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## ABSTRACT

A mutated rhinovirus C , methods of creating and methods of propagating thereof, wherein the mutated rhinovirus shows enhanced virus yields after infection and induced visible cytopathic effect.

16 Claims, 12 Drawing Sheets ( 8 of 12 Drawing Sheet(s) Filed in Color)

Specification includes a Sequence Listing.
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## ADAPTED RHINOVIRUS C

## CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 62/203,603 filed on Aug. 11, 2015. This application is incorporated by reference in its entirety.

## STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT

This invention was made with government support under AI104317 awarded by the National Institutes of Health. The government has certain rights in the invention.

## BACKGROUND

Rhinovirus C species (RV-C) was discovered in 2006 and is of special interest because RV-C isolates can cause more severe illnesses in children compared to other rhinoviruses and are closely associated with asthma exacerbations. Applicants developed the first culture systems for RV-C (sinus mucosal organ culture and air-liquid interface (ALI) culture of differentiated airway epithelial cells), the first virus production methods (reverse genetics from viral RNA synthesized in vitro) and discovered that human cadherin-related family member 3 (CDHR3) protein mediates virus binding and replication.

Human rhinoviruses (RVs) are classified into three species (A, B and C) of the Picornaviridae family. They are subdivided further into more than 160 types that are responsible for the majority of upper respiratory tract infections (common colds), and also many lower respiratory tract illnesses (Hayden, 2004; Gem, 2010). The first isolates in the RV-C species were described in 2006 (Lamson et al., 2006; Arden et al., 2006). Consequently, they were classified into 55 types, believed to be synonymous with serotypes, according to sequence diversity thresholds observed in the capsid-coding proteins, VP1 and VP4 (Simmonds et al., 2010; McIntyre et al., 2013). The RV-A and RV-C tend to cause more severe illnesses in young children compared to RV-B. However, RV-C infections are those more closely linked with childhood asthma exacerbations (Bizzintino et al., 2011; Calvo et al., 2010; Cox et al., 2013; Drysdale et al., 2014; Fawkner-Corbett et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2012).

Prototype RV-A and RV-B laboratory strains, representing major and minor receptor groups, are commonly used in vitro to study virus biology and host cell response. These include RV-A1 (subtypes a and b), RV-A2, RV-A16 and RV-B14 (Stanway et al., 1984; Skern et al., 1985; Hughes et al., 1988; Kim et al., 1989; Lee et al., 1995). These particular strains have been passaged multiple times in continuous cell lines (such as HeLa) after their initial clinical isolations, and all of them are now available as fully-sequenced cDNA reagents. As a result, the adapted forms of these recombinant viruses replicate well and induce strong cytopathic effect (CPE) in cell culture (Conant \& Hamparian, 1968). In contrast, typical unpassaged clinical RV isolates generally replicate less efficiently and without visible CPE in the same cell lines, even though their replication in natural host cells (differentiated airway epithelial cells) remains quite robust (Nakagome et al., 2014).

It has been described that human cadherin-related family member 3 (CDHR3) protein can mediate RV-C binding and replication when it is expressed in cultured cells. A trans-
duced HeLa cell line derivative (HeLa-E8) which stably expresses the CDHR3-Y ${ }_{529}$ variant supports propagation of RV-C isolates in these cultures after infection (Bochkov et al., 2015). The HeLa-E8 cell line propagation method is the subject of pending U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/836, 327, incorporated herein in its entirety. C15, a recombinant derivative of a clinical RV-C isolate (Bochkov et al., 2011) replicates to detectable titers in HeLa-E8, yet the progeny yields of this virus after infection never reach the levels achieved in parallel infections by RV-A or RV-B adapted laboratory strains. Moreover, C15 infections of HeLa-E8 cells do not induce visible CPE, a useful phenotypic marker of effective, productive viral synthesis.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

In one embodiment, the present invention is an isolated mutated rhinovirus C , wherein the mutation comprises at least one mutation selected from the group consisting of $\mathrm{T}_{125} \mathrm{~K}$ in rhinovirus C protein VP1, a mutation structurally analogous to $\mathrm{T}_{125} \mathrm{~K}$ in non-C15 strains, $\mathrm{E}_{41} \mathrm{~K}$ in rhinovirus C protein 3 A , and a mutation that is a positional equivalent of $\mathrm{E}_{41} \mathrm{~K}$ in non-C15 strains. Preferably, the rhinovirus C is a clinical isolate selected from the group consisting of C15, C41, and C2. In one embodiment, the rhinovirus C is clinical isolate C15 and the mutation comprises both $\mathrm{T}_{125} \mathrm{~K}$ in rhinovirus C protein VP1 and $\mathrm{E}_{41} \mathrm{~K}$ in rhinovirus C protein 3 A . In another embodiment, the rhinovirus C is clinical isolate C 15 and the mutation comprises $\mathrm{T}_{125} \mathrm{~K}$ in rhinovirus C protein VP1. In another embodiment, the rhinovirus C is clinical isolate C 15 and the mutation comprises $\mathrm{E}_{41} \mathrm{~K}$ in rhinovirus C protein 3 A .

In one embodiment, the rhinovirus C is clinical isolate C41 and the mutation comprises a mutation which is the positional equivalent of $\mathrm{E}_{41} \mathrm{~K}$ in rhinovirus C protein 3 A in strain C15. In another embodiment, the rhinovirus C is clinical isolate C 2 and the mutation comprises a mutation which is the positional equivalent of $\mathrm{E}_{41} \mathrm{~K}$ in rhinovirus C protein 3A in strain C15.

In one embodiment the mutated rhinovirus C additionally comprises a reporter. Preferably, the reporter is selected from the group consisting of DsRed and GFP. In one embodiment, the reporter is positioned upstream of a viral open reading frame and linked by SEQ ID NO:1. In another embodiment, the reporter is between VP1 and 2A and linked by SEQ ID NO:2.

In one embodiment, the present invention is an infected transduced cell line, wherein the cell line expresses CDHR3 and is infected with the mutated rhinovirus C as previously described.

In one embodiment, the present invention is a method of propagating rhinovirus C , comprising the step of infecting a transduced cell line expressing CDHR3 with the mutated rhinovirus C of as previously described. Preferably, the cell line is a HeLa-E8 cell line.

In one embodiment, the present invention is a method of creating a mutated rhinovirus C , wherein the method comprises the steps of introducing at least one mutation selected from the group consisting of $\mathrm{T}_{125} \mathrm{~K}$ in rhinovirus C protein VP1, a mutation structurally analogous to $\mathrm{T}_{125} \mathrm{~K}$ in non-C15 strains, $\mathrm{E}_{41} \mathrm{~K}$ in rhinovirus C protein 3 A , and a mutation that is a positional equivalent of $\mathrm{E}_{41} \mathrm{~K}$ in non-C15 strains, and isolating the mutated rhinovirus C .

