters to drive increased expression of one or more polynucleotides.

In addition, methods of increasing resistance of a plant to cyst nematodes may be achieved by cloning sequences upstream from Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590, and/or Glyma18g02580 from resistant lines into susceptible lines. For these methods, nucleotide sequences having at least $60 \%, 70 \%$ or $80 \%$ identity to nucleotide sequences that flank the protein-coding regions of Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590 or Glyma18g02580 (or sequences having at least $80 \%, 85 \%$, or $90 \%$ identity to those protein-coding regions), said flanking regions including $5^{\prime}$ and $3^{\prime}$ untranslated regions of the mRNA for these genes, and also including any other genomic DNA sequences that extend from the protein coding region of these genes to the protein coding regions of immediately adjacent genes may be used.

The increase in expression of Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590, and/or Glyma 18 g 02580 in the plant may be measured at the level of expression of the mRNA or at the level of expression of the polypeptide encoded by Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590, and/or Glyma 18 g 02580 . The level of expression may be increased relative to the level of expression in a control plant as shown in the Examples. The control plant may be an SCN-susceptible plant or an SCN-resistant plant. For example, a susceptible plant such as 'Williams 82' may be transformed with an expression vector such that the roots of the transformed plants express increased levels of Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590, and/or Glyma18g02580 as compared to an untransformed plant or a plant transformed with a construct that does not change expression of Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590, and/or Glyma18g02580, resulting in increased resistance to nematodes. Alternatively, the control may be a plant partially resistant to nematodes and increased expression of Glyma 18 g 02610 , Glyma 18 g 02590 , and/or Glyma 18 g 02580 may result in increased resistance to nematodes. Alternatively, the plant may be resistant to nematodes and increasing expression of Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590, and/or Glyma18g02580 may result in further increased resistance to nematodes. Alternatively, the plant may be more resistant to certain nematode populations, races, Hg types or strains and less resistant to other nematode populations, races, Hg types or strains, and increasing expression of Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590, and/or

Glyma18g02580 may result in increased resistance to certain of these nematode populations, races, Hg types or strains.

In the Examples, a decrease in expression of Glyma18g023610, Glyma18g02590, and/or Glyma18g02580 is shown to increase the susceptibility of a SCN-resistant soybean to SCN maturation. In addition, roots of the susceptible 'Williams 82 ' soybean are shown to have lower levels of Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590, and/or Glyma 18 g 02580 mRNA as compared to the resistant Fayette line. Because low levels of Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590, and/or Glyma18g02580 mRNA correlate with nematode susceptibility, and increased levels correlate with resistance, and direct towering of Glyma 18 g 02610 , Glyma18g02590, and/or Glyma18g02580 mRNA is causally associated with greater nematode susceptibility of previously resistant tissues, increasing the levels of Glyma18g02610, Glyma 18 g 02590 , and/or Glyma18g02580 in a soybean should in many instances increase the resistance of the soybean to nematodes, in particular SCN. In FIG. 15, increased expression of a combination of Glyma18g02600, Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590, and Glyma18g02580 was shown to increase resistance to SCN of a susceptible line. Increased expression of three genes, Glyma18g02610. Glyma18g02590, and Glyma18g02580 was also shown to increase resistance of an SCN susceptible variety in FIG. 18. Increased expression of fewer than these three polynucleotides or of the polypeptides encoded by the polynucleotides may be similarly effective to increase resistance.

Expression of Glyma 18 g 02610 , Glyma 18 g 02590 , and/or Glyma 18 g 02580 may be increased in a variety of ways including several apparent to those of skill in the art and may include transgenic, non-transgenic and traditional breeding methodologies. For example, the expression of the polypeptide encoded by Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590, and/or Glyma18g02580 may be increased by introducing a construct including a promoter operational in the plant operably linked to a polynucleotide encoding the polypeptide into cells of the plant. Suitably, the cells are root cells. Alternatively, the expression of the polypeptide encoded by Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590, and/or Glyma18g02580 may be increased by introducing a transgene including a promoter operational in the plant operably linked to a polynucleotide encoding the polypeptide into cells of the plant. The promoter may be a constitutive or inducible promoter capable of inducing expression of a polynucleotide in all or part of the plant, plant roots or plant root cells. In another embodiment, the expression of Glymal 8 g 0208 Glyma18g02590, and/or Glyma18g02580 may be increased by increasing expression of the native polypeptide in a plant or in cells of the plant, such as the plant root cells. In another embodiment, the expression of Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590, and/or Glyma18g02580 may be increased by increasing expression of the native polypeptide in a plant or in cells of the plant such as the nematode feeding site, the syncitium, or cells adjacent to the syncitium. In another embodiment, the expression of Glyma 8 g 02610 , Glyma18g02590, and/or Glyma18g02580 may be increased by increasing expression of the native polypeptide in a plant or in cells of the plant such as sites of nematode contact with plant cells. In another embodiment, expression may be increased by increasing the copy number of Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590, and/or Glyma18g02580. Other mechanisms for increasing the expression of Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590, and/or Glyma18g02580 include, but are not limited to, increasing
expression of a transcriptional activator, reducing expression of a transcriptional repressor, addition of an enhancer region capable of increasing expression of Glyma 18 g 02610 , Glyma18g02590, and/or Glyma18g02580, increasing mRNA stability, altering DNA methylation, histone acetylation or other epigenetic or chromatin modifications in the vicinity of the relevant genes, or increasing protein or polypeptide stability.
In addition to the traditional use of transgenic technology to introduce additional copies or increase expression of the genes and mediate the increased expression of the polypeptides of Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590, and/or Glyma18g02580 in plants, transgenic or non-transgenic technology may be used in other ways to increase expression of the polypeptides. For example, plant tissue culture and regeneration, mutations or altered expression of plant genes other than Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590, and/or Glyma18g02580, or transgenic technologies, can be used to create instability in the Rhg 1 locus or the plant genome more generally that create changes in Rhg1 locus copy number or gene expression behavior. The new copy number or gene expression behavior can then be stabilized by removal of the variation-inducing mutations or treatments, for example by further plant propagation or a conventional cross. In one of the examples, although a transgenic plant was used to create the change in copy number, the result would be anontransgenic line (and conceivably regulated as such with enhanced resistance due to increased copy number of the locus. Examples of transgenic technologies that might be used in this way include targeted zinc fingers, ribozymes or other sequence-targeted enzymes that create double stranded DNA breaks at or close to the Rhg 1 locus, the cre/loxP system from bacteriophage lambda, Transcription ActivatorLike Effector Nucleases (TALENs), artificial DNA or RNA sequences designed to recombine with Rhgl that can be introduced transiently, or enzymes that "shuffle" DNA such as the mammalian Rag1 enzyme or DNA transposases. Mutations or altered expression of endogenous plant genes involved in DNA recombination, DNA rearrangement and/ or DNA repair pathways are additional examples.
The screening methods described above could also be used to screen soybean isolates (Glycine max) and closely related species (Glycine soja, Glycine tomentella or other Glycine species) for resistance markers and then resistant lines can be crossed naturally or artificially with soybean to develop a soybean with a variant copy number or sequence at the Rhg1 site. Any useful alleles identified in such screens could then be introduced using traditional breeding or transgenic technology into soybeans.

Non-transgenic means of generating soybean varieties carrying traits of interest such as increased resistance to SCN are available to those of skill in the art and include traditional breeding, chemical or other means of generating chromosome abnormalities, such as chemically induced chromosome doubling and artificial rescue of polyploids followed by chromosome loss, knocking-out DNA repair mechanisms or increasing the likelihood of recombination or gene duplication by generation of chromosomal breaks. Other means of non-transgenetically increasing the expression or copy number of Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590, and/or Glyma18g02580 include the following: screening for mutations in plant DNA encoding miRNAs or other small RNAs, plant transcription factors, or other genetic elements that impact Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590, and/or Glyma18g02580 expression; screening large field or breeding populations for spontaneous variation in copy number or sequence at Rhg1 by screening of plants for nematode
resistance, Rhg1 copy number or other Rhg1 gene or protein expression traits as described in preceding paragraphs; crossing of lines that contain different or the same copy number at Rhg1 but have distinct polymorphisms on either side, followed by selection of recombinants at Rhg 1 using molecular markers from two distinct genotypes flanking the Rhg1 locus; chemical or radiation mutagenesis or plant tissue culture/regeneration that creates chromosome instability or gene expression changes, followed by screening of plants for nematode resistance, Rhg 1 copy number or other Rhg1 gene or protein expression traits as described in preceding paragraphs; or introduction by conventional genetic crossing of non-transgenic loci that create or increase genome instability into Rhg1-containing lines, followed by screening of plants for either nematode resistance or Rhgl copy number. Examples of loci that could be used to create genomic instability include active transposons (natural or artificially introduced from other species), loci that activate endogenous transposons (for example mutations affecting DNA methylation or small RNA processing such as equivalent mutations to met1 in Arabidopsis or mop1 in maize), mutation of plant genes that impact DNA repair or suppress illegitimate recombination such as those orthologous or similar in function to the Sgs1 helicase of yeast or RecQ of E. coli, or overexpression of genes such as RAD50 or RAD52 of yeast that mediate illegitimate recombination. Those of skill in the art may find other transgenic and non-transgenic methods of increasing expression of Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590, and/or Glyma18g02580.

The polynucleotides and/or polypeptides described and used herein may encode the full-length or a functional fragment of Glyma18g02610, Glyma 18 g 02590 , and/or Glyma18g02580 from the rhg1-b locus, or a naturally occurring or engineered variant of Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590, and/or Glyma18g02580, or a derived polynucleotide or polypeptide all or part of which is based upon nucleotide or amino acid combinations similar to all or portions of Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590, and/or Glyma 18 g 02580 or their encoded products. Additional polynucleotides encoding polypeptides may also be included in the construct such as Glyma18g02600 (which encodes the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 4). The polypeptide may be at least $80 \%, 85 \%, 90 \%, 95 \%, 97 \%, 98 \%, 99 \%$ or $100 \%$ identical to the sequences provided herein. The polynucleotides encoding the polypeptides may be at least $50 \%, 60 \%$, $65 \%, 70 \%, 75 \%, 80 \%, 85 \%, 90 \%, 95 \%$ or $100 \%$ identical to the sequences available in the public soybean genetic sequence database.

The expression of the polypeptide encoded by Glyma 18 g 02610 , Glyma 18 g 02590 , and/or Glyma 18 g 02580 may be increased, suitably the level of polypeptide is increased at least $1.2,1.5,1.7,2,3,4,5,7,10,15,20$ or 25 fold in comparison to the untreated, susceptible or other control plants or plant cells. Control cells or control plants are comparable plants or cells in which Glyma 18 g 02610 , Glyma18g02590, and/or Glyma18g02580 expression has not been increased, such as a plant of the same genotype transfected with empty vector or transgenic for a distinct polynucleotide.

Increased resistance to nematodes may be measured as described above. The increased resistance may be measured by the plant having a lower percentage of invading nematodes that develop past the J2 stage, a lower rate of cyst formation on the roots, reduced SCN egg production within cysts, reduced overall SCN egg production per plant, and/or greater yield of soybeans on a per-plant basis or a per-
growing-area basis as compared to a control plant grown in a similar growth environment. Other methods of measuring SCN resistance also will be known to those with skill in the art. In the methods of increasing resistance to nematodes described herein, the resulting plant may have at least $10 \%$ increased resistance as compared to the untreated or control plant or plant cells. Suitably the increase in resistance is at least $15 \%, 20 \%, 30 \%, 50 \%, 100 \%, 200 \%, 500 \%$ as compared to a control. Suitably, the female index of the plant with increased resistance to nematodes is about $80 \%$ or less of the female index of an untreated or control plantplant derived from the same or a similar plant genotype, infested with a similar nematode population within the same experiment. More suitably, the female index after experimental infection is no more than $60 \%, 40 \%$, or $20 \%$ of that of the control plant derived from the same or a similar plant genotype, infested with a similar nematode population within the same experiment. Suitably, when grown in fields heavily infested with SCN (for example, more than 2500 SCN eggs per 100 cubic centimeters of soil), soybean grain yields of field-grown plants are $2 \%$ greater than isogenic control plants. More suitably, the grain yield increase is at least $3 \%, 4 \%$, or $5 \%$ over that of isogenic control plants grown in similar environments.

Also provided herein are constructs including a promoter operably linked to a Glyma 18 g 02610 , Glyma 18 g 02590 , and/or Glyma 18 g 02580 polynucleotide encoding a polypeptide comprising SEQ ID NO: 1-3 or 5-6 or a fragment or functional variant thereof. Also included are homologs or variants of these sequences from other soybean varieties. The constructs may further include Glyma 18 g 02600 or other genes. The constructs may be introduced into plants to make transgenic plants or may be introduced into plants, or portions of plants, such as plant tissue, plant calli, plant roots or plant cells. Suitably the promoter is a plant promoter, suitably the promoter is operational in root cells of the plant. The promoter may be tissue specific, inducible, constitutive, or developmentally regulated. The constructs may be an expression vector. Constructs may be used to generate transgenic plants or transgenic cells. The polypeptide may be at least $80 \%, 85 \%, 90 \%, 95 \%, 97 \%, 98 \%, 99 \%$ or $100 \%$ identical to the sequences of SEQ ID NO: 1-3 or 5-6. The constructs may comprise all three polynucleotides and may mediate expression of all three polypeptides.

Transgenic plants including a non-native or exogenous polynucleotide encoding the rhg1-b polypeptides identified and described herein are also provided. Suitably the transgenic plants are soybeans. The transgenic plants express increased levels of Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590, and/ or Glyma18g02580 polypeptide as compared to a control non-transgenic plant from the same line, variety or cultivar or a transgenic control expressing a polypeptide other than Glyma18g02610, Glyma18g02590, and/or Glyma18g02580. The transgenic plants also have increased resistance to nematodes, in particular SCN, as compared to a control plant. Portions or parts of these transgenic plants are also useful. Portions and parts of plants includes, but is not limited to, plant cells, plant tissue, plant progeny, plant asexual propagates, plant seeds.

Transgenic plant cells comprising a polynucleotide encoding a polypeptide capable of increasing resistance to nematodes such as SCN are also provided. Suitably the plant cells are soybean plant cells. Suitably the cells are capable of regenerating a plant. The polypeptide comprises the sequences of SEQ ID NOs: 1-3 or 5-6 or fragments, variants or combinations thereof. The polypeptide may be $85 \%, 90 \%$, $95 \%, 97 \%, 98 \%, 99 \%$ or $100 \%$ identical to the sequences
provided. The transgenic cells may be found in a seed. A plant, such as a soybean plant, may include the transgenic cells. The plant may be grown from a seed comprising transgenic cells or may be grown by any other means available to those of skill in the art. Chimeric plants comprising transgenic cells are also provided.

The expression of the polypeptide and the polynucleotides encoding the polypeptides in the transgenic plant is altered relative to the level of expression of the native polypeptides in a control soybean plant. In particular the expression of the polypeptides in the root of the plant is increased. The transgenic plant has increased resistance to nematodes as compared to the control plant. The transgenic plant may be generated from a transgenic cell or callus using methods available to those skilled in the art.

The Examples provided below are meant to be illustrative and not to limit the scope of the invention or the claims. All references and appendices cited herein are hereby incorporated by reference in their entireties.

## EXAMPLES

To identify the gene conferring resistance to SCN in the PI88788 soybean within the locus identified by Kim et al., 2010 (within the chromosomal interval defined by the termini BARCSOYSSR_18_0090 and BARCSOYSSR_ 18_0094), a candidate gene testing approach was used. This approach is described in Melito et al. (BMC Plant Biology 2010, 10:104), which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety. Briefly, this candidate gene approach was completed with various genes at the Rhg 1 locus defined above using a resistant soybean variety Fayette, which carries the PI88788-derived rhg1-b allele of the Rhg1 locus, to make transgenic soybean roots that early gene-silencing constructs and then testing these transgenic roots for loss of SCN resistance. The silencing strategy used is depicted in FIG. 2. The artificial microRNA used in the Melito et al. reference was replaced with artificial microRNA sequences directed against various candidate or putative genes within the Rhgl locus. The expression of the artificial microRNAs was driven by the soybean Ubi3 promoter. The construct also contained a GFP reporter such that transformed roots could readily be identified by GFP expression. Transgenic soybean roots expressing artificial micro-RNA (amiRNA) or hairpin (RNAi) constructs were produced using Agrobacterium rhizogenes. Roots expressing GFP were selected for further analysis. Transgenic roots were inoculated with SCN to test for decreased or increased resistance to SCN caused by candidate gene silencing conditioned by artificial microRNA expression.

Soybean resistance to SCN was measured two weeks after root inoculation by determining the proportion of the total nematode population that had advanced past the J2 stage in each root (FIG. 3A), relative to known resistant and susceptible controls. Silencing any of three closely linked genes, namely Glyma18g2580, Glyma18g2590, and Glyma18g2610, at the rhg1-b locus of the SCN-resistant soybean variety Fayette significantly reduced SCN resistance (FIG. 3B). Depletion of resistance was dependent on target transcript reduction (FIG. 4). Silencing of other genes in and around the locus did not impact SCN resistance (e.g., FIG. 3B, genes Glyma18g02570 and 2620).

The predicted Glyma18g02610 protein product contains a Wound-induced protein domain (Pfam domain PF07107; M Punta, et al., (2012) The Pfam protein families database. Nucleic Acids Research Database Issue 40:D290-D301 and Logemann et al., (1988) Differential expression of genes in
potato tubers after wounding. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 85: $1136-1140$ ) and a homologous ( $55 \%$ identical) protein in ice plant (Mesembryanthemum crystallinum) was previously shown to be responsive to both biotic and abiotic stimuli (Yen et al., Environmental and developmental regulation of the wound-induced cell wall protein WI12 in the halophyte ice plant. Plant Physiol. 127:517-528). The annotated protein product of Glyma 18 g 02610 does not have other widely known protein domains or inferred biochemical functions that, at the present time, are obvious to those with normal skill in the art. However, the above results indicate that Glymal8g02610 is necessary for full Rhg1-mediated SCN resistance.
A Genomic Duplication of Four Genes at Rhg1 in Glycine max is Present in the Tested SCN-Resistant Lines

Concurrent study of the physical structure of the rhg1-b locus revealed an unusual genomic configuration. A 31.2 kb genome segment, encoding the above three genes that contribute to SCN resistance, is present in multiple copies in SCN resistant lines (FIGS. 5, 6). The DNA sequence of fosmid clone inserts carrying genomic DNA from the rhg1-b genetic interval identified a unique DNA junction, not present in the published Williams 82 soybean genome, in which a 3' fragment of Glyma18g02570 is immediately adjacent to the intergenic sequence downstream of (centromeric to) Glyma18g02610 (FIG. 5A). The genomic repeat contains full copies of Glyma18g02580, $-2590,-2600$ and -2610 as well as the final two exons of Glyma 18 g 02570 . Wholegenome shotgun sequencing of a line containing rhg1-b revealed ten-fold greater depth of coverage of this interval relative to surrounding or homologous regions (FIG. 5B), suggesting the presence of multiple repeats.

Sequencing and PCR amplification confirmed the presence of the Glyma18g02610-2570 junction in DNA from multiple SCN-resistant soybean accessions, including accessions that carry the commercially important PI 88788, Peking and PI 437654 haplotypes of the Rhg 1 locus (FIG. 5C and FIG. 7). The junction was not detected in four tested SCN-susceptible varieties including Williams 82 (FIG. 7B). This constitutes a direct test for economically desirable alleles of the Rhg 1 locus. The shared identity of the junction sites from disparate sources of SCN resistance suggests a shared origin of the initial resistance-conferring event at Rhg1.

Fiber-FISH (fluorescence in situ hybridization) was utilized to directly determine the number of copies and arrangement of the 31 kb repeat segment in different haplotypes of the Rhg 1 locus. The hybridization pattern and DNA fiber length estimates generated using these probes (FIG. 6 and Table 3) are consistent with the presence of a single copy of the repeat in Williams 82, as in the reference soybean genome. In Fayette, fiber-FISH revealed ten copies per DNA fiber of the predicted 31 kb repeat segment, in the same configuration throughout the multiple nuclei sampled, in a pattern indicating ten direct repeats abutting in a head-to-tail arrangement (FIG. 6 and Table 3). No additional copies (e.g., at other loci) were evident. In samples from soybean line Peking, three copies per DNA fiber were present in apparent direct repeat orientation (FIG. 6). Although fiber-FISH cannot resolve small sequence differences, the single size of all junction-amplification PCR products and the consistency of all junction sequences assembled from fosmid or genomic DNA sequencing (FIG. 7) further suggest the presence of adjacent direct repeat copies.

TABLE 3

| Length estimates for Fiber-FISH hybridization signals. <br> $\mathrm{N}:$ number of DNA fibers analyzed. |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Length of | Estimated Length of |  |
|  | Fiber-FISH signals | Fiber-FISH signals |  |
| $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | $(\mathrm{kb})$ | N |  |
| Williams 82 | $8.60 \pm 0.49$ | $27.60 \pm 1.58$ | 20 |
| Fayette | $96.56 \pm 7.54$ | $309.94 \pm 24.2$ | 20 |
| Peking | $34.42 \pm 2.91$ | $110.48 \pm 9.34$ | 20 |

To discover additional copy number and DNA polymorphisms we analyzed whole genome re-sequencing (WGS) data for 41 soybean lines, the "NAM parents" from a current nested association mapping study, using sequence data provided by Dr. Perry Cregan. The data set consists of whole genome shotgun sequencing reads produced using Illumina Hiseq equipment and protocols, with average depth of sequencing coverage ranging from 5 to 60 fold. Reads were mapped to the Williams 82 reference genome (Phytozome, assembly 189) and analyzed for read depth (RD), INDELs and SNPs relative to the SCN susceptible line Williams 82. When this Illumina read depth was used to estimate copy number at the Rhg 1 locus, using methods analogous to those used to generate FIG. 5B, 8 of the 41 soybean lines analyzed had a normalized read depth for the approximately 31 kb Rhg 1 region (corresponding to the rhg1-b repeat segment described above) that differed by greater than 5 standard deviations of the mean from the read depth of the two 30 kb regions immediately flanking the region corresponding to the rhg $1-b$ repeat segment. See Table 2. Seven of those lines had an estimated copy number ranging from 9.2 to 9.9 copies. These lines have PI 88788 in their pedigree, where pedigree are available, and have been classified as SCNresistant in laboratory and/or field tests. The other genotype predicted to carry Rhg1 repeats had an estimated copy number of 2.9. Its pedigree contains both Peking and PI 437654. The Rhg1 loci derived from Peking and PI 437654 are widely recognized to be much less effective at conferring SCN resistance if they are not coupled with preferred alleles of an unlinked locus, Rhg4. All other genotypes (33) were estimated to contain one copy of the approximately 31 kb of Rhg 1 DNA described in this document. All twelve of the 33 lines that had an available, previously determined SCN resistance phenotype were listed as SCN susceptible, while information on the SCN resistance phenotype was not readily available for the other 21 lines. As a control, read depth was used to estimate copy number at the homologous region on Chromosome 11. The estimated copy number was approximately 1 in all tested genotypes. In addition to those lines shown in Table 2, we recently determined that a variety known as Cloud (PI 548316), that displays intermediate levels of SCN resistance, carries seven copies of the Rhg1 locus repeat segment.

The source of the first duplication event to arise at Rhg1 is not known, but was possibly the result of nearby Ty1/ copia-like retrotransposon RTvr1 or RTvr2 activity. Later copy number expansion may have occurred by rare unequal exchange events between homologous repeats during meiotic recombination.
Genes within the Duplicated Gene Block at rhg1-b are Expressed at Higher Levels than their Homologs from SCN-Susceptible Rhg1 Haplotypes

Gene expression analysis using quantitative PCR (qPCR) determined that the three genes found to impact SCN resistance exhibit significantly more transcript abundance in
roots of SCN-resistant varieties relative to susceptible lines (FIG. 5D and FIG. 7D). In contrast, the transcript abundance for genes immediately flanking the SCN-impacting genes did not differ significantly between SCN-resistant and susceptible varieties (FIG. 5; Glyma18g02600 expression in roots is at or below the limits of detection of qPCR, cDNA cloning and RNAseq methods; See Cook et al. 2012 methods and Severin et al. RNA-Sect Atlas of Glycine max: A guide to the soybean transcriptome. Bmc Plant Biology, 2010). Full-length transcripts were confirmed for Glyma18g02580, -2590 and -2610, and no hybrid repeatjunction transcripts were detected for Glyma 18 g 02570 (FIG. 7E). The above suggested that elevated expression of one or more of the SCN -impacting genes could be a primary cause of elevated SCN resistance.
Quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) was also used to examine and compare the mRNA transcript abundance of five genes at the Rhg1 locus in non-inoculated roots of the Mg -typing soybean lines. These lines have been established ad accepted by researchers as representing a useful and diverse set of SCN resistant soybean lines (Niblack et al., 2002, J. of Nemat. 34(4): 279-288; T. L. Niblack, K. N. Lambert, G. L. Tylka, 2002, Annu. Rev, Phytopathol. 44:283-303). Transcript abundance for three of the genes, Glyma18g02580, Glyma18g02590, and Glyma18g02610 are all expressed more highly in each of the 7 tested SCN differentials relative to the SCN susceptible line Williams 82 as shown in FIG. 8. Another gene at the locus, Glyma 18 g 02600 , was also more highly expressed in the SCN resistant lines, but the data for Glyma18g02600 may be less accurate and the absolute measured transcript level of Glyma 18 g 02600 was near the limit of detection (consistent with published RNA-seq data from soybean roots). As a control, a neighboring, but not duplicated gene, Glyma18g02570 shows similar expression pattern for all tested genotypes. In a separate experiment, two additional genes, (Glyma18g02620 and Glyma18g02630, flanking the repeat to the centromeric side, also show similar transcript abundance across SCN resistant and susceptible tines.

Four of the SCN resistant genotypes (Peking, PI 90763, PI 89772, and PI 437654) are similar to each other in their level of mRNA abundance for the four genes Glyma18g02580, Glyma 18 g 02590 , Glyma18g02610, and Glyma18g02600. In these genotypes, transcript abundance is 1.5 to 5 fold higher for the four repeated genes relative to Williams 82 . Separately, the SCN-resistant genotypes Cloud, PI 88788, and 209332 are similar to each other in their levels of elevated mRNA abundance for Rhg 1 genes compared to Williams 82 and the previous four genotypes. Transcript abundance ranged from 4 to 20 fold higher for the repeated genes in the Cloud, PI 88788, and PI 209332 genotypes. These data show that the increased DNA copy number encoding these four genes increases the transcript abundance. There is also a strong grouping for DNA copy number and transcript abundance, making at least two classes with genotypes Peking, 90763,89772 , and 437654 together, and genotypes Cloud, PI 88788, and 209332 together. We note the correlation of these Rhg1 genotype (copy number) and Glyma18g02580/ Glyma18g02590/Glyma18g02610 expression level groupings with the SCN resistance phenotype groupings reported by Colgrove et al. 2008 (Colgrove, A. L., and T. L. Niblack. 2008. Correlation of female indices from virulence assays on inbred lines and field populations of Heterodera glycines. Journal of Nematology 40:39-45). While Glyma18g02600 is more highly expressed in SCN resistant lines, there is not a clear relationship between copy number and transcript abundance as found for the other three genes in the repeat. This
suggests that increased DNA content does increase transcript abundance, but not in a dosage dependent fashion for Glyma18g02600.
Rhg1 DNA Methylation State is Cultivar-Dependent for Genes within the Duplicated Gene Block.

To address the mechanism leading to the observed higher gene expression in SCN-resistant cultivars, we assessed DNA methylation of the Rhg 1 locus using methylation sensitive restriction enzyme digestion and PCR. McrBC is an endonuclease that specifically cleaves DNA containing 5 -methylcytosine ( $5-\mathrm{mC}$ ) while leaving unmethylated DNA intact. DNA incubated with McrBC and then subjected to PCR fails to produce a product if the product spans methylated cytosines. We identified significant and reproducible differences between SCN-resistant and SCN-susceptible cultivars when soybean genomic DNA was tested for methylation at the Rhg1 locus. For example, three different primer pairs for the Glyma18g02610 promoter or coding regions either failed to amplify a product, or the product was greatly reduced, between McrBC-digested and undigested genomic DNA from resistant cultivars (FIG. 9). The same primer pairs used for PCR with DNA collected from susceptible cultivars produced similar products whether the genomic DNA template had been digested or not, indicating little or no DNA methylation.

Interestingly, a consistent correlation between hypermethylated DNA and elevated gene expression was discovered in the SCN-resistant cultivars tested. The promoter region for Glyma18g02580, Glyma18g02590 and Glyma18g02610 were all methylated and showed higher transcription. A neighboring gene, Glyma 18 g 02620 , did not display polymorphic methylation or altered gene expression between resistant and susceptible cultivars (FIG. 9).
Further Characterization of 2580, 2590 and 2610 Genes
RACE PCR for Glyma18g02590 and C/lyrna18g02610 from Fayette (not inoculated with SCN) revealed that the transcripts derived from the SCN-resistant PI88788 haplotype have identical start and stop sites to the annotated transcripts associated with the williams 82 (SCN-susceptible) genome sequence that is available at Phytozome (accessible a www.phytozome.net/). As an initial test for readily detectable protein degradation or post-translational modification differences between SCN-resistant as opposed to SCN-susceptible soybean lines (not inoculated with SCN), protein immunodetection experiments by western blot using 1.5 kb of Fayette native promoter driving Glyma18g02590-HA ( $2590_{\text {Fayette }}: 2590-\mathrm{HA}$ ) or using 3.2 kb of Fayette native promoter driving Glyma18g02610-HA $\left(2610_{\text {Fayette }}:: 2610-\mathrm{HA}\right)$ revealed a detectable protein product that migrated at approximately the predicted size for the respective proteins, and did not reveal protein size differences in Williams 82 as opposed to Fayette (FIG. 10).