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

This patent application file contains at least one drawing executed in color. Copies of this patent or patent application
publication with color drawings will be provided by the Office upon request and payment of the necessary fee.

FIGS. 1A-1C-C15 adaptation. (A) Monolayers of HeLaE8 and HeLa-H1, or differentiated cultures of PBE-ALI cells from three donors, grown in 12 -well plates were infected with A16a, C 15 or C 15 a virus at $2 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{PFUe}$ per well. After 2 h (binding) or 24 h (replication) incubation at $34^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., the cells were analyzed for viral RNA signals by RT-qPCR (means $\pm$ s.d., $n \geq 3$ ). (B) C 15 virus was passaged serially in HeLa-E8 cells as described in Results. Each passage ( 72 h p.i., $\mathrm{MOI}=10$ ) was evaluated for virus titer (PFUe) by RT-qPCR. Polyclonal C15a is defined as the Passage 10 material. (C) Passage 1, Passage 5 and Passage 10 infected cells were visualized ( 72 h p.i.) and photographed by light microscopy. Scale bar is $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Relative CPE is indicated.

FIGS. 2A-2C-C15a mutations. (A) Schematic of the C15 genome shows all missense mutations identified in coding (VP1 and VP3) and non-coding (3A) regions of the C15a population. The dominant mutations (found in $>5$ out of 10 clones) are highlighted. (B) Depiction of a C15a capsid pentamer structure (PDB 5KOU) localizes VP3 $\mathrm{P}_{215}$ and VP1 $\mathrm{T}_{125}$ to the interior and exterior surfaces, respectively. (C) WebLogo (Crooks et al., 2004) depiction for an amino acid sequence alignment of RV sequences ( $\mathrm{n}=335$ ) shows $\mathrm{E}_{41}$ as the dominant residue in this highly conserved position of the 3A protein. Color coding in this panel is by residue type, the overall height of the stack indicates the sequence conservation, while the height of symbols within the stack indicates the relative frequency of each amino acid at that position.

FIGS. 3A-3B C15a recombinants. (A) Recombinant C15 and recombinant C15 harboring one or both dominant adaptive mutations (VP1 $\mathrm{T}_{125} \mathrm{~K}, 3 \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{~T}_{41} \mathrm{~K}$ ) and polyclonal C15a were tested for relative binding affinities ( 2 h ) and replication potential ( 24 h ) in HeLa-E8 and HeLa-H1 cells. Infections per well were initiated with equival ${ }_{e n t} 2 \times 10^{6}$ PFUe. Virus titers were measured by RT-qPCR (means $\pm$ s.d., $n \geq 3$ ). (B) Infected cells were visualized and photographed by light microscopy at 72 h p.i. Scale bar is $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Relative CPE is indicated.

FIGS. 4A-4E-Heparin and heparan sulfate effects. (A) Virus samples ( $5 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{PFUe}$ ) were incubated with heparin (2 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) or medium alone (no heparin) for 30 min at $34^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., before inoculation of HeLa-E8 cells. After an attachment period ( 30 min at room temp, 30 min at $34^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.) the cells were washed ( $3 \times$ PBS), harvested and tested by RT-qPCR for the attached virus RNA signals (means $\pm$ s.d., $n \geq 3$ ). (*) indicates $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ significance (t-test). (B) C 15 a and $\mathrm{C} 15 \mathrm{~K}_{125}$ ( $5 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{PFUe}$ ) were incubated with heparin or heparan sulfate ( $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) as in A, before HeLa-E8 infections and virus binding assays. (C) The C15a experiment in A was repeated using different doses of heparin in the preincubation. (D) HeLa-E8 cells ( 12 well-plates) were treated ( $37^{\circ}$ C., 2 h ) with the indicated dose ( U ) of heparinase I before inoculation with C 15 a virus ( $5 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{PFUe}$ ) and tested for virus binding as in A . ${ }_{(E)}$ ) C 15 a and recombinant C 15 $\mathrm{K}_{125} / \mathrm{K}_{41}$ viruses ( $5 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{PFUe}$ ) were incubated with heparin $\left(1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}, 30 \mathrm{~min}, 34^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$.) before inoculation of HeLa-E8 or HeLa-H1 cells. After an attachment period ( 30 min at room temp, 30 min at $34^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.), the cells were washed with PBS $(3 x)$. Cells were harvested and assayed for the attached virus ( 1 h p.i.) and progeny virus ( 24 h p.i.) RNA signals by RT-qPCR (means $\pm s . d ., n=3$ ).

FIG. 5-C15a plaque assay. Semi-confluent monolayers of HeLa-E8 cells were inoculated with serial dilutions of C15a. After an attachment period ( 30 min ), the plates were overlaid with agarose (Seakem ME, $0.8 \%$ in P6 medium)
and incubated at $34^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. for 96 h before the cells were fixed and stained with crystal violet.

FIGS. 6A-6B-C2 and C41 recombinants. Recombinant (A) C2 and (B) C41 viruses engineered to express the indicated VP1 mutations ( $\mathrm{K}_{122}$ or $\mathrm{K}_{124}$ respectively) and/or $3 \mathrm{~A}_{41}$ mutation, were infected into HeLa-E8 or HeLa-H1 cells (12-well plates, $2 \times 10^{6}$ PFUe per well). Virus titer was measured by RT-qPCR (means $\pm$ s.d., $n \geq 3$ ) as in FIG. 1A.

FIGS. 7A-7C-A16 adaptation. A recombinant A16 virus (pR16.939), derived from a clinical isolate, was passaged serially in HeLa-H1 similar to FIG. 1C. (A) At the indicated passages ( 72 h p.i.), cells were visualized by light microscopy. Scale bar, $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Relative CPE is indicated. (B) Two regional PCR-derived cDNA amplicons (PCR1 and PCR2) from the A16 passage 10 population were sequenced to identify adaptation-specific mutations. Those identified in the 2C gene are indicated; high frequency mutations (found in $>5$ out of 10 clones) are boldface. (C) Engineered recombinant viruses with the indicated mutation(s) were tested relative to A16 and A16al (adapted population) samples, for cell-binding ( 2 h ) and replication ( 24 h ) in HeLa-H1 cells ( 12 -well plates, $10^{6}$ PFUe per well). Virus titers were determined by RT-qPCR (means $\pm s . d ., n=3$ ).

FIGS. 8A-8C-C15 reactivity to a glycan array. (A) Recombinant C15, (B) polyclonal C15a, and (C) recombinant $\mathrm{C} 15 \mathrm{~K}_{125}$ viruses were screened for binding to a mammalian glycan array containing 609 targets (Consortium for Functional Glycomics). The C15 preparation showed no positive signal. The other viruses had only low levels of reactivity to a small fraction of the tested panel of sialylated glycans and disaccharides, including \#11 (Neu5Acb-5p8), \#223 (Fuca 1-2(6S)Galb1-4(6S)Glcb-Sp0), \#310 (GlcNAcb1-3Man-Sp10), and \#321 (Neu5Aca2$8 \mathrm{Neu5Acb}-5 \mathrm{p} 17$ ). Of these, \#11 and \#310 are considered probable spurious background reactions by the test Consortium, and neither \#223 or \#321 register as strong enough signals to be considered reliable evidence for any specific interaction.