To explore the possibility that impacts of Glyma18g02580, Glyma18g02590 and Glyma18g02610 on SCN development in soybean correlate with SCN -inducible gene expression, we analyzed gene expression in excised root tissue from heavily SCN-infested root segments of resistant and susceptible lines. Modest increases in the expression of Glyma18g02580 and Glymal8g02610 were observed in SCN-resistant Fayette, above the high levels of expression already present in non-inoculated Fayette compared to SCNsusceptible Williams 82 (FIG. 11).

To further explore the possibility that impacts of Glyma18g02580, Glyma18g02590 and Glyma18g02610 on SCN development in soybean roots correlate with SCNinducible expression, promoter-GUS fusion constructs $\left(\right.$ Prom $_{2580} \because$ GUS, Prom $_{2590} \because:$ GUS, $\operatorname{Prom}_{2610}: \because$ GUS $)$ were
made and expressed in transgenic roots. Transgenic roots were stained for GUS activity 5 days after inoculation with SCN (FIG. 12). Prom ${ }_{2580}::$ GUS roots had a moderate level of background staining and appeared to have brighter staining of swollen plant vascular tissue at the head of infecting J2 SCN (apparent developing syncytia). Prom 2590 ::GUS roots showed very low levels of background staining and consistently greater GUS staining at apparent syncitia, along with strong staining of the tips of emerging lateral roots. Prom $_{2610}:$ :GUS roots had very high levels of background staining but also appeared to be more highly stained at areas likely to form syncytia. The levels of elevated GUS staining in apparent syncitia were similar to those we observed in positive control promoter-GUS experiments using the previously characterized syncitium-inducible promoter for Glyma14g06080. As noted above, the predicted Glyma18g02610 encoded protein contains a Wound-Induced protein domain (Pfam07107) and a homologous (55\% identical) protein in ice plant (Mesembryanthemum crystallinum) was shown to be responsive to both biotic and abiotic stimuli. Non-transgenic Fayette soybean plants exposed to MeJA had significantly elevated Glyma18g02610 transcript abundance compared to neighboring genes, further documenting stress-associated expression of this gene (FIG. 11).
Amino acid polymorphism or overexpression of any one of the three identified rhg1-b genes did not account for SCN resistance on its own. From all available rhg 1-b sequence reads (across multiple repeat copies), no predicted amino acid polymorphisms relative to Williams 82 were identified for Glyma18g02580, Glyma18g02600 or Glyma18g02610. Some copies of Glyma 18 g 02590 from rhg1-b resemble the Williams 82 sequence, while others contain a set of polymorphisms, notably at the predicted C-terminal six amino acids of the predicted $\alpha$-SNAP protein (Table 1, confirmed by cDNA sequencing).

The whole-genome sequencing (WGS) data were also analyzed for DNA polymorphisms such as insertions or deletions (INDELs) and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). In the seven genotypes with an estimated copy number ranging from 9 to 10 , a number of SNPs were identified relative to the reference Williams 82 sequence. One gene contained in the repeated rhg1-b segment of DNA, Glyma 18 g 02590 , contains DNA polymorphisms relative to Williams 82 as defined above. There is a single SNP, C to A (Williams 82 to PI 88788 derived), at position 1,643,192 that results in a Q to K amino acid substitution. There are 3 SNPs present at the C-terminus, occurring at positions $1,644,965$ ( G to C ), $1,644,968$ ( G to C ), and 1,644,974 ( C to A ), and a 3 bp insertion after base 1,644,972 (GGC) that collectively change the final 5 amino acids of the Williams 82 protein from EEDLT to QHEAIT. The nucleotide and amino acid sequences are shown in FIG. 13. The 3 bp insertion causes an extra amino acid in the PI 88788 derived lines. All base pair positions correspond to the Williams 82 genome version 1.1, assembly 189. Numerous SNP and INDEL, polymorphisms were observed within the approximate 31 kb Rhg1 repeat DNA region, between Williams 82 and PI 88788source Rhgl, in the nucleotide regions outside of those that directly comprise the final open reading frame of Glyma18g02580, Glyma18g02590, Glyma18g2600, and Glymal8g02610. Analyses of Illumina sequencing read depth, in the seven soybean lines from the NAM sequencing project with an estimated copy number ranging from 9 to 10 , indicated that there were 9 very similar copies of the PI 88788 -type repeat at rhg1-b, and one partial copy of a Williams 82 -like repeat at rhg1-b.

The soybean line LD01-5907 from the soybean NAM parent sequencing project, which carries an estimated copy number of 3, also contains DNA polymorphisms affecting the amino acid sequence for Glyma18g02590. The DNA polymorphisms are different than those found in PI 88788 derived lines, but occur at similar positions. There is a SNP at position $1,643,225$ that results in a D to E amino acid substitution. There are 2 SNPs present at the C-terminus, occurring at positions $1,644,968$, ( G to T ) and 1,644,974 (C to A) and a 3 bp insertion after base $1,644,972$ (GGT) that collectively change the final 5 amino acids of the Williams 82 protein from EEDLT to YEVIT. The 3 bp insertion causes an extra amino acid in the Glyma 18 g 02590 protein product in lines with an Rhg1 locus derived from Peking or PI 437654 sources.

The DNA polymorphisms for Glyma18g02590 identified through WGS analysis were confirmed to be expressed using 3' RACE and (DNA sequencing. In SCN resistant genotypes Cloud, PI 88788, and PI 209332, two different Glyma 18 g 02590 transcripts were identified. One of the sequences corresponded to the Williams 82 reference type sequence, and the other corresponded to the sequence from PI 88788-derived resistant sources (from NAM parents). The proportion of PI 88788-derived versus Williams 82-type cDNA sequence follows that observed for DNA sequence. That is, the cDNA of PI 88788 derived Glyma18g02590 is roughly $90 \%$ of the total transcripts sequenced. This is consistent with the data that these genotypes contain 8 or 9 copies of the 31 kb DNA segment derived from PI 88788. A SNP present in the $5^{\prime}$ UTR of Glyma18g02610 was also analyzed in PI 88788. The proportion of the sequence types fits the other Observations.

In SCN-resistant genotypes Peking, PI 90763, PI 89772, and PI 437654 two different transcripts were identified for Glyma18g02590. One of the sequences corresponds to the sequence from the Peking/PI 437654-derived resistant source LD01-5907 from the NAM sequencing project. See FIG. 13. An alternative form of cDNA was also detected from each of the four SCN-resistant genotypes Peking, PI 90763, PI 89772, and PI 437654, with the same type of polymorphism across all four sources. This apparent mRNA splicing isoform had 36 nucleotides deleted resulting in a Glyma18g02590 isoform with 12 fewer amino acids as shown in FIG. 13. The deletion occurs at the end of exon 6 and splices back into frame in exon 7 . None of the sequenced products from Peking, PI 90763, PI 89772, and PI 437654 contained the Williams 82 -type Glyma18g02590 sequence, consistent with the WGS analysis. Based on the proportion of cDNAs sequenced, very approximately $70 \%$ to $90 \%$ of the Glyma18g02590 transcript is the full-length version in these lines.

The various polymorphisms may result in functional differences in the Glyma18g2590 polypeptide and are modeled three-dimensionally in FIG. 14 which relies on the solved crystal structure of the yeast Sec17 protein. The deleted alpha-helix is shown in tight gray. It is noted that these polymorphisms are clustered in one general area near the C-terminus of the predicted folded Glyma 18 g 02590 , which is an alpha-SNAP protein homolog, and that substantial functional data are available for eukaryote alpha-SNAP proteins that suggest particular functions for this region of the protein (e.g., Barnard R J, Morgan A, \& Burgoyne R D (1996) Domains of alpha-SNAP required for the stimulation of exocytosis and for N -ethylmalemide-sensitive fusion protein (NSF) binding and activation. Molecular biology of the cell 7(5):693-701; Barnard R J, Morgan A, \& Burgoyne R D (1997) Stimulation of NSF ATPase activity by alpha-SNAP
is required for SNARE complex disassembly and exocytosis. J Cell Biol 39(4):875-883; Jahn R \& Scheller R H (2006) SNAREs-engines for membrane fusion. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 7(9):631-643.)
However, expressing only the Fayette polymorphic rhg1-b-type Glyma 18 g 02590 downstream of a strong constitutive promoter or native promoter sequence did not increase the SCN resistance reaction of Williams 82 transgenic roots (FIG. 15 and FIG. 16), suggesting that rhg1-b SCN resistance requires more than this 2590 amino acid polymorphism. But such polymorphisms may play a contributing rote SCN resistance that was not detected in these experiments. Overexpression of Glyma18g02580 or Glyma18g02610 also failed to increase SCN resistance (FIG. 13) when expressed alone using a strong constitutive promoter. These data are preliminary and may indicate that the resistance phenotype requires more than a single gene or that some other factor is necessary to mediate resistance.

Given the above, simultaneous overexpression of the set of genes within the 31 kb repeat segment was tested as a possible source of SCN resistance. A single recombinant DNA construct was made in which each of the genes Glyma18g02580, Glyma18g2590, Glyma18g2600 and Glyma18g2610 was fused to a strong promoter. In two separate experiments that together tested $>25$ independent transgenic events for each DNA construct, resistance to SCN was significantly increased in SCN-susceptible Williams 82 by simultaneous overexpression of this set of genes (FIG. 15). Increased $S C N$ resistance was conferred despite the fact that three of the genes being overexpressed encode predicted amino acid products identical to those of SCN-susceptible Williams 82, and the polymorphic Fayette rhg1-b Glyma 18 g 02590 gene that was used was not sufficient to cause a detectable change in SCN resistance when overexpressed on its own (FIG. 15). Of note, there was no significant elevation of PR-1 in these transgenic roots, which could have indicated non-specific elevation of defenses (FIG. 16). We also tested the impact of simultaneously over-expressing Glyma18g02580, Glyma18g02590 $0_{\text {Fayette }}$, and Glyma18g02610 on SCN resistance in Williams 82. We observed increased resistance to SCN transgenic roots of Williams 82 over-expressing Glyma 18 g 02580 , Glyma 18 g $02590_{\text {Fayetue }}$, and Glyma18g02610 relative to Williams 82 empty vector roots as shown in FIG. 17. These data indicate that over-expressing this combination of genes results in enhanced SCN resistance.
These results reveal a novel mechanism for disease resistance: an expression polymorphism for multiple disparate but tightly linked genes, derived through copy number variation at the Rhg locus. This knowledge suggests future approaches to enhance the efficacy of Rhg1-mediated quantitative resistance to the highly important SCN disease of soybean, for example through isolation of soybean lines that carry more copies of the 31 kb Rhg1 repeat, or through transgenic overexpression of the relevant genes. These approaches may be applicable in other species as well, for resistance to other endoparasitic nematodes.

The biochemical mechanisms of Rhg1-mediated resistance remain unknown. Other sequenced plant genomes do not carry close homologs of the predicted Glyma18g02610 protein, although a wound-inducible protein in ice plant with $55 \%$ identity has been studied. Modeling of the Glyma18g02610 predicted tertiary structure using Phyre2 indicated, with $98 \%$ confidence, similarity of $48 \%$ of Glyma18g02610 to the PhzA/B subfamily of Delta(5)-3ketosteroid isomerase/nuclear transport factor 2 family proteins. Hence Glyma18g02610 may participate in the pro-
duction of phenazine-like compounds that are toxic to nematodes. Thus application of Glyma18g2610 to plants, soil or seeds may inhibit nematodes in susceptible plants. Secretion of the Glyma18g02610 protein or other plant products that contribute to disease resistance may be impacted by the Glyma $18 \mathrm{~g} 02590 \alpha$-SNAP protein. Because it is one of at least five $\alpha$-SNAP homologs encoded in the soybean reference genome, Glyma18g02590 may have undergone subfunctionalization or neofunctionalization. Fully sequenced plant genomes carry from two dozen to over five dozen annotated amino acid transporters of many subtypes which can be involved in amino acid import and/or export between cells or between subcellular organelles. The Glyma 18 g 02580 protein and its most closely related transporters of soybean and other species are not functionally well-characterized, so the concept that Glyma18g02580 alters nematode success by altering the levels of specific amino acids or amino acid derivatives at the feeding site is only one of many viable hypotheses for future study regarding the SCN-deterring function of Glyma 18 g 02580 .