FIGS. 9A-9B-Glycan effects on virus binding assays. (A) Recombinant C15 or (B) polyclonal C15a were incubated in media with the indicated sialylated glycans (1 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$, see below) before being tested for binding to HeLaE8 cells. Virus ( $5 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{PFUe}$ ) and glycan were mixed ( 30 $\mathrm{min}, 34^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.), then added to plated cells ( 30 min , room temp), before the samples were again incubated at $34^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. for an additional 30 min . The cells were washed ( $3 \times$ ) before total RNA was extracted and assayed for C15 titer by RT-qPCR. For SNA and MALII samples, the cells, rather than virus were pretreated ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ for 30 min , room temp) before the virus addition step. The plotted values are the averages of duplicate samples ( $\mathrm{n}=2$ ). Glycan reagents include: SLN 2-3 (3'-Sialy-N-acetyllactosamine, V-Labs, Inc), $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$; SLN 2-6 (6'-Sialy-N-acetyllactosamine, V-Labs, Inc), 1 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$; SLN 2-8 (Neu5Ac2-8Neu5Ac2-8Neu5Ac-sp-biotin, GlycoTech), $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$; GlcNac 1-4 (GlcNAcb1-4GlcNAcb-sp-biotin, GlycoTech), $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$; SNA (Sambucus Nigra Lectin, Vector Laboratories), $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$; MAUI (Maackia Amurensis Lectin, Vector Laboratories), $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$.

FIG. 10-C15-DsRed reporter cDNA clones. Schematic of the C15 genome shows localization of the DsRed-Express reporter gene flanked by 2 A protease cleavage sites (-LISSA/GPS). C15-DsRed clone, a recombinant derivative of a clinical C15 isolate, and two C15-DsRed clones harboring one or both dominant adaptive mutations (VP1 $\mathrm{T}_{125} \mathrm{~K}, 3 \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{~T}_{41} \mathrm{~K}$ ) were engineered to produce recombinant viruses expressing DsRed-Express reporter protein upon viral RNA translation and replication.

FIG. 11-RV-C15-DsRed replication in HeLa-E8 cells. Recombinant reporter C15 viruses with the indicated mutation(s) ( $\mathrm{K}_{125}$ in VP1, $\mathrm{K}_{41}$ in 3A) were tested relative to reporter C15-DsRed in HeLa-E8 cells. Infected HeLa-E8 cells were visualized ( 48 h p.i.) for DsRed expression and photographed by fluorescent microscopy. Scale bar is 100 $\mu \mathrm{m}$.

FIG. 12-RV-C15-DsRed replication in HeLa-H1 cells. Recombinant reporter C15 viruses with the indicated mutation(s) ( $\mathrm{K}_{125}$ in VP1, $\mathrm{K}_{41}$ in 3A) were tested relative to reporter C15-DsRed in HeLa-H1 cells. Infected HeLa-H1 cells were visualized ( 48 h p.i.) for DsRed expression and photographed by fluorescent microscopy. Scale bar is 100 $\mu \mathrm{m}$.

## DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is a mutated rhinovirus C for enhanced virus yields after infection and induced visible cytopathic effect (CPE). In one embodiment, the mutated rhinovirus C may be a new reagent or tool for viral infectivity assays.

Applicants previously developed (by lentivirus transduction) a HeLa cell line (HeLa-E8) stably expressing the mutated CDHR3 sequence ( $\mathrm{C}_{529} \mathrm{Y}$ ) with increased cell surface localization of the variant protein that supports propagation of rhinovirus C (RV-C) by infection. The RV-C propagation in the HeLa-E8 transduced cell line is the subject of pending U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/836, 327, incorporated herein in its entirety.

In one aspect, the present invention is a mutation of a RV-C clinical isolate for optimal propagation in a HeLa-H1 cell line or a transduced HeLa-E8 cell line expressing CDHR3. The rhinovirus C (RV-C) may be any known clinical isolate of RV-C. In one embodiment of the present invention, the mutated RV-C is a mutated version of clinical isolate C15. In another embodiment, the mutated RV-C is a mutated version of clinical isolate C2. In another embodiment, the mutated RV-C is a mutated version of clinical isolate C41.

Mutations of the present invention may be selected from $\mathrm{T}_{125} \mathrm{~K}$ in protein VP1 in C15, a mutation structurally analogous to $\mathrm{T}_{125} \mathrm{~K}$ in non-C15 strains, $\mathrm{E}_{41} \mathrm{~K}$ in rhinovirus C 15 protein 3 A , and a mutation that is a positional equivalent of $\mathrm{E}_{41} \mathrm{~K}$ in non-C15 strains. An isolated, mutated RV-C of the present invention may have a mutation $\mathrm{T}_{125} \mathrm{~K}$ in rhinovirus C15 protein VP1, a structurally analogous mutation to T125K in a non-C15 strain, $\mathrm{E}_{41} \mathrm{~K}$ in rhinovirus C protein 3 A , or a positional equivalent mutation to E 41 K in a non-C15 strain. In one embodiment of the present invention the mutated RV-C has both mutations at T125, or its structurally analogous positions, and E41, or its positional equivalent residue. The mutation numbering is consistent with residue numbering found in the following publication: Y. A. Bochkov, A. C. Palmenberg, W. M. Lee, J. A. Rathe, S. P. Amineva, X. Sun, T. R. Pasic, N. N. Jarjour, S. B. Liggett, J. E. Gern, Molecular modeling, organ culture and reverse genetics for a newly identified human rhinovirus C, Nat. Med. 17(2011) 627-632.

The VP1 mutation numbering is different in strain C2 (wherein the mutation is at residue 122) and strain C41 (wherein the mutation is a at residue 124) whereas the 3A mutation numbering (wherein the mutation is at residue 41) is the same as in C15, as is recorded in FIG. 6. As used herein "structurally analogous" refers to the mutation in non-C15 strains that is the equivalent to the VP1 protein $\mathrm{T}_{125} \mathrm{~K}$ mutation in C15 and means that the mutation is at a non-C15 strains and means that the mutation is in a position in the sequence equivalent to the C15 E41 residue in 3 A , as demonstrated in the WebLogo alignment illustrated in FIG. 2C.

For strain C 15 amino acid residues are numbered from the amino-terminus of each individual viral protein, including position 125 in VP1 and position 41 in the 3A protein according to a system commonly used for picornaviruses. The GenBank accession number of the RV-C15 complete genome sequence is GU219984 and the corresponding polyprotein accession number is ACZ67658. Although the fulllength polyprotein residues are consecutively numbered from 1 to 2153 in the GenBank entry, the mutated residues can still be easily found in the published sequence that has individual protein locations in the Features. The mutated residue positions in are $\mathrm{T}_{692} \mathrm{~K}$ in VP1 and $\mathrm{E}_{1454} \mathrm{~K}$ in 3A when using consecutive numbering from the amino-terminus of the whole polyprotein.
The rhinoviral genome consists of 3 coding regions designated P1, P2 and P3. The P1 region encodes the structural (or capsid) proteins whereas the P2 and P3 regions encode the nonstructural proteins associated with replication. There are four genes in P1 (1A, 1B, 1C and 1D) that encode four capsid proteins VP4, VP2, VP3 and VP1, respectively. Therefore, the VP1 protein is encoded by the 1 D gene. As for the nonstructural proteins, gene and protein names are the same so the 3A protein is encoded by the 3A gene. FIG. 2A depicts the viral genome and contains the gene designations.
In one embodiment, the mutated RV-C strain of the present invention induces strong cytopathic effect and replicates vigorously in the HeLa-E8 cells, yielding more than a log higher level of infectious rhinovirus particles compared to that of parental clinical isolate. This adapted virus may be used for large-scale cost-effective production of RV-C by infection and for testing antiviral compounds by infectivity assays (such as virus plaque assay) or utilizing reporter-expressing adapted RV-C.

In some embodiments of the invention, the RV-C construct may additionally include a reporter. A reporter of the present invention may be any fluorescent protein cloned into a mutant or wild-type rhinovirus C. Reporters may include, but are not limited to DsRed and GFP. In one embodiment the reporter is DsRed. In another embodiment the reporter is GFP or enhanced GFP (eGFP).
In one embodiment the reporter is eGFP with the following amino acid sequence (SEQ ID NO:3):

VSKGEELFTGVVPILVELDGDVNGHKFSVSGEGEGDATYGKLTLKFICT

TGKLPVPWPTLVTTLTYGVQCFSRYPDHMKQHDFFKSAMPEGYVQERTI
structural position analogous to the position of $\mathrm{T}_{125} \mathrm{~K}$ as defined in PDB file 5KOU, as illustrated and specifically pointed out in FIGS. 2A-2B. As used herein "a positional equivalent" refers to the 3 A protein $\mathrm{E}_{41} \mathrm{~K}$ mutation in

DNHYLSTQSALSKDPNEKRDHMVLLEFVTAAGITLGMDELYK

In one embodiment the reporter is DsRed-Express with the following amino acid sequence (SEQ ID NO:4):

ASSEDVIKEFMRFKVRMEGSVNGHEFEIEGEGEGRPYEGTQTAKLKVTK
GGPLPFANDILSPQFQYGSKVYVKHPADIPDYKKLSFPEGFKNERVMNF

EDGGVVTVTQDSSLQDGSFIYKVKFIGVNFPSDGPVMQKKTMGWEASTE
RLYPRDGVLKGEIHKALKLKDGGHYLVEFKSIYMAKKPVQLPGYYYVDS
KLDITSHNEDYTIVEQYERAEGRHHLF
The reporter may be cloned into the rhinovirus C construct using any linker sequence. The reporter may be cloned into any position in the rhinovirus construct such that the rhinovirus C may still infect and replicate similar to a construct without the reporter. In one embodiment the linker sequence is the authentic 2 A protease ( $2 \mathrm{~A}^{\text {pro }}$ ) cleavage site (Leu-Ile-Ser-Ser-A1a-/Gly, SEQ ID NO:1) which attaches the reporter upstream of the viral open reading frame. In another embodiment, the linker sequence is a modified $2 \mathrm{~A}^{\text {pro }}$ cleavage site with two additional amino acids (Leu-Ile-Ser-Ser-Ala-/Gly-Pro-Ser, SEQ ID NO:2), in which the reporter is introduced between VP1 and 2A.

In one version of the present invention, the adapted polyclonal C 15 a and recombinant $\mathrm{C} 15-\mathrm{T}_{125} \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{E}_{41} \mathrm{~K}$ derivative yields about 10 -fold more virus progeny compared to the wild-type C15 isolate and induce strong cytopathic effect in HeLa-E8 cells. The mutated viruses in various embodiments described herein now enable large-scale cost-effective RV-C production by infection and the testing of RV-C infectivity by plaque assay. Since the 3 A mutation and its positional equivalent could partially confer adaptation to other recombinant RV-C strains, the mutation can be engineered into additional cDNA clones to enhance virus yields and produce high-titer virus preparations.

These reagents will facilitate viral structure studies, CDHR3 investigations, and potentially, RV-C antiviral development. High titer RV-C preparations are essential for development of polyvalent RV vaccines. Reporter viruses with adaptive mutations can be readily used for monitoring virus spread at the single-cell level in vitro and for highthroughput testing of different antiviral compounds by fluorescent microscopy.

## EXAMPLES

## Example 1

The RV-C15 clinical isolate replicates well (more than 2-log increase in viral RNA from 2 h to 24 h post infection) in HeLa-E8 cells but induces very mild cytopathic effect (CPE) in this cell line. However, RV-C15 progeny yields after infection are still about $1-\log$ lower compared to a HeLa-adapted isolate of RV-A16. The following exemplary embodiment demonstrates the development of a HeLa adapted RV-C15 variant to maximize replication levels and virus yields. The new progeny showed enhanced yields after infection and induced visible CPE, validating a new reagent for viral infectivity assays. Complete genome sequencing of the HeLa adapted RV-C15 variant (RV-C15a) identified mutations causing this more efficient replication. When introduced into RV-C15 cDNA, these mutations recapitulated the adaptive phenotypes, and moreover, could confer them to other RV-C isolates. The following exemplary
embodiment also demonstrates incorporation of the beneficial mutations developed in RV-C15 into other RV-C isolates.
Materials and Methods
Cell Cultures
Bronchial epithelial tissue samples were obtained from residual surgical specimens and cultured at air-liquid interface (fully-differentiated) as described previously (Schroth et al., 1999; Ashraf et al., 2015). The protocol was approved by the University of Wisconsin-Madison Human Subjects Committee. H1-HeLa (ATCC\# CRL-1958), HeLa-E8 (Bochkov et al., 2015) and WisL (human embryonic lung fibroblast) cells were grown in Eagle's Minimum Essential Medium (Lonza) supplemented by non-essential amino acids (Gibco) and $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum (Gemini). Viruses and Infection