Copy number variation (CNV) of a block of dissimilar genes, rather than CNV for a single gene family, confers Rhg1-mediated SCN resistance. Recent analyses of genomearchitecture in sorghum, rice, and soybean have reported high levels of CNV, and a tendency for overlap of regions of CNV with postulated biotic and abiotic stress-related genes. The present work provides a concrete example of CNV conferring a valuable disease resistance trait. In humans and insects, adaptive traits have been associated with CNV for specific single genes. Single-copy clusters of functionally related but non-homologous genes are highly unusual in multicellular eukaryotes, but these have been reported in association with plant secondary metabolism. We provide a unique example of CNV involving more than two repeats, with the repeat encoding multiple gene products that are necessary for adaptation to the same important environmental constraint. Given the highly repetitive nature and plasticity of plant genomes and the relatively underexplored association between CNV and phenotypes, it seems likely that a number of other complex traits are controlled by the general type of CNV we report for soybean Rhg1. Materials and Methods:
Agrobacterium rhizogenes Soybean Root Transformation
A. rhizogenes strain Arqual was transformed by freezethaw as previously reported by Wise, A. A., Z. Liu, and A. N. Binns, Three methods for the introduction of foreign DNA into Agrobacterium. Methods Mol Biol, 2006, 343: p, 43-53 and Hofgen, R. and L. Willmitzer, Storage of competent cells for Agrobacterium transformation. Nucleic Acids Research, 1988. 16(20): p. 9877-9877. The cells were plated on selective media with the appropriate antibiotic and incubated at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. for two days. A. rhizogenes strain Arqual was received from Dr. Jean-Michel Ane, University of Wisconsin Madison. Soybean seeds lacking macroscopic signs of fungal or viral contamination were surface-sterilized for $16-20 \mathrm{~h}$ in a desiccator jar with chlorine gas generated by adding 3.5 ml 12 N HCl into 100 ml household bleach ( $6 \%$ sodium hypochlorite). At least 20 seeds per experiment were plated onto germination media (Gamborg's B5 salts ( $3.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ ), $2 \%$ sucrose, $1 \times$ Gamborg's B5 vitamins, $7 \%$ Noble agar, pH 5.8) in $100 \times 25 \mathrm{~mm}$ Petri plates. Plates were wrapped with Micropore tape ( $3 \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{St}$. Paul, Minn.) and incubated at $26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. in a growth chamber (18/6 light/dark hours) for approximately one week. Soybean cotyledons were harvested 5-7 days after germination by gently removing them from the hypocotyls with sterile forceps. With a sterile forceps and Falcon \#15 scalpel, several shallow slices
were made across the abaxial surface of the cotyledons after dipping the scalpel in A. rhizogenes suspension ( $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ $0.6-0.7$ in sterile $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} 0$ ). The cotyledons were then placed abaxial-side down on a co-culture medium (CCM) ( $0.31 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ Gamborg's B5 salts, $3 \%$ sucrose, $1 \times$ Gamborg's B5 vitamins (BioWorld, Dublin Ohio), $0.4 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ L-cysteine, $0.154 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ dithiothreitol, $0.245 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ sodium thiosulfate, $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ acetosyringone, $5 \%$ Noble agar, pH 5.4 ) in $100 \times 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ Petri plates with a piece of 70 min filter paper (Whatman, Piscataway, N.J.) on the surface of the agar to prevent $A$. rhizogenes from overgrowing. Plates were wrapped with parafilm and incubated in the dark at room temperature for three days. The explants were then transferred to a hairy root medium (HRM) of $4.3 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ MS salts (Sigma Co., St. Louis, Mo.), $2 \%$ sucrose, $1 \times$ Gamborg's 85 vitamins (BioWorld, Dublin, Ohio), $7 \%$ Noble agar, $0.15 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ cefotaxime, 0.15 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ carbenicillin, pH 5.6 in $100 \times 15 \mathrm{~min}$ Petri plates, wounded side up. Plates were wrapped with Micropore tape and incubated in the dark at room temperature until roots emerged, usually in around 2 weeks. Transgenic soybean roots were detected based on plasmid vector-encoded GFP expression, using a fluorescence stereomicroscope (LEICA MZ FL III with GFP2 filter). Transgenic soybean root tip segments ( $2-3 \mathrm{~cm}$ were transferred to HRM. Roots that were expressing incomplete strips of fluorescence (chimeras) or exhibiting overall low levels of GFP fluorescence were avoided. Independent transgenic events, generated from different inoculation sites or different cotyledons, were maintained separately for RNA extraction and nematode demographic assays.

## Nematode Maintenance

An SCN population from Racine, Wis. (Hg type 7), collected by Ann MacGuidwin (University of WisconsinMadison), was maintained on the susceptible soybean cultivar Williams 82. Seeds were germinated between two damp pieces of paper towel that were rolled-up and placed vertically in a glass beaker with a small amount of water at the bottom for 2-4 days. Germinated seeds were then planted in autoclaved $4: 1$ sand:soil mixture and inoculated with 2000 eggs of a $H$. glycines per plant, and grown in a $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. growth chamber. Cysts were collected $\sim 50$ days after infection when soybeans were at R2 (full (lowering) and extracted from soil and roots using sieves and centrifugation. Briefly, soil and roots from infected pots was placed in a pitcher of water and agitated. The soil-cyst-water slurry was passed over a $710 \mu \mathrm{~m}-250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ sieve tower, and the mixture from the $250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ sieve was backwashed into a 50 mL plastic conical tube. The tubes were centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 4 minutes then the supernatant was poured off. A $60 \%$ sucrose solution was added to the tubes, stirred, and centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 2 min . Cysts in the supernatant were then collected over a $250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ sieve. Collected cysts were stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. sealable plastic bags containing twicesterilized flint sand.

## Nematode Demographics Assay

Nematode demographics assays were performed as in Melito et al, infra. Vigorous new root segments ( $2-3 \mathrm{~cm}$ including root tip) were utilized. All roots (all genotypes within an experiment) were coded with a random number prior to inoculation, to mask root genotype information from the investigators who stained roots two weeks later and determined the number of nematodes in each nematode development category. For inoculum, $H$. glycines eggs were collected by breaking open cysts with a large rubber stopper and collecting the eggs on a sieve stack consisting of 250 $\mu \mathrm{m}-75 \mu \mathrm{~m}-25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ sieves (USA Standard Testing Sieve). Eggs were collected from the $25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ sieve and rinsed. Eggs
were placed in a hatch chamber with $3 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ for hatching at room temperature in the dark for 5-6 days. See Wong, A. T. S., G. L. Tylka, and R. G. Hartzler, Effects of 8 herbicides on in-vitro hatching of Heterodera-glycines. Journal of Nematology, 1993. 25(4): p. 578-584. Hatched 12 nematodes were surface-sterilized for 3 min in $0.001 \%$ mercuric chloride and washed three times with sterile distilled water, then suspended in room temperature $0.05 \%$ low-melting point agarose to facilitate even distribution. Baum, T. J., M. J. E. Wubben, K. A. Hardy, H. Su, and S. R. Rodermel, A screen for Arabidopsis thaliana mutants with altered susceptibility to Heterodera schachtii. Journal of Nematology, 2000. 32(2): p. 166-173. The number of active nematodes was determined by viewing an aliquot under a stereomicroscope at least one-half hour after surface-sterilization and washing, and 200-250 active J2s were inoculated onto each fresh root segment. Inoculated roots with nematodes were maintained on HRM media, at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.; substantial root growth typically occurred during the subsequent two weeks. Nematode infection and development within these root systems was monitored by clearing and staining with acid fuchsin, typically 15 days post inoculation (dpi), Bybd, D. W., T. Kirkpatrick, and K. R. Barker, An improved technique for clearing and staining plant-tissue for detection of nematodes. Journal of Nematology, 1983. 15(1): p. 142143. The nematode demographic assay was then completed by recording the number of nematodes in each root system that exhibited a morphology resembling either J2 (thin), J3 (sausage-shaped), elongated male, or J4/adult female nematodes, as noted in text and figures. Typically, 20-80 nematodes were present in each root; roots containing fewer than ten nematodes were excluded from further analysis. Results were expressed as \% of nematodes that had developed beyond J2 stage ([J3+adult males+adult females]/[J2+J3+ adult males+adult females]). Each data point was normalized to the mean for Williams 82 roots transformed with empty vector, from the same experiment. All reported data are based on at least two independent biological replicate experiments 12 independently transformed roots for each bar on a bar graph)
Primer Table
Primer sequences used to perform this research are listed in Table 4 and referred to by number in this document.

TABLE 4

| DNA sequences of oligonucleotide primers used for PCR ( 3 pages). |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primer | Sequence | SEQ ID NO: |
| Silencing constructs |  |  |
| 2570 hpRNA ${ }^{\text {- }}$ F | AGGATCCATTTAAATCAAGTACTCTTCCC CACAAAAGCT | 19 |
| 2570 hpRNAi _R | ACCTAGGAGGCGCGCCTGGGGCCATTTCA GTAATTAGGTC | 20 |
| $2580 \mathrm{hPRNA} \mathrm{i}^{\text {- }}$ F | acctaggaggcgcgccTCATGAAGGTTCT CGGCGTAG | 21 |
| $2580 \mathrm{hpRNA} \mathrm{I}^{\text {- }}$ | aggatccatttaaatCCACCAGTGAATTC CAAACCA | 22 |
| 2590 hpRNAi _F | GAcctaggcgegccGGACTTGGTCGTCAA CACAGTC | 23 |
| 2590 hprNai _R | GCggat ccatttaaat GAGCAGCAAACTG GGCAACT | 24 |
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TABLE 4-continued

| DNA sequences of oligonucleotide primers used for PCR ( 3 pages). |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primer | Sequenc | $\begin{gathered} \text { SEQ } \\ \text { ID } \\ \text { NO. } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 促 |  |
| TerKpn-R | TCggtaccGCGCATGTCTTGCGTTGATG | 79 |
| PPA Linker_Top | GatgtctTAATTAAtatctgt GGGCCCac tatGGCGCGCCaatgtaaA | 80 |
| PPA | AGCTTttacattgGcGccceatagt GGGC | 81 |
| Linker_Bottom | CCacagatattaittaigacatCTGCA |  |
| 0x2600-F | ATGGTTTCGGTTGATGATGGG | 82 |
| 0x2600-R | TtTtTGTGCATATAAGGGGTTCAT | 83 |
| NosHind-F | GCaagcttGATCATGAGCGGAGAATTAAG GG | 84 |
| Nos2600-R | CCCATCATCAACCGAAACCATAGATCCGG TGCAGATTATTTGG | 85 |
| Nos2600-F | CCAAATAATCTGCACCGGATCTATGGTTT CGGTTGATGATGGG | 86 |
| NosAsc-R | TCggegegecGCGCATGTCTTGCGTTGAT G | 87 |
| Ox2580-F | ATGTCTCCGGCCGCCG | 88 |
| Ox2580-R | TGACTTGCTACTAAAAGCATTATATATGT TG | 89 |
| NosAsc-F | CAggcgegccGATCATGAGCGGAGAATTA AGGG | 90 |
| Nos2580-R | CGGCGGCCGGAGACATAGATCCGGTGCAG ATTATTTGG | 91 |
| Nos2580-F | ССАААТААТСТGСАССGGATCTATGTCTC CGGCCGCCG | 92 |
| NosSbf-R | TGcctgcaggGCGCATGTCTTGCGTTGAT G | 93 |
| M13 F | GTAAAACGACGGCCAG | 94 |
| M13 R | CAGGAAACAGCTATGAC | 95 |
| pSM101 seq | GTCTTGATGAGACCTGCTGCG | 96 |
| g2590pHind-F | CTTaagettGAATGGTTTTTGTTTTGTTG TСTСTCAC | 97 |
| g2590pSal-R | TTGGTCGACCGTATCATCCAATG | 98 |
| Bridge PCR |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SCN_Res } \\ & \text { Bridge F } \end{aligned}$ | TTTAGCCTGCTCCTCACAAATTC | 99 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SCN_Res } \\ & \text { Bridge R } \end{aligned}$ | TTGGAGAATATGCTCTCGGTTGT | 100 |
| Probes for Northern Analysis and Alt Transcript |  |  |
| 2570F_qPCR | TGAGATGGGTGGAGCTCAAGAAC | 101 |
| 2570 UTR Rev | CAAGTACTCTTCCCCACAAAAGC | 102 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2570 \text { put exon } \\ & \text { F } \end{aligned}$ | TGCAGTTTTAGTGGAAAGGCC | 103 |
| 2570 exon 6 R | TCATCAAGCTCAACTTGAATCCC | 104 |

TABLE 4-continued

| DNA sequences of oligonucleotide primersused for PCR ( 3 pages). |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primer | Sequence | $\begin{gathered} \text { SEQ } \\ \text { ID } \\ \text { NO: } \end{gathered}$ |
| RACE PCR |  |  |
| 2590-5GSP | GATCGGCCATTTTCCTCCGATCGAAACA | 105 |
| 2590-5NGSP | GACGACCAAGTCCAAATCCAAAACCCGC | 106 |
| 2590-3GSP | AAGCCAAAGAACTTGAGCAGCATGAGGC | 107 |
| 2590-3NGSP | CTGTCCAGTTGTTCGTCTTACACATCCA | 108 |
| 2610-5GSP | GGCGACGATCTTGACGACGGCGTT | 109 |
| 2610-5NGSP | TCATACAGTGCAACCACCAGCCGCG | 110 |
| 2610-3GSP | GGACGAGGTCACGTGTTGCCGTTGCT | 111 |
| 2610-3NGSP | TTCACCACTATGGGCGTATTCAGGTGGT | 112 |
| 2580-3GSP | CCTGGGGGATTCCAAAGGAACGC | 113 |

Vector Construction for Soybean Transformation
Binary vectors pSM101 and pSM103 for soybean transformation were constructed as previously described in Melito et al. To generate and clone soybean amiRNAs, the Web microRNA Designer (wmd3.weigelworld.org) and protocols were used. The concept is more thoroughly documented in other references. See Schwab, R., S. Ossowski, M. Riester, N. Warthmann, and D. Weigel, Highly specific gene silencing by artificial microRNAs in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell, 2006. 18(5): p. 1121-1133. Soybean DNA was extracted from either expanding soybean trifoliates or soybean roots using a previously reported CTAB method. Doyle, J. J. and E. E. Dickson, Preservation of plant-samples for DNA restriction endonuclease analysis. Taxon, 1987. 36(4): p. 715-722. PCR fragments for amiRNA construction were TA cloned using pCR8/GW/TOPO TA cloning kit (Life Technologies Corp., Carlsbad Calif.) (Table 4 13-24). Binary vectors pGRNAi1 and pGRNAi2 for soybean transformation were a gift from Wayne Parrot, University of Georgia (unpublished). For each hairpin, a 300-600 bp DNA fragment was PCR amplified (Table 4-12) using Phusion HF polymerase (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, Mass.) and iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Biorad, Hercules, Calif.) as a template, as per manufacturer's instructions. PCR products were TA cloned as previously described. Primers used to generate the DNA fragments were designed to contain restriction sites AvrII/AscI (forward primer) and $\mathrm{BamHI} /$ Swal (reverse primer) to allow cloning into pGRNAil and pGRNAi2. To generate the first arm of the hairpin, the insert and vector were sequentially digested with restriction endonucleases SwaI and AscI using manufacturer's recommended protocol (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, Mass.). DNA was separated on a $1.0 \%$ agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide, and respective DNA fragments were gel purified using Qiaquick gel extraction kit (Qiagen, Valencia, Calif.) and ligated together overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. using T4 DNA ligase (Promega, Madison, Wis.). The same procedure was used to insert the second arm of the hairpin construct using the restriction endonucleases BamHI and AvrII. To construct single gene overexpression vectors for Glyma18g02580 (Table 470,71 ), Glyma18g02590 (Table 457,58 ) and Glyma18g02610 (Table 455,56 ), full-length ORFs were