Recombinant rhinoviruses were produced by transfecting full-length T7 RNA transcripts synthesized in vitro from linearized plasmid cDNA, into WisL cells. Virus purification was by ultracentrifugation through a sucrose cushion as previously described (Bochkov et a1., 2011; Nakagome et al., 2014). Cells grown in 12- or 24 -well plates (monolayers) or in Transwell polycarbonate inserts ( $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ pore size, Corning; for differentiated cultures of PBE cells) were (typically) inoculated with virus at $2 \times 10^{6}$ PFUe per well (unless another dose is indicated) or ALT insert followed by incubation for $2-24$ hours at $34^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At harvest at 2 h p.i. (binding), the monolayers were washed ( $3 \times \mathrm{PBS}$ ) to remove any unattached inoculum, before lysis with RLT buffer (Qiagen), whereas at 24 h p.i. (replication) $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of culture medium and whole cell lysate samples were collected to estimate total virus progeny yields. Virus titers (plaqueforming unit equivalents, PFUe) were determined by RTqPCR according to standardized RNA preparations. Total RNA was extracted from harvested cells and media samples using RNeasy Mini kits (Qiagen). The RT-qPCR used Power SYBR Green PCR mix (Life Technologies) as previously described (Bochkov et al., 2011).
Inhibition of Virus Binding and Replication
Purified virus ( $5 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{PFUe}$ ) was pre-incubated with tested compounds (sialic acid and sugar di- or trisaccharides, heparin or HS) for 30 min at $34^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. before inoculation of HeLa cell monolayers ( 30 min , room temp; $30 \mathrm{~min}, 34^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.). Cells were washed three times with PBS, lysed with the RLT buffer (Qiagen) and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. before total RNA extraction and RT-qPCR for C15. For SNA and MAUI samples, the cells, rather than virus were pretreated (100 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ for 30 min , room temp) before the virus addition step. HeLa-E8 cells were washed with PBS containing 1 mM $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$ and $1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl} l_{2}\left(\mathrm{PBS}^{++}\right)$and incubated for 2 hours at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. with $2.5-10 \mathrm{U}$ of heparinase I in $\mathrm{PBS}^{++}$before inoculation with purified virus ( $10^{6} \mathrm{PFUe}$ ) for 1 hour at $34^{\circ}$ C.

RNA Extraction and Quantitative (q) RT-PCR.
Total RNA was extracted using the RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen). Viral RNA concentrations were determined by RT-qPCR using Power SYBR Green PCR mix (Life Technologies) as previously described (Bochkov et al., 2011). PCR Amplification and Sequencing of Adapted RVs

In these studies, the parental C15 sequence was according to GenBank accession number GU219984. Total RNA from a sample of the polyclonal C15a (P10) grown in HeLa-E8 monolayers was extracted for RT reactions and primed with random hexamers (Life Technologies) or OligoT-r primer. The viral cDNAs were amplified using C15-specific primers (Table 1). A total of 14 genome-comprehensive PCR amplicons were sequenced directly as a population, and also
cloned in pGEM-T Easy vectors, where out-growth colonies were sequenced individually ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ clones per each product). Sequence data were assembled and compared using Lasergene ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ v. 12 software (DNAStar). Polyclonal HeLa-
adapted A16 (P10) was treated similarly, except that population and cDNA clone sequencing focused on only two genome regions: the VP3-VP1 genes (PCR1), and the 2C-3C genes (PCR2) (Table 1).

TABLE 1

| Primer | Sequence (5'-3') | Assay |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C15-5'end-f TTAAAACTGGGTATAGGTTGTTCC (SEQ ID NO: 5) |  | C15a PCR and sequencing |
| NheI-r | TGGATGGGTCCTGAGAAAAGTC (SEQ ID NO: 6) |  |
| NheI-f | ACTTTGCCCTGGGTGTGTATGAT (SEQ ID NO: 7) |  |
| C15-PasI-r | ACTTTGCCCTGGGTGTGTATGAT (SEQ ID NO: 8) |  |
| BamHI2-r | TCATTTCTAGGGGCAGAACAAG (SEQ ID NO: 9) |  |
| C15-VP2-f | TGGTGCACTCATAGTCGCGGT (SEQ ID NO: 10) |  |
| MluI-f | AACGCCAAGGCTTGCCAACG (SEQ ID NO: 11) |  |
| C15-VP1-r | CTATTGTGGATTCTGGGGTTGCGT (SEQ ID NO: 12) |  |
| BlpI-r | GACTCCCGGGGCCTGGAACATTGGTACTA (SEQ ID NO: 13) |  |
| C15-VP1-f | ATATTGGGTGCCATGGAGATTGGT (SEQ ID NO: 14) |  |
| BlpI-f | GATTGTCGACCTAACTCTAGTGGACCTGATG (SEQ ID NO: 15) |  |
| PflMI-r | TGGGTGAGTCCTCTAGCGATT (SEQ ID NO: 16) |  |
| PflMI-f | GTTATCTAGACCATAGGCATGAACCAGTTTG (SEQ ID NO: 17) |  |
| Mfel-r | CGTTGGTGTTCTGGGATGAACCT (SEQ ID NO: 18) |  |
| Mfel2-r | CCGTCAATTGTGACAGAGTCACCA (SEQ ID NO: 19) |  |
| C15-2C-f | AGTAGAGCAGCTGAGGCATGAGAAT (SEQ ID NO: 20) |  |
| MfeI-f | GAATTCTAGATAACTGTGCGGTGGTGC (SEQ ID NO: 21) |  |
| C15-3D-r | GAAGTTTGGTTACATCCTTTGTCAC (SEQ ID NO: 22) |  |
| BamHI-r | TGTACTGCCCTTGTCTGGTGGAG (SEQ ID NO: 23) |  |
| NdeI-f | ATTATAGCATATGGTGATGATGTAGT (SEQ ID NO: 24) |  |
| 3UTR-r | ATATCCCGGGTTCGAATCGA (SEQ ID NO: 25) |  |
| Oligot-r | ATATCCCGGGTTCGAATCGA (T) (SEQ ID NO: 26) |  |
| VP1-K125-f | GTAACCAACAACAaAGGGTTGATGCAAATAATG (SEQ ID NO: 27) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} 15-\mathrm{K} 125 \text { / } \\ & \mathrm{K} 41 \\ & \text { cloning } \end{aligned}$ |
| VP1-K125-r | CATTATTTGCATCAACCCTtTGTTGTTGGTTAC (SEQ ID NO: 28) |  |
| 3A-K41-f | ACTCAACCATAaAGAGGGATTTTAATTATGTGC (SEQ ID NO: 29) |  |
| 3A-K41-r | AATCCCTCTtTATGGTTGAGTTTGCTTTAC (SEQ ID NO: 30) |  |
| C2-NheI | ACATCAGCTAGCATACATTGGCGCT (SEQ ID NO: 31) | C2-K122 / |
| C2-EcoNI-f | TTACACCCCTCCAGGAGGTGGAT (SEQ ID NO: 32) | K41 |
| C2-EcoNI-r | ACTCTGATACTGCCTGACCAGTTG (SEQ ID NO: 33) | cloning |
| C2-K122-f | GTAACTAATAACAAAGGTTTAATGCAAATCATGTATG (SEQ ID NO: 34) |  |
| C2-K122-r | GCATTAAACCTTTGTTATTAGTTACTATAGTTACTTCC (SEQ ID NO: 35) |  |
| C2-BlpI-r | ACATAATATGCTGAGCCTAAACCAGTAAAG (SEQ ID NO: 36) |  |
| C2-K41-f | CTTATCCTGAGGAGCTCCACCACCTTAAAGAGACACAT |  |
|  | AGACAGAGTACAGCAGGC (SEQ ID NO: 37) |  |
| C2-SexAI-f | GCAGATGTAGGGACAGCAACAC (SEQ ID NO: 38) |  |
| C2-SexAI-r | ATTTCCAAGTACACCACCACACTGA (SEQ ID NO: 39) |  |
| C2-BsiWI | AAGTAACGTACGGTAAATCAATACCATAC (SEQ ID NO: 40) |  |
| C41-K124 | TGGTACCAAACTGATTTCATCCCTTCAGTTAACGCAGGC | C41-K124 |
| gBlock | ACAGGTACCATCATTGCTACTTGTAGCGCCTGCCCTGAC | cloning |
|  | ATGTCTGTTAGGATGATGAGGGATAGTCCAATGATGAA |  |
|  | GCAAGAAGGGAAGCTCCAAAACAATGATCCCGTGGAAT |  |
|  | ССТTCATTCACACAACGCTAGAGGAAGTACTAGTTGTG |  |
|  | CCAGACACCAAACCATCAGGCCCACAACATACTACCAA |  |
|  | ACCATCAGCACTTGGGGCGATGGAAATTGGAGCATCAA |  |
|  | GCGATGCAACTCCTGAATCAGTGATAGAAACTAGGTAT |  |
|  | GTGTTCAACACAAACACCAATGCAGAAGCTGACATTGA |  |
|  | AATGTTTCTGGGTAGATCAGCTTTATGGGCTAATTTGAC |  |
|  | ACTTAGAGAAGGATTCACTGAATGGGAGATAAATTTCC |  |
|  | AGGAGAATGCACACATCAGGAAGAAGTTTGAACTATTC |  |
|  | ACCTATATTAGGTTTGACATGGAAGTTACAATAGTAAC |  |
|  | GAACAACAaAGGGTTAATGCAGATCATGTTCGTGCCAC |  |
|  | CTGGAATCACTGGTCCAAAGAATGCTGAGGATATTCGA |  |
|  | TGGGATTCCGCCTCA (SEQ ID NO: 41) |  |
| C41-NheI | ATCCTTGCTAGCACAAATTTGGACA (SEQ ID NO: 42) | C41-K41 |
| C41-K41-f | TGGTTCTACAGTAAAAAGGGATTTCAACTATGTTCATTAC (SEQ ID NO: 43) | cloning |
| C41-K41-r | TGAAATCCCTTTTTACTGTAGAACCAGCCCTTCCA (SEQ ID NO: 44) |  |
| C41-ApaI | ATGTTCTGGGCCCTGTAGAGTAGCT (SEQ ID NO: 45) |  |
| C41-AjuI | AGAAGCAATGCTTGGTGTGCAT (SEQ ID NO: 46) |  |
| RV16-Ncol | TCTACAATCCACAGTGTCATTGGT (SEQ ID NO: 47) | RV-A16a1 |
| RV16-BstXI | ACAATACGCGAGCACAAAGTTC (SEQ ID NO: 48) | PCR and |
| RV16-EcoRV | GATAATCAGAGTGTAGTAATAATGGATG (SEQ ID NO: 49) | cloning |
| RV16-SnaBI | AGTCATCTTCTGATTCAGGTATGTACT (SEQ ID NO: 50) |  |
| RV16-2C-seq | TTAGAAATTCTTCAGATCCGCA (SEQ ID NO: 51) |  |

Construction of RV Infectious Clones with Adaptive Mutations

Full-length cDNA materials encoding C15, C2, C41 and A16 (pR16.11) infectious genomes have been described (Lee \& Wang, 2003; Bochkov et al., 2011; Nakagome et al., 2014). pR16.939 encodes an RV-A16 clinical isolate that was cloned and provided by Dr. Wai-Ming Lee (Biological Mimetic Inc, Frederick, Md.). Mutated derivatives were engineered by two-step PCR using appropriate flanking and internal primers or dsDNA gBlock gene fragments (Table 1) synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, Iowa). All plasmid DNAs were verified in the regions of interest by sequencing, and then purified by Plasmid Maxi kits (Qiagen) before use in RNA synthesis reactions with T7 polymerase (Promega).
Plaque Assay
The procedure was done as described previously (Sherry \& Rueckert, 1985; Wang et al., 1998) with some modifications. HeLa-E8 cells monolayers were prepared by plating $2.5 \times 10^{6}$ cells per $60-\mathrm{mm}$ dish and then incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. overnight. Cells were infected with 10 -fold serial dilutions of C15a virus for $30 \mathrm{~min}\left(15 \mathrm{~min}\right.$, room temp, $15 \mathrm{~min} 34^{\circ}$ C.). The infected monolayers were overlaid, first with 2.5 ml of $0.8 \%$ agarose (Seakem ME) in medium P6 (Sherry \& Rueckert, 1985), and then (after the agarose solidified) with 2.5 ml of medium P6 containing 4 mM L-glutamine, 4 mM oxaloacetate, 2 mM pyruvate, and 11.2 mM D-glucose. Plaques were allowed to develop at $34^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. for 96 h and then visualized by crystal violet staining.
Results
Serial Passaging of RV-C15 in HeLa-E8 Cells Improves Viral Growth

Preparations of the original recombinant RV-C15 virus (C15) grow well in HeLa-E8 cells; however, the progeny yields are about a log lower compared to a HeLa-adapted (a) strain of RV-A16 (A16a) (FIG. 1A) To adapt the C15 virus, HeLa-E8 cells, grown in 12-well plates, were infected with a sample of recombinant C 15 at an MOI of 10 plaqueforming unit equivalents (PFUe). The virus inoculum was replaced with fresh growth medium 2 h post-infection (p.i) after which the cells were incubated for 72 h at $34^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., then harvested. A sample of the clarified cell lysate was used for the next round of infection in fresh HeLa-E8 cells, after titering for PFUe , and the blind-passage serial process (MOI of 10 ) was repeated a total of 10 times ( P 1 to P10). At each step, the virus titer was monitored by RT-qPCR, and by P10, this value had increased by more than 10 -fold, relative to the P1 starting sample (FIG. 1B). After only five of these passages, however, visual cell monitoring clearly showed strong cytopathic effects (CPE), such as detached and rounded cells. In the P10 infected cells, almost complete cell lysis was evident at 72 h p.i. (FIG. 1C).