PCR amplified from cDNA of Fayette using Phusion HF polymerase and TA cloned in $\mathrm{pCR} 8 / \mathrm{GW} / \mathrm{TOPO}$ as previously described. Glyma18g02600 (Table 467,68 ) was cloned from genomic DNA by similar methods, as no Glyma18g02600 cDNA could be detected in root cDNA libraries. The Glyma18g02610 and Glyma18g02590 ORFs were recombined with pGWB14 (CaMV 35S promoter, $6 \times$ HA-NOS terminator) using LR clonase reaction (Life Technologies Corp., Carlsbad, Calif.) per manufactures instructions. See Nakagawa, T., T. Kurose, T. Hino, K. Tanaka, M. Kawamukai, Y. Niwa, K. Toyooka, K. Matsuoka, T. Jinbo, and T. Kimura, Development of series of gateway binary vectors, pGWBs , for realizing efficient construction of fusion genes for plant transformation. J Biosci Bioeng, 2007. 104(1): p. 34-41. Glyma18g02610 (Table 4 55,59 ) was PCR amplified from pGWB14 and TA cloned into pCR 8 . This vector and pSM 103 were digested with $\mathrm{XbaI} / \mathrm{KpnI}$ and ligated to yield $\mathrm{GmUbi}_{\text {prom }}$ : $2610-\mathrm{HA}:$ NOSterm (OE:2610-HA). The same procedure was used for Glyma18g02590 (Table 457 , 59), except the amplicon contained Xbal/SalI sites and was TA cloned into pCR8. 2590-HA:NOS ${ }_{\text {term }}$ and pSM103 were digested with XbaI/ Sall and ligated to yield $\mathrm{GmUbi}_{\text {prom }}: 2590-\mathrm{HA}: \mathrm{NOS}_{\text {term }}$ (OE:2590-HA). The full OE:2590-HA was also digested (XbaI/SalI) and ligated into pSM103 containing OE:2610HA to yield OE:2610-OE:2590. To generate the four gene overexpression construct, the restriction sites PacI, PspOMI, and AscI were added to pSM 101 between sites PstI/HindII by annealing oligos (Table 462,63 ) to generate pSM101+. The two gene overexpression cassette (OE:2610-OE:2590) was moved to the new pSM101+ using the restriction enzymes PstI/KpnI and ligation. A Nos promoter was added to Glyma18g02600 in pCR8 using overlap PCR (Table 4 65-68) and TA cloned into pCR8. This vector was recombined with pGWB16 (no promoter, 4xMyc-NOS terminator) in an LR clonase reaction to yield $\mathrm{Nos}_{\text {prom }}: 2600$-myc: Nos $_{\text {term }}$ (OE:2600-myc). OE:2600-myc was PCR amplified (Table 466,72 ) and TA cloned into pCR8, and subcloned into pSM101+(OE:2610-OE:2590) using restriction enzymes HinIII/AscI to yield the three gene overexpression vector (OE:2610-OE:2590-OE:2600). A Nos promoter was added to Glyma18g02580 in pCR8 using overlap PCR with primers 71-74 and TA cloned into pCR8. This vector was used with pGWB16 in an LR clonase reaction to yield Nos $_{p r o m}: 2580-\mathrm{myc}: \mathrm{Nos}_{t e r m}$ (OE:2580-myc). OE: $2580-\mathrm{myc}$ was amplified (Table 472,75 ) and TA cloned, then subcloned into the three gene overexpression vector resulting in the four gene overexpression vector $\mathrm{pSM1} 101+0 \mathrm{E}: 2610-\mathrm{OE}$ : 2590-OE:2600-OE:2580. The native Fayette Glyma18g02590 ( $2590_{\text {Fayp }}: 2590_{\text {Fay }}$ ) construct for Williams 82 complementation was subcloned from a fosmid containing the desired allele. A 6.5 kb DNA fragment containing the PI 88788 Glyma18g02590 was isolated from a fosmid following Sall digestion and cloned into pSM 101 using the SalI restriction site. This sequence contained approximately 1 kb of $5^{\prime}$ regulatory DNA sequence. An additional 600 bp of $5^{5}$ regulatory sequence directly upstream of the subcloned region was added to the construct by amplifying a PCR product (Table 479,80 ) from the fosmid and inserted using the restriction enzymes HindIII/SalI. The resulting construct contained approximately 1.6 kb of naturally occurring $5^{\prime}$ regulatory sequence of the Fayette Glyma18g02590 allele. Vector sequences were confirmed at various steps using Sanger sequencing with ABI Big Dye cycle sequencing kit (dideoxy chain-termination) and ABI $3730 \times 1$ DNA Analyzers (Life Technologies Corp., Carlsbad, Calif.), using the

DNA sequencing service at the University of WisconsinMadison Biotechnology Center.

TABLE 5
Amino acid sequences for Glymal8g2580, Glyma18g2590, Glyma18g2600 and Glyma18g2610
>Glyma18go2580.1|PACid: 16307711
SEQ ID NO: 1)
MSPAAGVSVPLLGDSKGTPPPASVPGAVFNVATSIVGAGIMSIPAIMKVL GVVPAFAMILVVAVLAELSVDFLMRFTHSGETTTYAGVMREAFGSGGALA AQVCVIITNVGGLILYLIIIGDVLSGKQNGGEVHLGILQQWFGIHWWNSR EFALLFTLVFVMLPLVLYKRVESLKYSSAVSTLLAVAFVGICCGLAITAL VQGKTQTPRLFPRLDYQTSFFDLFTAVPVVVTAFTFHFNVHPIGFELAKA SQMTTAVRLALLLCAVIYLAIGLFGYMLFGDSTQSDILINFDQNAGSAVG SLLNSLVRVSYALHIMLVFPLLNFSLRTNIDEVLFPKKPMLATDNKRFMI LTLVLLVFSYLAAIAIPDIWYFFQFLGSSSAVCLAFIFPGSIVLRDVKGI STRRDKIIALIMIILAVVTSVLAISTNIYNAFSSKS*
>Glyma18g02590.1|PACid: 16307712
(SEQ ID NO: 2)
MADQLSKGEEFEKKAEKKLSGWGLFGSKYEDAADLFDKAANCFKLAKSWD KAGATYLKLASCHLKLESKHEAAQAHVDAAHCYKKTNINESVSCLDRAVN LFCDIGRLSMAARYLKEIAELYEGEQNIEQALVYYEKSADFFQNEEVTTS ANQCKQKVAQFAAQLEQYQKSIDIYEEIARQSLNNNLLKYGVKGHLLNAG ICQLCKEDVVAI TNALERYQELDPTFSGTREYRLLADIAAAIDEEDVAKF TDVVKEFDSMTPLDSWKTTLLLRVKEKLKAKELEEDDLT*
>Glymal8go2610.1|PACid: 16307714
(SEQ ID NO: 3)
MRMLTGDSAADNSFRFVPQSIAAFGSTVIVEGCDSARNIAWVHAWTVTDG MITQIREYFNTALTVTRIHDSGEIVPARSG
>Glyma18go2600.1|PACid: 16307713
(SEQ ID NO: 4) MVSVDDGI VNPNDEIEKSNGS KVNEFASMDISATQKSYLNSEDPQRRLQG TLISSSVTNRINFLKFGSASAKFKRLATERDQVSISVPSPRSKSLRSRFS GMFAQKLDWASVKKMCMEWIRNPVNMALFVWIICVAVSGAILFLVMTGML NGVLPRKSKRNAWFEVNNQILNAVFTLIPNDISSLRKVYCKNVTYKPHEW THMMVVVILLHVNCFAQYALCGLNLGYKRSERPAIGVGICISFAIAGLYT ILSPLGKDYDCEMDEEAQVQITASQGKEQLREKPTEKKYSFASKDQQRVV ENRPKWSGGILDIWNDISLAYLSLFCTFCVLGWNMKRLGFGNMYVHIAIF MLFCMAPFWIFLLASVNIDDDNVRQALAAVGIILCFLGLLYGGFWRIQMR KRFNLPAYDFCFGKPSASDCTLWLPCCWCSLAQEARTRNNYDLVEDKFSR KETDTSDQPSISPLAREDVVSTRSGTSSPMGSTSNSSPYMMKTSSSPNSS NVLKGYYSPDKMLSTLNEDNCERGQDGTMNPLYAQK*

Quantitative Real Time PCR
Quantitative PCR (qPCR) was performed using either the MyIQ or CFX96 real-time PCR detection system (BioRad, Hercules, Calif.). cDNA was synthesized from RNA using iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Biorad, Hercules, Calif.) per manufactures protocol by adding 0.825 ug to 1.0 ug of RNA depending on the experiment. Total RNA was extracted from root tissue of conventional and transgenic soybeans. RNA was extracted from conventional soybean plants grown in Metro mix for two weeks at $26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. and 16 hours light prior to tissue collection. Roughly 200 mg of tissue was collected from each plant, immediately flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 C . Transgenic root material was collected from roots actively growing on HRM as previously described. Roughly $50-100 \mathrm{mg}$ of tissue was collected from each root, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 C. RNA was extracted using either the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, Calif.) or TRIzol reagent (Life Technologies Corp., Carlsbad, Calif.) following manufactures protocols. RNA concentrations were determined using the Nano-Drop-1000 spectophotomoter (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, Mass.). DNA was removed from RNA samples using either RNase-free DNase I (Qiagen, Valencia, Calif.) or DNA-free (Life Technologies Corp., Carlsbad, Calif.) following manufacture protocols. RNA integrity was determined using the 2100 BioAnlyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, Calif.) or 500 ng of total RNA was run on a $1.2 \%$ agarose gel
stained with ethidium bromide and visualized under UVlight to ensure RNA quality following extraction. qPCR reactions were carried out using either IQ SYBR Green Supermix or SsoFast EvaGreen Supermix (Biorad, Hercules, Calif.). Primer concentrations for all reactions were between $0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $0.3 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Two technical replicates were run per RNA. Efficiency curves were generated for qPCR primer pairs using cDNA from the cultivar Fayette or Williams 82 following a 3-4 step, 3-5 fold dilution. Following amplification, a melt curve program was performed. To ensure qPCR fluorescent signal was not the results of DNA, 100 ng of RNA extraction was added directly to IQ SYBR Green Supermix or SsoFast EvaGreen Supermix with primers. DNA contamination was considered negligible if CT values were not detected until after 32-35 cycles. A control reaction was run in parallel using a known cDNA sample. Transcript abundance for genes at Rhg 1 was measured using primers X-X. A total of six primer pairs were tested as reference genes (EF1B, SKIP16, UNK2, ACT11, UNK1, TIP41) (Table 4 39-50). Hu, R. B., C. M. Fan, H. Y. Li, Q. Z. Thang, and Y. F. Fu, Evaluation of putative reference genes for gene expression normalization in soybean by quantitative real-time RT-PCR. Bmc Molecular Biology, 2009. 10: p. 12. Reference genes were validated using Bestkeeper analysis. Pfaffl, M. W., A. Tichopad, C. Prgomet, and T. P. Neuvians, Determination of stable housekeeping genes, differentially regulated target genes and sample integrity: BestKeeper-Excel-based tool using pair-wise correlations. Biotechnology Letters, 2004. 26(6): p. 509-515. Primer pairs SKP16 and TIP41 were selected and used in subsequent experiments. Transgenic roots expressing empty-vector constructs analogous to the vectors carrying gene silencing or gene expression constructs were included in the experiments as controls and used to standardize gene expression. Results were considered to be at the limits of detection if CT values were $>35$ (i.e., for Glyma18g02600 transcripts).

## DNA Repeat Junction Analysis

The presence of a repeat junction was confirmed using PCR (Table 4 81, 82) and soybean genomic DNA from SCN resistant cultivars Fayette, Hartwig, Newton and SCN susceptible cultivars Williams 82, Essex, Thorne and Sturdy. DNA extraction and PCR were performed as previously described. Possible impacts of retrotransposons on Rhg1 locus evolution were investigated by searching for sequences with similarity to known plant retrotransposons. A 185 bp sequence with $75 \%$ identity to the $5^{\prime}$ and $3^{\prime}$ tong terminal repeat (LTR) regions of Ty1/copia-like retrotransposons RTvr1 and RTvr2 is present within 400 bp of the rhg1-b duplication junction.

## Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed by ANOVA using Minitab (v.14) with the General Linear Model and Tukey Simultaneous Test.
Fosmid Library Construction
Seed of soybean Plant Introduction (PI) 88788 was obtained from the USDA soybean germplasm collection. Plants were grown in a growth chamber set at a photocyle of $18 / 6 \mathrm{hr}$ (day/night), $23 / 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (day/night), and $50 \%$ relative humidity for $1-2$ weeks. Young leaf tissue was collected from six to 15 individuals for each line. Genomic DNA was extracted using cetrimonium bromide (CTAB). Plant samples were ground to fine powder in liquid nitrogen, transferred to 20 ml of CTAB extraction buffer ( $2 \%$ CTAB, 100 mM 8 Tris $\mathrm{pH} 9.5,1.4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \%$ PEG $6000,20 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, $2 \%$ polyvinylpyrrolidone, $2.5 \% \beta$-mercaptoethanol), and placed at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. for 1 hr . After incubation, an equal volume of Phenol:Chloroform:Isoamyl Alcohol (25:24:1,
pH 6.7 ) was added to the tube, then centrifuged at $8,000 \mathrm{~g}$ at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. for 10 min . The aqueous (top) phase was transferred to a new tube and an equal volume of chloroform: isoamyl alcohol (24:1) was added to the aqueous phase and centrifuged. The aqueous (top) phase was then transferred to a new tube and 0.7 volumes of isopropyl alcohol was added to the aqueous phase. After mixing well, the aqueous phase was centrifuged and the pellet resuspended in $70 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$, centrifuged at $7,500 \mathrm{~g}$ for 10 min . After centrifugation, the pellet was resuspended in 100 ul of TE ( 10 mM Tris pH 7.5 , 1 mM EDTA). The DNA was treated with RNase A by incubating in $20 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{ml}$ RNase A at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. for 1 hr . The PI 88788 fosmid library was constructed using the CopyControl ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Fosmid Library Production Kit (Epicentre, Madison, Wis.) following the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, 20 ug of the size-fractionated DNA was used for end-repair. 35-45 kb fragment pools of DNA were cloned in the pCC1FOS ${ }^{\text {Tm }}$ Vector. Ligated DNA was packaged using the MaxPlax ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Lambda Packaging Extracts and transformed into the Phage T1-Resistant EPI 300 ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}-\mathrm{T} 1 ®$ E. coli strain. Fosmid Clone Sequencing and Assembly

Five candidate fosmid clones were identified by PCRbased pool screening using primers based on the rhg1-b interval of the Williams 82 reference sequence. Once it was confirmed that end sequences matched the anticipated region of the reference soybean genome sequence, they were sequenced using both the Roche 454/GS FLX+ system (Roche) and Illumina MiSeq (Illumina). 1-3 ug of fosmid clone DNA was used for making paired-end sequencing libraries for $454 / \mathrm{GS}$ FLX+. After library construction, pooled barcoded libraries were loaded onto one lane of the sequencing flow cell and sequenced. The average read length was 463 bp . The number of reads generated from 454/GS FLX+ is as follows: fosmid clone \#1 in FIG. 2A: 10,865 , \#2: 6,271, \#3: 6,648, \#4: 6,520, and \#5: 9,390. The reads were assembled using Phrap/Cross_match and CAP3. Huang, G. Z., R. Allen, E. L. Davis, T. J. Baum, and R. S. Hussey, Engineering broad root-knot resistance in transgenic plants by RNAi silencing of a conserved and essential root-knot nematode parasitism gene. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2006. 103(39): p. 14302-14306. For the MiSeq, 0.3-2 ug of DNA was used for making the sequencing library. Average DNA fragment size was 550 bp (range from 430 to 720 bp ). 154 cycles from each end of the fragments were performed using a TruSeq SBS sequencing kit version 1 and analyzed with Casaval 0.8 (pipeline 1.8). Throughout the reads, the average quality scores for each base were over 30. The number of reads generated from MiSeq is as follows: fosmid clone \#1 in FIG. 2A: 1,067,403, \#2: 814, 728, \#3: 1,156,784, \#4: 1,091,852, and \#5: 946,028. ABySS was used to assemble the reads from MiSeq. Simpson, J. T., K. Wong, S. D. Jackman, J. E. Schein, S. J. M. Jones, and I. Birol, ABySS: A parallel assembler for short read sequence data. Genome Research, 2009. 19(6): p. 11171123. The result was visualized using Geneious. Homopolymeric sequences and other problematic regions were manually sequenced using Sanger primer walking.
Whole-Genome Shotgun Sequencing and Read Depth in Duplicated Region