This adaptation ultimately resulted in virus samples (C15a) with increased ( $\geq 10$-fold) binding to HeLa-E8 cells, and progeny yields that were consistently at least $10 \times$ higher than the initial C15 material. (FIG. 1A). Surprisingly, when C15a was tested for binding to non-transduced HeLa-H1 cells (parental line to HeLa-E8), the adaptation had clearly affected this parameter too, and the virus reacted nearly equivalently with both cell lines. However, C15a replication in HeLa-E8 was consistently more than 1.5 log higher when compared to the parental HeLa-H1 cells. Similarly, C15a infections caused lysis of HeLa-E8, but this virus could not lyse infected parental cells. When evaluated for infective potential to fully-differentiated cultures of human primary bronchial epithelial cells grown at air-liquid interface, the C15a sample was found to maintain similar cell-binding
potential relative to C 15 , but the replication potential now tended to be about a $\log$ lower for progeny titer (FIG. 1A). Identification of Mutations in C15a Genome Responsible for Adapted Phenotype

To determine the genetic basis of the adapted viral phenotype, total RNA was isolated from the polyclonal P10 virus lysate and 14 overlapping cDNA fragments were amplified by RT-PCR. Complete genome sequencing revealed several missense mutations in both the structural (VP3 and VP1) and nonstructural (3A) proteins of RV-C15a compared to parental RV-C15-wt whereas no changes were found in UTRs (FIG. 2A). Sequence and structural analyses of the highly variable VP1 protein revealed that threonine in position 125 is found in $54 \%$ of RV-C types and mapped this mutation to the viral surface surrounding a "hole" at the 5 -fold axis of symmetry (FIG. 2B) whereas glutamic acid residue in position 41 of 3 A , located at the junction between the helical hairpin and hydrophobic domain at the C-terminal end of the protein, is highly conserved in all sequenced RV-C types as well as in RV-A and RV - B types (FIG. 2C). The only other amino acid residue found at this position in 16 out of 335 sequenced RV strains is also negatively charged aspartic acid. Three dominant (i.e. found both by direct sequencing of PCR products and in the majority of sequenced clones) missense mutations were introduced individually or in combination into the RV-C15 cDNA, and the corresponding recombinant viruses were tested for infectivity.

The results demonstrate that adaptation is acquired by only two key mutations responsible for increased binding ( $\mathrm{T}_{125} \mathrm{~K}$ in VP1) and replication ( $\mathrm{E}_{41} \mathrm{~K}$ in 3A) in HeLa-E8, respectively (FIG. 3A). The third amino acid change ( $\mathrm{P}_{215} \mathrm{~S}$ ) found in VP3 did not have any additive effect on virus binding when introduced together with $\mathrm{T}_{125} \mathrm{~K}$ in VP1 (data not shown). Recombinant RV-C15 containing both of these mutations showed binding and replication levels similar to polyclonal RV-C15a in both control and transduced HeLa cells. Interestingly, a single $\mathrm{E}_{41} \mathrm{~K}$ mutation in 3A not only enhanced RV-C15 replication in HeLa-E8 but showed about 7 -fold increase in vRNA levels in control HeLa-H1 cells confirming that low-level viral entry (and RNA replication) can occur even in the absence of $\mathrm{T}_{125} \mathrm{~K}$ mutation in VP1. Infection with RV-C15- $\mathrm{K}_{125}$ induced mild but visible CPE in HeLa-E8 $48-72 \mathrm{~h}$ p.i., whereas RV-C15 possessing both mutations ( $\mathrm{K}_{125}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{41}$ ) induced stronger CPE which was comparable to that observed after RV-C15a infection (FIG. 3B).
Heparan Sulfate Inhibits C15a Binding
Some RV types and other related enteroviruses can utilize cell surface heparan sulfate (HS) or sialic acid glycans as functional receptors (Zautner et al., 2003; Vlasak et al., 2005; Khan et al., 2007; Israelsson et al., 2010; Tan et al., 2013; Nakagome et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2015). To investigate the novel receptor specificity of RV-C15a vs. wild-type, virus binding was screened in the mammalian glycan array containing 609 targets (Consortium for Functional Glycomics). RV-C15a and RV-C15- $\mathrm{K}_{125}$ exhibited low-level binding to some sialylated glycans and disaccharides whereas RV-C15-wt did not (FIG. 8). However, preincubation with these and some additional glycans did not inhibit RV-C15a binding (FIG. 9). In parallel experiments, however, the recombinant and adapted C15a mutant panels were also tested for heparin (as a less expensive substitute for HS) and HS inhibition effects. A16 and Ala viruses, which use respectively ICAM-1 and LDLR receptors, were not affected by heparin in their interactions with HeLa-E8 cells (FIG. 4A). The same was true for the unadapted C15 virus
which recognizes cells through CDHR3-mediated reactions (Bochkov et al., 2015). But the adaptive mutations within both C15a and C15 $\mathrm{K}_{125}$, now made both viruses susceptible to heparin, reducing their binding titers 17 and 44 -fold, respectively, or back down to the values without the adaptive mutation. Heparin and HS ( $1-2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) both had this effect (FIG. 4B), and the observed degree of inhibition was dependent upon the dose of administered glycan (FIG. 4C). In agreement with other described properties of sulfated proteoglycans, enzymatic pretreatment of HeLa-E8 cells with heparinase I reduced C 15 a binding more than 7 -fold, because presumably, the adapted virus now had fewer cel-lular-displayed HS binding sites available to it (FIG. 4D). Interestingly, when the adapted viruses (polyclonal population or recombinant) were tested comparatively, pretreatment with heparin abolished both binding and replication in the HeLa-H1 cells, but nonetheless, both viruses still replicated (to a degree) in HeLa-E8 cells despite the heparin treatment (FIG. 4E).
Development of an Infectivity Assay for RV-C
Until now, it has not been possible to assess RV-C infectivity so investigators used quantitative RT-PCR to measure viral RNA concentration (Bochkov et al., 2011; McLeish et al., 2012; Schibler et al., 2012; Brebion et al., 2015). To develop a plaque assay for RV-C, we infected HeLa-E8 monolayers with serially tenfold diluted RV-C15a under agarose overlay using standard plaque assay protocol and confirmed formation of small to medium size plaques 96 h p.i. (FIG. 5). This assay enables direct testing of viral titer (i.e. the number of infectious virus particles in plaque forming units), and purification of clonal populations of RV-C. The ratio of total viral particles (or viral RNA copies) to infectious particles in RV-C15a suspensions purified by sucrose-cushion centrifugation was about 200 , which is quite similar to other laboratory strains of RV (e.g. RV-A16). Effects of VP1- $\mathrm{K}_{125}$ and $3 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{K}_{41}$ Mutations on Binding and Replication of RV-C2 and C41