Whole-genome shotgun sequencing of a soybean breeding line LD09-15087a, a near-isogenic line (NIL) that harbors rhg1-b from PI 88788, was conducted using Illumina technology. 1.5 ug of genomic DNA was sequenced using the Illumina HiSeq 2000 instrument with 100 bp paired-end sequencing at the University of Illinois Biotechnology Center. The DNA fragment size for the soybean whole-genome
shotgun sequencing library was 600 bp ; the library was loaded onto one lane of a flow cell and sequenced using version 3 of sequencing kits and Casava 1.8 (pipeline 1.9). $312,909,668$ reads (about $28 \times$ coverage of the 1.1 gb soybean genome) were generated with all positions having average quality scores 30 or higher. To examine the depth of the coverage within the duplicated region, reads from the sequencing were aligned to the Glyma1 version of the soybean genome assembly. Novoalign (v 2.08.01) with paired end options (PE 600,120 ) was used to align the reads to the reference genome. Approximately $95.1 \%$ of reads were aligned to the reference sequence. The number of reads aligned to the target interval was counted from a BAM file using SAMtools (v 0.1.18). Target interval is as follows: "Block" in FIG. 5B: a 31.2 kb region (1,632,225-1,663,455 on chromosome 18), "Block-1": the same size region as region of interest upstream, and "Block +1 ": the same size region as region of interest downstream. Homeologous regions on chromosome 11 ("Block" in FIG. 5B: 37,392, 345-37,434,356 bp) and 2 ("Block": 47,772,323-47,791,521 bp ) were identified using BLASTN. Analogous approaches were used with the soybean NAM parent sequence data. Fiber-FISH
Soybean nuclei were lysed to release large chromosomal segments and, in contrast to more standard FISH methods, the chromosome segments were decondensed to generate extended DNA fibers before fixing to microscope slides and hybridizing to fluorescently labeled DNA probes. Young leaf tissues were collected from fast growing plants of Williams 82, Peking, and Fayette. Nuclei isolation, DNA fiber preparation, and fiber-FISH were performed following published protocols. Jackson, S. A., M. L. Wang, H. M. Goodman, and J. Jiang, Application of fiber-FISH in physical mapping of Arabidopsis thaliana. Genome, 1998. 41(4): p. 566-72. A fosmid clone spanning an rhg1-b repeat from PI 88788 was digested using the exonuclease SmaI (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, Mass.). The products of the restriction digestion were separated in a $0.7 \%$ get and isolated using the Qiaex II gel extraction kit (Qiagen, Valencia, Calif.), DNA probes were labeled with either biotin-1641717P or digoxigenin-11-dUTP (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, Ind.) using a standard nick translation reaction. The fiber-FISH images were processed with Meta Imaging Series 7.5 software. The final contrast of the images was processed using Adobe Photoshop CS3 software. The cytological measurements of the fiber-FISH signals were converted into kilobases using a $3.21 \mathrm{~kb} / \mu \mathrm{m}$ conversion rate.
Transcript Analysis
To confirm the annotation of transcripts at Rhg1, rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE) PCR was performed for Glyma18g02580 (Table 4 95), Glyma18g02590 (Table 4 $87-90$ ) and Glyma18g02610 (Table 4 91-94) using the SMARTer RACE cDNA kit per manufacturer protocols (ClonTech, Mountain View, Calif.). Following RACE, PCR products were TA cloned into pCR8/GW/TOPO as previously mentioned. Randomly chosen colonies were sequenced (Table 476,77 ) as described to confirm the $5^{\prime}$ and $3^{\prime}$ ends of individual transcripts. To detect potential transcript isoforms, northern analysis was conducted using standard methods. Probes were generated for Glyma18g02570 (Table 4 83, 84). Absence of truncated Glyma18g02570 transcripts (Table 485,86 ) derived from 31.2 kb repeat junctions was also confirmed by PCR from cDNA, using a 2570 reverse primer and a forward primer in the most strongly predicted exon upstream of the repeat junction. Hebsgaard, S. M., P. G. Korning, N. Tolstrup, J. Engelbrecht, P, Rouze, and S. Brunak, Splice site prediction
in Arabidopsis thaliana pre-mRNA by combining local and global sequence information. Nucleic Acids Research, 1996. 24(17): p. 3439-3452. Transcript abundance studies using qPCR also indicated that there is not a Glyma 18 g 02570 -like transcript produced by the repeated DNA insertion. Glyma18g02570 transcript abundance was measured using primers (Table 425,26 ) that amplify the final two exons and hence should amplify both the reference genome (fulllength; Williams 82 -like) Glyma18g02570 transcript and possible hybrid Glyma18g02570 transcripts that are transcribed from DNA that spans the repeat junction. If the repeated DNA produced an alternative transcript, these primers would amplify additional product from genotypes with the repeat. However, no differences in transcript abundance were detected between SCN-resistant vs SCN-susceptible varieties using Glyma18g02570 primers 25 and 26. Protein Structure Prediction and Comparison

The protein structure for the predicted Glyma18g02610 gene product was modeled and proteins with the most homologous structures were identified using Phyre2, with default settings. Kelley, L. A. and M. J. E. Sternberg, Protein structure prediction on the Web: a case study using the Phyre server. Nature Protocols, 2009. 4(3): p. 363-371.

## Methylation Analysis

Locus specific DNA methylation was analyzed using the methylation specific endonulease McrBC , or the methylation sensitive endonuclease Hpall followed by PCR. (FIGS. 11A-11C.) McrBC (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, Mass.) digests DNA with methylated cytosines in a sequence-independent manner while unmethylated DNA is not digested. Hpall (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, Mass.) digests DNA at the recognition sequence CCGG, but HpaII endonuclese activity is blocked by cytosine methylation. Restriction digestions were performed using 600-700 ng of DNA and manufacturer's protocols. Control reactions were set up by adding the same amount of DNA to the reaction buffer with no restriction enzyme. Samples with and without the restriction enzyme were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. for 90 minutes, and heat inactivated at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. for 20 minutes. DNA was visualized in a $0.8 \%$ ethidium bromide stained gel to ensure DNA digestion. Both digested and control DNA samples were used for subsequent PCR using GoTaq Flexi DNA polymerase (Promega, Madison Wis.). For DNA
treated with McrBC, PCR primers that spanned methylated DNA would not produce the intended product following PCR because the template DNA would be digested by McrBC. DNA that was not methylated or not treated with the enzyme yielded a product of the expected size. For DNA treated with HpaII, PCR primers that spanned the DNA sequence CCGG in which either cytosine was methylated yielded a PCR product of the expected size. DNA sequence CCGG that was not methylated was cleaved by HpaII and failed to yield a PCR product. DNA incubated in buffer without HpaII yielded expected PCR products.
Western Blot Analysis
Protein size and abundance were measured using Western blot and immunodetection procedures (Ausubel et al. 1997). Briefly, protein was extracted from roots of transgenic soybeans by homogenizing frozen root tissue and re-suspending the material in $2 \times$ Tricine sample buffer ( 0.1 M TrisCl/ $0.3 \%$ SDS pH6.8, $24 \%$ glycerol, $8 \%$ SDS, 0.2 M DTT) at $1: 1 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ ratio. An equal volume of each protein sample was separated in a Tris-Tricine polyacrylamide gel (9.8\% separation gel, $3.9 \%$ stacking gel) using electrophoresis in the Biorad Mini Protean3 cassette (Biorad, Hercules Calif.). The samples are separated at 35 volts for roughly one hour, followed by another hour at 160 volts. The gel was moved to a transfer cassette and aqueous transferred to a Protran nitrocellulose membrane (Whatman, Piscataway, N.J.). The transfer was run for an hour at 80 volts at room temperature. Following transfer, membranes were stained for total protein using $0.1 \%$ Ponceau $S$ (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Mo.) in $5 \%$ acetic acid and imaged. Ponceau S was destained in $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} 0$, and the membrane was blocked over night at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. in TBST ( 20 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,8 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.1 \%$ Tween) carrying $5 \%$ milk. New $5 \%$ milk in TBST was added to the membrane and placed on shaker at room temperature for 30 minutes. The membrane was incubated with HA primary antibody directly conjugated to horse radish peroxidase (HRP) at a 1:1000 concentration in $5 \%$ milk TBST for 90 minutes. The membrane was washed $3 \times$ in TBST at room temperature on a shaker for 20 minutes each. Supersignal West Dura Extended Duration Substrate (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, Mass.) ECL kit was used following manuacture protocols to detect the HA-HRP antibody on the membrane. The memebrane was exposed to Cl-Xposure film (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, Mass.) and developed.

```
<160> NUMBER OF SEQ ID NOS: 113
<210> SEQ ID NO 1
<211> LENGTH: 436
<212> TYPE: PRT
<213> ORGANISM: Glycine max
<220> FEATURE:
<221> NAME/KEY: misc_feature
<222> LOCATION: (1) .. (436)
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: Glyma18g02580 protein sequence, Variety:
Williams 82
<400> SEQUENCE: 1
```



$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 2
$<211>$ LENGTH: 289
$<212>$ TYPE: PRT

| $<213>$ | ORGANISM: Glycine max |
| ---: | :--- |
| $<220$ | $>$ FEATURE: |
| $<221>$ NAME/KEY: misc_feature |  |
| $<222>$ | LOCATION: (1) . (289) |
| $<223>$ | OTHER INFORMATION: Glyma18go2590 protein sequence, Variety: |
|  | Williams 82 |
| $<400>$ | SEQUENCE: 2 |

Met Ala Asp Gln Leu Ser Lys Gly Glu Glu Phe Glu Lys Lys Ala Glu
Lys Lys Leu ser Gly Trp Gly Leu Phe Gly ser Lys Tyr Glu Asp Ala
Ala Asp Leu Phe Asp Lys Ala Ala Asn Cys Phe Lys Leu Ala Lys Ser354045
Trp Asp Lys Ala Gly Ala Thr Tyr Leu Lys Leu Ala Ser Cys His Leu
505560

Arg Tyr Leu Lys Glu Ile Ala Glu Leu Tyr Glu Gly Glu Gln Asn Ile
Glu Gln Ala Leu Val Tyr Tyr Glu Lys Ser Ala Asp Phe Phe Gln Asn
Glu Glu Val Thr Thr Ser Ala Asn Gln Cys Lys Gln Lys Val Ala Gln
Phe Ala Ala Gln Leu Glu Gln Tyr Gln Lys ser Ile Asp Ile Tyr Glu

| Glu Ile Ala Arg Gln Ser Leu Asn Asn Asn Leu Leu Lys Tyr Gly Val |  |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | 180 |
|  | 185 |
| Lys Gly His Leu Leu Asn Ala Gly Ile Cys Gln Leu Cys Lys Glu Asp |  |
| 195 | 200 |

Val Val Ala Ile Thr Asn Ala Leu Glu Arg Tyr Gln Glu Leu Asp Pro
210
215
Thr Phe Ser Gly Thr Arg Glu Tyr Arg Leu Leu Ala Asp Ile Ala Ala
225

230

Ala Ile Asp Glu Glu Asp Val Ala Lys Phe Thr Asp Val Val Lys Glu
240
 Thr

| $<210>$ | SEQ ID NO 3 |
| ---: | :--- |
| $<211>$ | LENGTH: 107 |
| $<212>$ | TYPE : PRT |
| $<213>$ | ORGANISM: Glycine max |
| $<220>$ | FEATURE: |
| $<221>$ NAME/KEY: misc_feature |  |
| $<222>$ LOCATION: (1) .. (107) |  |
| $<223>$ | OTHER INFORMATION: Glyma18g02610 protein sequence, Variety: |
|  | Williams 82 |
| $<400>$ | SEQUENCE: 3 |


| 1 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  | 15 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Va | Pro | $\mathrm{Gln}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ser } \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | Ile | Ala | Ala | Phe | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gly } \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | S |  |  | Val Il | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Val } \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ |  | Gly |
| Cy | Asp | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ser } \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | Ala | Arg | Asn | Ile | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ala } \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\operatorname{Trp}$ | Va |  | His | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ala } \quad \text { Try } \\ 45 \end{gathered}$ | Thr |  | Thr |
| As | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gly } \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | Met | Ile | Thr | Gln | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ile } \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | Arg | Glu | TY | yr | Phe | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asn Th } \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | Ala |  | Thr |
| Va | Thr | Arg | Ile | His | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asp } \\ & 70 \end{aligned}$ | Ser | Gly | Glu |  | le | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Val } \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | Pro A. | Arg | Ser | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gly } \\ & 80 \end{aligned}$ |
| Al | Gly | Arg | Leu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pro } \\ & 85 \end{aligned}$ | cys | Val | $\operatorname{Trp}$ | Glu | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Se} \\ & 90 \end{aligned}$ |  | Ser | Val s | Gly | Arg <br> 95 | Val |
|  | Lys | Ser | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Val } \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | Pro | Gly | Leu | Val | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Leu } \\ & 105 \end{aligned}$ | Al | la | Ile |  |  |  |  |


| $<210>$ | SEQ ID NO 4 |
| ---: | :--- |
| $<211>$ LENGTH: 536 |  |
| $<212>$ TYPE: PRT |  |
| $<213>$ ORGANISM: Glycine max |  |
| $<220>$ FEATURE: |  |
| $<221>$ NAME/KEY: misc_feature |  |
| $<222>$ LOCATION: (1)..(536) |  |
| $<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Glyma18g02600 protein sequence, Variety: |  |
|  | Williams 82 |
| $<400>$ | SEQUENCE: 4 |

Met Val Ser Val Asp Asp Gly Ile Val Asn Pro Asn Asp Glu Ile Glu
1

|  |  |  |  | 245 |  |  |  |  | 250 |  |  |  |  | 255 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lys | Asp | Tyr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asp } \\ & 260 \end{aligned}$ | Cys | Glu | Met | Asp | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Glu } \\ & 265 \end{aligned}$ | Glu | Ala | Gln | Val | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Gln} \\ & 270 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Ala | Ser | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Gln} \\ & 275 \end{aligned}$ | Gly | Lys | Glu | $\mathrm{Gln}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Leu } \\ & 280 \end{aligned}$ | Arg | Glu | Lys | Pro | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thr } \\ & 285 \end{aligned}$ | Glu |  | Lys |
| Tyr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ser } \\ & 290 \end{aligned}$ | Phe | Ala | Ser | Lys | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asp } \\ & 295 \end{aligned}$ | Gln | Gln | Arg | Val | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Val } \\ & 300 \end{aligned}$ | Glu | Asn |  | Pro |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lys } \\ & 305 \end{aligned}$ | $\operatorname{Trp}$ | Ser | Gly | $\mathrm{Gly}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ile } \\ & 310 \end{aligned}$ | Leu | Asp | Ile | $\operatorname{Trp}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asn } \\ & 315 \end{aligned}$ | Asp | Ile | Ser | Leu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ala } \\ & 320 \end{aligned}$ |
| Tyr | Leu | Ser | Leu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Phe } \\ & 325 \end{aligned}$ | Cys | Thr | Phe | Cys | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Val } \\ & 330 \end{aligned}$ | Leu | Gly | $\operatorname{Trp}$ | Asn | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Met } \\ & 335 \end{aligned}$ | Lys |
| Arg | Leu | Gly | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Phe } \\ & 340 \end{aligned}$ | Gly | Asn | Met | TYr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Val } \\ & 345 \end{aligned}$ |  | Ile | Ala |  | Phe <br> 350 |  | Leu |
| Phe | Cys | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Met } \\ & 355 \end{aligned}$ | Ala | Pro | Phe | Trp | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ile } \\ & 360 \end{aligned}$ | Phe | Leu | Leu | Ala | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ser } \\ & 365 \end{aligned}$ | Val | Asn | Ile |
| Asp | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asp } \\ & 370 \end{aligned}$ | Asp | Asn | Val | Arg | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gln } \\ & 375 \end{aligned}$ | Ala | Leu | Ala | Ala | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Val } \\ & 380 \end{aligned}$ | Gly | Ile | Ile | Leu |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cys } \\ & 385 \end{aligned}$ | Phe | Leu | Gly | Leu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Leu } \\ & 390 \end{aligned}$ | Tyr | Gly | Gly | Phe | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Trp} \\ & 395 \end{aligned}$ | Arg | Ile | Gln |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Arg } \\ & 400 \end{aligned}$ |
| Lys | Arg | Phe | Asn | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Leu } \\ & 405 \end{aligned}$ | Pro | Ala | Tyr | Asp | Phe <br> 410 | Cys | Phe |  | Lys | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pro } \\ & 415 \end{aligned}$ | Ser |
| Ala | Ser | Asp | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cys } \\ & 420 \end{aligned}$ | Thr | Leu | $\operatorname{Trp}$ | Leu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pro } \\ & 425 \end{aligned}$ | Cys | Cys | Trp | Cys | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ser } \\ & 430 \end{aligned}$ |  | Ala |
| Gln | Glu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ala } \\ & 435 \end{aligned}$ | Arg | Thr | Arg | Asn | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asn } \\ & 440 \end{aligned}$ | Tyr | Asp | Leu | Val | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Glu } \\ & 445 \end{aligned}$ | Asp |  | Phe |
| Ser | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Arg } \\ & 450 \end{aligned}$ | Lys | Glu | Thr | Asp | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thr } \\ & 455 \end{aligned}$ | Ser | Asp | Gln | Pro | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ser } \\ & 460 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Pro | Leu |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ala } \\ & 465 \end{aligned}$ | Arg | Glu | Asp | Val | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Val } \\ & 470 \end{aligned}$ | Ser | Thr | Arg | Ser | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gly } \\ & 475 \end{aligned}$ | Thr |  | Ser |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Met } \\ & 480 \end{aligned}$ |
| Gly | Ser | Thr | Ser | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asn } \\ & 485 \end{aligned}$ | ser | Ser | Pro | Tyr | Met <br> 490 | Met | Lys | Thr | Ser | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ser } \\ & 495 \end{aligned}$ | Ser |
| Pro | Asn | Ser | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ser } \\ & 500 \end{aligned}$ | Asn | Val | Leu | Lys | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gly } \\ & 505 \end{aligned}$ | Tyr | Tyr | Ser | Pro | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asp } \\ & 510 \end{aligned}$ | Lys | Met |
| Leu | Ser | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thr } \\ & 515 \end{aligned}$ | Leu | Asn | Glu | Asp | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asn } \\ & 520 \end{aligned}$ | Cys | Glu | Arg | Gly | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Gln} \\ & 525 \end{aligned}$ | Asp |  | Thr |
| Met | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asn } \\ & 530 \end{aligned}$ | Pro | Leu | Tyr | Ala | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Gln} \\ & 535 \end{aligned}$ | Lys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $<210>$ | SEQ ID NO 5 |
| ---: | :--- |
| $<211>$ | LENGTH: 290 |
| $<212>$ | TYPE: PRT |
| $<213>$ | ORGANISM: Glycine max |
| $<220>$ | FEATURE: |
| $<221>$ NAME/KEY: misc_feature |  |
| $<222>$ LOCATION: (1)..(290) |  |
| $<223>$ | OTHER INFORMATION: Glyma18g02590 protein sequence, Variety: |
|  | Fayette |
| $<400>$ | SEQUENCE: 5 |



$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 6
$<211>$ LENGTH: 290
$<212>$ TYPE: PRT
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Glycine max
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<221>$ NAME/KEY: misc_feature
$<222>$ LOCATION: (1) . (290)
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Glyma18go2590 protein sequence, Variety: Peking
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 6






| $<210>$ | SEQ ID NO 8 |
| ---: | :--- |
| $<211>$ | LENGTH: 309 |
| $<212>$ | TYPE $:$ DNA |
| $<213>$ | ORGANISM: Glycine max |
| $<220>$ FEATURE: |  |
| $<221>$ NAME/KEY: misc_feature |  |
| $<222>$ LOCATION: (1)..(309) |  |
| $<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Glyma18go2590 nucleotide sequence partial, |  |
|  | Variety: Fayette |
| $<400>$ | SEQUENCE: 8 |

aagtatggag ttaaaggaca cettcttaat gctggcatct gcaaactctg taaagaggac 60
gttgttgcta taaccaatgc attagaacga tatcaggaac tggatccaac attttcagga 120
acacgtgaat atagattgtt ggcggacatt gctgctgcaa ttgatgaaga agatgttgca 180
aagtttactg atgttgtcaa ggaattgat agtatgaccc etctggattc ttggaagacc 240
acacttctct taagggtgaa ggaaaagctg aaagccaaag aacttgagca gcatgaggct 300
attacttga 309

| $<210$ | $>$ SEQ ID NO 9 |
| ---: | :--- |
| $<211>$ | LENGTH: 306 |
| $<212>$ TYPE: DNA |  |
| $<213$ | $>$ ORGANISM: Glycine max |
| $<220>$ FEATURE: |  |
| $<221>$ NAME/KEY: misc_feature |  |
| $<222>$ LOCATION: (1) . (306) |  |
| $<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Glymal8go 2590 nucleotide sequence partial, |  |
|  | Variety: Williams 82 |
| $<400$ | $>$ SEQUENCE: 9 |

aagtatggag ttaaggaca cettcttaat getggcatct gccaactctg taaagaggac 60
gttgttgcta taaccaatgc attagaacga tatcaggaac tggatccaac attttcagga 120
acacgtgaat atagattgtt ggcggacatt gctgctgcaa ttgatgaaga agatgttgca 180
aagtttactg atgttgtcaa ggaatttgat agtatgaccc ctctggattc ttggaagacc 240
acacttctct taagggtgaa ggaaaagctg aaagccaaag aacttgagga ggatgatctt 300

| acttga | 306 |
| :--- | :--- |

$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 10
$<211>$ LENGTH: 273
$<212>$ TYPE : DNA

| <213> ORGANISM: Glycine max |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| <220> FEATURE: |  |
| <221> NAME/KEY: misc_feature |  |
| $<222>$ LOCATION: (1) . (273) |  |
| <223> OTHER INFORMATION: Glyma18g02590 nucleotide sequence partial, Variety: Peking Iso |  |
| $<400>$ SEQUENCE : 10 |  |
| aagtatggag ttaaaggaca ccttcttaat gctggcatct gccaactctg taaagaggag | 60 |
| gaactggatc caacattttc aggaacacgt gaatatagat tgttggcgga cattgctgct | 120 |
| gcaattgatg aagaagatgt tgcaaagttt actgatgttg tcaaggaatt tgatagtatg | 180 |
| acccetctgg attcttggaa gaccacactt ctcttaaggg tgaaggaaaa gctgaaagcc | 240 |
| aaagaacttg aggagtatga ggttattact tga | 273 |


| $<210$ | $>$ SEQ ID NO 11 |
| ---: | :--- |
| $<211>$ | LENGTH: 309 |
| $<212>$ TYPE: DNA |  |
| $<213>$ ORGANISM: Glycine max |  |
| $<220>$ FEATURE: |  |
| $<221>$ NAME/KEY: misc_feature |  |
| $<222>$ LOCATION: (1)..(309) |  |
| $<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Glyma18go2590 nucleotide sequence partial, |  |
| Variety: Peking |  |
| $<400>$ SEQUENCE: 11 |  |

aagtatggag ttaaaggaca cottcttaat gctggcatct gccaactctg taaagaggag 60
gttgttgcta taaccaatgc attagaacga tatcaggaac tggatccaac attttcagga 120
acacgtgaat atagattgtt ggcggacatt gctgctgcaa ttgatgaaga agatgttgca 180
aagtttactg atgttgtcaa ggaatttgat agtatgaccc ctctggattc ttggaagacc 240
acacttctct taagggtgaa ggaaaagctg aaagccaaag aacttgagga gtatgaggtt 300

| attacttga | 309 |
| :--- | :--- |



ttctaaaatg gacttgtaat tggtg

| $<210>$ | SEQ ID NO 15 |
| ---: | :--- |
| $<211>$ | LENGTH: 41 |
| $<212>$ | TYPE: DNA |
| $<213>$ | ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence |
| $<220>$ | FEATURE: |
| $<223>$ | OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: Glycine max, |
|  | Junction resistant varieties |
| $<400>$ | SEQUENCE: 15 |

atagaagttt ctaaatgga ctgataatca aatagttatt $g$
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 16
$<211>$ LENGTH: 5
$<212>$ TYPE : PRT
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic Peptide
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 16
Glu Glu Asp Leu Thr
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 17
$<211>$ LENGTH: 6
$<212>$ TYPE: PRT
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic Peptide
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 17

| Gln His Glu Ala |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Ile Thr |
| 5 |  |

$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 18
$<211>$ LENGTH: 5
$<212>$ TYPE: PRT
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic Peptide
$<400>$ SEQUENCE : 18
Tyr Glu Val Ile Thr
15
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 19
$<211>$ LENGTH: 39
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: 2570 hpRNAi _F
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 19
aggatccatt taaatcaagt actcttcccc acaaaaget
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 20
$<211>$ LENGTH: 40
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: 2570 hpRNAi $\quad$ R
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 20
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 21
$<211>$ LENGTH: 37
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: 2580 hpRNAi _F
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 21
acctaggagg cgcgcetcat gaaggttctc ggcgtag
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 22
$<211>$ LENGTH: 36
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: 2580 hpRNAi _R
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 22
aggatccatt taaatccacc agtgaattcc aaacca
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 23
$<211>$ LENGTH: 36
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: 2590 hpRNAi _F
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 23
gacctaggcg egceggactt ggtcgtcaac acagtc
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 24
$<211>$ LENGTH: 36
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: 2590 hpRNAi $R$
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 24
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 25
$<211>$ LENGTH: 37
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: 2600 hpRNAi _F

```
<400> SEQUENCE: 25
acctaggagg cgcgccgcca aattcaaaag gcttgct
<210> SEQ ID NO 26
<211> LENGTH: 36
<212> TYPE: DNA
<213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
<220> FEATURE:
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: 2600 hpRNAi _R
<400> SEQUENCE: 26
```

aggatccatt taaatcacca ttcaacatgc ctgtca
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 27
$<211>$ LENGTH: 41
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: 2610 hpRNAi _F
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 27
taacctagga ggcgegccac aactcettcc gattegttce g
<210> SEQ ID NO 28
<211> LENGTH: 40
<212> TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
<220> FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: 2610 hpRNA _R
<400> SEQUENCE: 28
caggatccat ttaaatagat acaaccacct gaatacgccc
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 29
$<211>$ LENGTH: 38
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: 2620 hpRNAi _F
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 29
aggatccatt taaatctcgc aacaccatat ccagagta
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 30
$<211>$ LENGTH: 39
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: 2620 hpRNAi _R
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 30
acctaggagg cgcgccggtg ttaaggtcga acctgcgaa
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 31
$<211>$ LENGTH: 40
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: $2590-1$ I miR-s
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 31
$<211>$ LENGTH: 37
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: 2590-1 II miR-a
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 32
gaactttgct ataaccaata tcaaagagaa tcaatga 37
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 33
$<211>$ LENGTH: 40
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: $2590-1$ III miR*s
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 33
gaacagtgtt getattacca atttcacagg tcgtgatatg
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 34
$<211>$ LENGTH: 40
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: $2590-1$ IV miR*a
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 34
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 35
$<211>$ LENGTH: 40
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: $2610-1$ I miR-s
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 35
gatatttccc gaccogacgg gactctctct tttgtattcc
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 36
$<211>$ LENGTH: 40
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: $2610-1$ II miR-a
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 36
gagtcccgtc gggtcgggaa atatcaaaga gaatcaatga
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 37
$<211>$ LENGTH: 40
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: $2610-1$ III miR*S
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 37
gagtaccgtc gggtccggaa atttcacagg tcgtgatatg
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 38
$<211>$ LENGTH: 40
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: $2610-1$ IV miR*a
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 38
gaaatteccg gacccgacgg tactctacat atatattcct
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 39
$<211>$ LENGTH: 40
$<212>$ TYPE $: ~ D N A ~$
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: $2610-2$ I miR-s
$<400>S E Q U E N C E: 39$
gatatccagt caccgcgacg tggtctctct tttgtattcc
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 40
$<211>$ LENGTH: 40
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: $2610-2$ II miR-a
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 40
gaccacgtcg cggtgactgg atatcaaaga gaatcaatga
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 41
$<211>$ LENGTH: 40
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: $2610-2$ III miR*s
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 41
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 42
$<211>$ LENGTH: 40
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: $2610-2$ IV miR*a
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 42
gaaatccaga caccgcgacg gggtctacat atatattcct
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 43
$<211>$ LENGTH: 23
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: gm18: 2570 F_qPCR
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 43
tgagatgggt ggagctcaag aac 23
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 44
$<211>$ LENGTH: 24
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: gml8: 2570 R_qPCR
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 44

```
<210> SEQ ID NO 45
<211> LENGTH: 24
<212> TYPE: DNA
```

$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: gm18: 2580 F_qPCR
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 45
cgtgtagagt cettgaagta cagc
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 46
$<211>$ LENGTH: 22
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: gm18: 2580 R_qPCR
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 46
accagagctg tgatagccaa cc
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 47
$<211>$ LENGTH: 22
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: gm18: 2590 F_qPCR
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 47
tcgccaaatc atgggacaag gc
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 48
$<211>$ LENGTH: 22
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: gm18: 2590 R_qPCR
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 48
caatgtgcag catcgacatg gg
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 49
$<211>$ LENGTH: 24
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE :
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: gm18: 2600 F_qPCR
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 49
gcttcagtca agaaaatgtg catg
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 50
$<211>$ LENGTH: 23
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: gm18: 2600 R_qPCR
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 50
cacccgaaac cgcgacacaa atg
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 51
$<211>$ LENGTH: 19
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: gm18: 2610 F_qPCR
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 51
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 52
$<211>$ LENGTH: 27
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: gml8: 2610 R_qPCR
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 52
aaaccacacc aataacaaca aagctct
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 53
$<211>$ LENGTH: 22
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: gm18: 2620 F_qPCR
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 53
aagcccaaca ggccaaagag ag
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 54
$<211>$ LENGTH: 22
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: gm18: 2620 R_qPCR
$<400>$ SEOUENCE: 54
$<400>$ SEQUENCE : 54
acaccaaatg ggttcgcact tc
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 55
$<211>$ LENGTH: 24
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: gml : 2630 F_qPCR
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 55
ttgtggaagt gaaagtcggt ttgc 24
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 56
$<211>$ LENGTH: 24
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: gml8: 2630 R_qPCR
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 56
gttgtcacgt ttcccgtaac aatg 24
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 57
$<211>$ LENGTH: 24
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: EFIb_For.qRT
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 57
ccactgctga agaagatgat gatg
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: EFIb_Rev.qRT
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 58
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 59
$<211>$ LENGTH: 21
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: SKIP16_FOr. qRT
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 59
gagcccaaga cattgcgaga $g$
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 60
$<211>$ LENGTH: 21
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: SKIP16_Rev.qRT
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 60
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 61
$<211>$ LENGTH: 21
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: UKN2_For.qRT
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 61
gcctctggat acctgctcaa $g \quad 21$
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 62
$<211>$ LENGTH: 22
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: UKN2_Rev.qRT
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 62
acctcctcct caaactcctc tg
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 63
$<211>$ LENGTH: 24
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: ACT11_For.qPCR
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 63
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 64
$<211>$ LENGTH: 19
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: ACT11_Rev.qPCR
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 64
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 65
$<211>$ LENGTH: 21
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: UNK1_For.qPCR
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 65
tggtgctgcc gctattact $g$
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 66
$<211>$ LENGTH: 23
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: UNK1_Rev.qPCR
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 66
ggtggaagga actgctaaca atc
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 67
$<211>$ LENGTH: 22
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: TIP41_For. GPCR
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 67
aggatgaact cgctgataat $g g$
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 68
$<211>$ LENGTH: 22
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISN: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: TIP41_Rev.qPCR
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 68
cagaaacgca acagaagaaa cc
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 69
$<211>$ LENGTH: 22
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: PR-1 (6790) F
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 69
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 70
$<211>$ LENGTH: 22
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: PR-1 (6790) R
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 70
aacttcctgc gagetgcgat ac

```
<210> SEQ ID NO 71
<211> LENGTH: 23
<212> TYPE: DNA
<213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
<220> FEATURE:
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: PR-1 (6800) F
```

$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 71
agtcattgtg ggtgatcatg ctg
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 72
$<211>$ LENGTH: 24
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: PR-1 (6800) R
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 72
gcagcgttgt gtgcattaac aaag
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 73
$<211>$ LENGTH: 23
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: Ox2610-SalF2
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 73
gtcgacatgc gcatgctcac cgg 23
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 74
$<211>$ LENGTH: 20
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: P2610fused-R
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 74
tattgcgaga accaaaccgg
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 75
$<211>$ LENGTH: 30
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: Ox2590Sal-F
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 75
gggtcgacat ggcegatcag ttatcgaagg 30
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 76
$<211>$ LENGTH: 26
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: Ox2590fused-R
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 76
agtaatagcc tcatgctgct caagtt
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 77
$<211>$ LENGTH: 28
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: TerXba-R
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 77
actctagagc gcatgtcttg egttgatg
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: GmubiXba-F
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 78
$<210\rangle S E Q$ ID NO 80
<211> LENGTH: 48
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
<213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
<220> FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: PPA Linker_Top
$<400>$ SEQUENCE : 80
gatgtcttaa ttaatatctg tgggcecact atggcgcgce aatgtaaa
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 81
$<211>$ LENGTH: 56
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: PPA Linker_Bottom
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 81
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 81
agcttttaca ttggcgcgcc atagtgggce cacagatatt aattaagaca tctgca
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 82
$<211>$ LENGTH: 21
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: $0 \times 2600-\mathrm{F}$
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 82
atggtttcgg ttgatgatgg 9
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 83
$<211>$ LENGTH: 24
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: OX2600-R
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 83
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 85
$<211>$ LENGTH: 43
$<212>$ TYPE : DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: Nos $2600-\mathrm{R}$
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 85
cccatcatca accgaaacca tagatccggt gcagattatt tgg
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 86
$<211>$ LENGTH: 43
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: Nos $2600-\mathrm{F}$
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 86
ccaaataatc tgcaccggat ctatggtttc ggttgatgat ggg
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 87
$<211>$ LENGTH: 30
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: NosAsc-R
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 87
tcggcgcgcc gcgcatgtct tgcgttgatg 30
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 88
$<211>$ LENGTH: 16
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: ox2580-F
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 88
atgtctccgg ccgecg
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 89
$<211>$ LENGTH: 31
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: OX2580-R
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 89
tgacttgcta ctaaaagcat tatatatgtt $g$
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 90
$<211>$ LENGTH: 33
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: NosAsc-F
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 90
<220> FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: Nos $2580-\mathrm{R}$
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 91
cggcggcegg agacatagat ecggtgcaga ttattgg 38
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 92
$<211>$ LENGTH: 38
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: Nos $2580-\mathrm{F}$
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 92
ccaaataatc tgcaccggat ctatgtctcc ggccgccg
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 93
$<211>$ LENGTH: 30
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: NosSbf-R
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 93
tgcetgcagg gcgcatgtct tgcgttgatg 30
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 94
$<211>$ LENGTH: 16
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: M13 F
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 94
gtaaaacgac ggccag
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 95
$<211>$ LENGTH: 17
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: M13 R
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 95
caggaaacag ctatgac
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 96
$<211>$ LENGTH: 21
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: pSM101 seq
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 96
gtcttgatga gacctgctgc 9
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 97
$<211>$ LENGTH: 37
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: g2590pHind-F
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 97
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 98
$<211>$ LENGTH: 23
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: g2590pSal-R
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 98
ttggtcgacc gtatcatcca atg 23
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 99
$<211>$ LENGTH: 23
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: SCN Res Bridge F
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 99
tttagcetgc tectcacaaa ttc 23
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 100
$<211>$ LENGTH: 23
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: SCN_Res Bridge R
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 100
ttggagaata tgctctcggt tgt
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 101
$<211>$ LENGTH: 23
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: 2570 F _qPCR
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 101
tgagatgggt ggagctcaag aac
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 102
$<211>$ LENGTH: 23
$<212>$ TYPE : DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: 2570 UTR Rev
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 102
caagtactct tceccacaaa agc 23
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 103
$<211>$ LENGTH: 21
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: 2570 put exon $F$
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 103
tgcagtttta gtggaaaggc c
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 104
$<211>$ LENGTH: 23
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: 2570 exon 6 R
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 104
tcatcaagct caacttgaat ccc
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 105
$<211>$ LENGTH: 28
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: $2590-5 \mathrm{GSP}$
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 105
gatcggccat tttcctccga tcgaaaca
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 106
$<211>$ LENGTH: 28
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: 2590-5NGSP
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 106
gacgaccaag tccaaatcca aaaccogc
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 107
$<211>$ LENGTH: 28
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: 2590-3GSP
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 107
aagccaaaga acttgagcag catgaggc 28
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 108
$<211>$ LENGTH: 28
$<212>$ TYPE : DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: 2590-3NGSP
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 108
ctgtccagtt gttcgtctta cacatcca 28
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 109
$<211>$ LENGTH: 24
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: $2610-5 G S P$
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 109
ggcgacgatc ttgacgacgg egtt 24
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 110
$<211>$ LENGTH: 25
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: $2610-5 N G S P$
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 110
tcatacagtg caaccaccag cegcg
-continued
$<211>$ LENGTH: 26
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: $2610-3 \mathrm{GSP}$
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 111
ggacgaggtc acgtgttgcc gttgct 26
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 112
$<211>$ LENGTH: 28
$<212>$ TYPE : DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: 2610-3NGSP
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 112
ttcaccacta tgggcgtatt caggtggt

$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 113
$<211>$ LENGTH: 23
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic oligonucleotide: $2580-3 G S P$
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 113

We claim:

1. A method of increasing resistance of plant cells to nematodes comprising:
introducing three or more copies of polynucleotides that encode
a polypeptide according to SEQ ID NO: 1 , or a polypeptide with at least $98 \%$ identity to SEQ ID NO: 1 ,
a polypeptide according to SEQ ID NO: 2, SEQ ID NO: 5 , or SEQ ID NO: 6, or a polypeptide with at least $95 \%$ identity to SEQ ID NO: 2, SEQ ID NO: 5, or SEQ ID NO: 2, and
a polypeptide according to SEQ ID NO: 3,
wherein said plant cells have increased resistance to nematodes relative to plant cells without increased copy number of said polynucleotides,
and wherein said plant cells are soybean, Arabidopsis or potato.
2. The method of claim $\mathbf{1}$, wherein four or more copies of
polynucleotides encoding said polypeptides are introduced in the plant cells.
3. The method of claim 1, wherein at least ten copies of polynucleotides encoding said polypeptides are introduced in the plant cells.
4. The method of claim 1 , wherein the plant cells comprise a plant, and wherein increased nematode resistance comprises the plant having one or more of:
(a) a lower percentage of invading nematodes that develop past the J2 stage,
(b) a lower rate of cyst formation on the roots,
(c) reduced nematode female index of a plant exposed to nematodes,
(d) reduced SCN egg production within cysts,
(e) reduced overall SCN egg production per plant, or
(f) greater yield of soybean seeds on a per-plant basis or a per-growing-area basis, as compared to a plant with-
out increased copy number of said polynucleotides grown in a similar growth environment.
5. The method of claim 1, further comprising increasing 5 expression of said polynucleotides in the plant cells,
wherein said plant cells have increased resistance to nematodes relative to plant cells without increased expression of said polynucleotides.
6. The method of claim 5 , wherein expression of one or more polynucleotides is increased in plant cells in the root of the plant.
7. The method of claim 5, wherein expression of one or more polypeptides is increased by increasing expression of one or more native polynucleotides.
8. The method of claim 5, wherein expression of said polypeptides is increased by introducing a construct comprising a promoter operably linked to a polynucleotide encoding the polypeptide into the plant cells.
9. The method of claim 5, wherein expression of said polypeptides are increased by incorporation of a construct comprising a promoter operably linked to a polynucleotide encoding one of said polypeptides in the plant cells.
10. The method of claim 5 wherein the plant cell exhibits increased expression of each of
a polypeptide according to SEQ ID NO: 1,
a polypeptide according to SEQ ID NO: 2, SEQ ID NO: 5, or SEQ ID NO: 6, and
a polypeptide according to SEQ ID NO: 3 .
11. A transgenic plant cell comprising:
three or more copies of polynucleotides encoding polypeptides capable of increasing resistance to nematodes, the polypeptides comprising:
a polypeptide according to SEQ ID NO: 1, or a poly-
peptide with at least $98 \%$ identity to SEQ ID NO: 1 ,
a polypeptide according to SEQ ID NO: 2, SEQ ID NO:
5 , or SEQ ID NO: 6, or a polypeptide with at least $95 \%$ identity to SEQ ID NO: 2, SEQ ID NO: 5 , or SEQ ID NO: 2, and
a polypeptide according to SEQ ID NO: 3,
wherein said plant cell has increased resistance to nematodes relative to plant cells
without increased copy number of said polynucleotides, and wherein said plant cells are soybean, Arabidopsis or potato.
12. The transgenic cell of claim 11, wherein the polynucleotides are present in at least four copies in the cell.
13. The transgenic cell of claim 11, wherein the polynucleotides are present in at least ten copies in the cell.
14. A seed comprising the transgenic cell of claim 11.
15. A plant grown from the seed of claim 14.
16. A transgenic plant comprising the cell of claim 11.
17. A part, progeny or asexual propagate of the transgenic plant of claim 16.
18. The transgenic plant cell of claim 11, further comprising increasing expression of the polynucleotides encoding said polypeptides capable of increasing resistance to nematodes,
wherein said plant cells have increased resistance to nematodes relative to plant cells without increased expression of said polynucleotides.
19. The transgenic plant cell of claim 18, wherein the polynucleotides encode at least
a polypeptide according to SEQ ID NO: 1,
a polypeptide according to SEQ ID NO: 2, SEQ ID NO: 5 , or SEQ ID NO: 6, and
a polypeptide according to SEQ ID NO: 3.
20. A method of generating a transgenic plant with increased resistance to nematodes comprising introducing into a plant cell or its progeny three or more copies of exogenous polynucleotides encoding one or more polypeptides capable of increasing resistance to nematodes, the polypeptides comprising:
a Glyma18g02580 polypeptide having at least $98 \%$ identity to SEQ ID NO: 1,
a Glyma 18 g 02590 polypeptide having at least $95 \%$; identity to SEQ ID NO: 2,5 or 6 , and
a Glyma 18 g 02610 polypeptide according to SEQ ID NO: 3 , wherein said plant has increased resistance to nematodes relative to a plant without increased copy number of said polynucleotides, and wherein said plant is soybean, Arabidopsis or potato.
21. The method of claim $\mathbf{2 0}$, wherein the polynucleotides are present in at least four copies in the plant.
22. The method of claim 20, further comprising increasing expression of the polynucleotides encoding polypeptides capable of increasing resistance to nematodes,
wherein said plant has increased resistance to nematodes relative to a plant without increased expression of said polynucleotides.
23. The method of claim 22, wherein the polynucleotides encode at least a Glyma 18 g 02610 polypeptide according to SEQ ID NO:3, a Glyma 18 g 02590 polypeptide according to SEQ ID NO:2, 5 or 6 and a Glyma18g02580 polypeptide according to SEQ ID NO:1.