To test whether two amino acid changes found in RV-C15a are type-specific, similar mutations were made in RV-C2 and RV-C41 cDNAs and recombinant viruses were prepared and tested for infectivity in HeLa cells. Mutations in VP1 ( $\mathrm{K}_{122}$ in RV-C2 and $\mathrm{K}_{124}$ in RV-C41) corresponding to $\mathrm{K}_{125}$ found in RV-C15a had no effect on virus binding properties indicating that effects of capsid amino acid changes are type-specific (FIG. 6A-6B). However, $\mathrm{K}_{41}$ mutation in 3A also improved viral replication (2-7 fold) of the both RV-C types. Similarly to RV-C15, the $\mathrm{E}_{41} \rightarrow \mathrm{~K}$ mutation not only enhanced viral replication in HeLa-E8 but also showed increase (3-8 fold) in vRNA levels in control HeLa cells indicating broader species-wide effects of this highly conserved amino acid on 3A protein properties.
RV-A16 Adaptation to HeLa Cells is Mediated by Mutations in 2 C

We next used the same serial passaging approach to determine whether adaptation of a RV-A16 clinical isolate for growth in HeLa cells would occur via similar molecular mechanisms. As with the RV-C15 adaptation kinetics, strong visible CPE was noticed after only five serial passages, and almost complete cell lysis was observed at P10 (FIG. 7A). The RV-A16 adaptation also resulted in about one log higher virus progeny yields compared to wt virus (FIG. 7C). Partial sequencing of the PCR fragment comprising partial 2 C , complete 3 A and 3 B and partial 3 C genes revealed a total of four amino acid changes in C-terminal part of 2C $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{261} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\right.$, $\mathrm{D}_{264} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{K}_{268} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{K}_{281} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ ) that were found in close proximity to each other either singly or in combinations in the same clone (FIG. 7B). Interestingly, three out of four
mutations are substitutions of uncharged glutamine or positively charged lysine with arginine (which also carries a positive charge), and one mutation replaces negatively charged aspartic acid with a tyrosine residue. Infectivity tests with the recombinant RV-A16 viruses ( $n=4$ ) carrying these mutations showed that each of them enhanced viral replication to the levels of adapted RV-A16. Of those three arginine mutations, the $\mathrm{Q}_{261} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ seems to be essential for adaptation because it is dominant among sequenced clones, found alone or in combination in all three tested clones with the HeLa-adapted phenotype, and also present in another adapted RV-A16 strain (pR16.11) described previously (Lee \& Wang, 2003). These findings demonstrate that adaptive mutations in RV non-structural genes are heterogeneous and species and/or type specific, but boost viral replication efficiency to a similar degree (about one log increase in progeny yields vs wt).
Discussion
RV strains that are adapted to cell lines have proven to be very useful for producing high-titer viral suspensions, infectivity assays, and in investigations of molecular pathogenesis. To produce the first laboratory adapted RV-C, serial passages were performed in a transduced HeLa-E8 cell line, and achieved replication levels and visible CPE similar to those of other RV adapted strains. Infectivity tests identified two key mutations responsible for increased binding ( $\mathrm{T}_{125} \mathrm{~K}$ in VP1) and replication ( $\mathrm{E}_{41} \mathrm{~K}$ in 3A) in HeLa-E8, respectively. Surprisingly, RV-C15a acquired an ability to bind to and even replicate in parental $\mathrm{H} 1-\mathrm{HeLa}$ cells, however, replication was much lower compared to that in HeLa-E8 cells, most probably due to inefficient cell entry in the absence of CDHR3 expression. Competitive inhibition experiments to identify the novel binding specificity of RV-C15a showed that HS ubiquitously expressed on cell surfaces might serve as an attachment factor in HeLa cells.

Receptor specificity switch has been documented for some major receptor group RVs (e.g. RV-A89) that were adapted for growth in ICAM-1-deficient cells by serial or alternate passages in HEp-2 and HeLa cells (Reischl et al., 2001; Vlasak et al., 2005). A HEp-2-adapted RV-A89 variant can utilize HS proteoglycans as a cellular receptor (Vlasak et al., 2005). Moreover, it was demonstrated that even a wild-type RV-A54 isolate could use HS proteoglycan as an alternate receptor without adaptation; however, infection via HS is less efficient than that via ICAM-1 (Khan et al., 2007). In contrast to RV-A89, RV-C15 adaptation by passaging in HeLa cells occurred in the absence of selective pressure for binding specificity change. This process has also been observed in some other picornaviruses and alphaviruses (Sa-Carvalho et al., 1997; Klimstra et al., 1998; Smit et al., 2002). Heparin, HS, and heparinase I treatment all inhibited RV-C15a and RV-C15- $\mathrm{K}_{125}$ binding to HeLa-E8 cells to the levels of RV-C15-wt, suggesting that viral binding to CDHR3 was still preserved. In agreement with proposed interactions with negatively charged HS, recently resolved cryo-EM structure of RV-C15a maps the mutated $\mathrm{K}_{125}$ residue in VP1 to the viral surface surrounding a "hole" at the 5 -fold axis of symmetry and rendering the surface more basic (Liu et al., 2016). Interestingly, when similar mutation in VP1 was engineered in RV-C2 and RV-C41 cDNAs, virus binding properties were not affected indicating type-specificity of $\mathrm{T}_{125} \rightarrow \mathrm{~K}$ effects on viral binding.

Picornaviruses replicate their RNA on reorganized cellular membrane structures designated "replication organelles" with the lipid composition significantly different from cellular membranes (Belov, 2014; van der Linden et al., 2015). It has been shown that enteroviral non-structural proteins

2 BC and 3 A possessing hydrophobic domains are involved in this process by interacting with a number of host cell proteins including Golgi-specific brefeldin A resistance guanine nucleotide exchange factor 1 (GBF1), phosphatidylinositol 4 -kinase type III $\beta$ (PI4KIII $\beta$ ) and the Golgi adaptor protein acyl-CoA-binding domain-containing protein 3 (ACBD3) (Wessels et al., 2006; van der Linden et al., 2015). Although less information is available on RV specifically, Mousnier et al. have demonstrated that transient expression of RV-A16 3A protein by transfection disrupts the Golgi structure and inhibits cellular protein secretion (Mousnier et al., 2014). RV replication depends on GBF1 and PI4KIIIß but not on ACBD3, and PI4KIIIß recruitment to replication sites is mediated by the 3A protein (Dorobantu et al., 2015). Interestingly, single-point mutations in 3A protein of RV and some other related picornaviruses allowed them to aquire resistance to some antiviral compounds (e.g. enviroxime) via bypassing their replication dependency on host factors such as PI4KIII (Heinz \& Vance, 1995; van der Schaar et al., 2012; Dorobantu et al., 2016).

Notably, single mutation of highly conserved $\mathrm{E}_{41}$ to K in RV-C15 3A protein enhanced viral replication in HeLa-E8, and to a lesser extent in CDHR3-deficient H1-HeLa cells indicating an alternative low-level viral entry mechanism likely independent of both CDHR3 and HS binding. Moreover, the amino acid change in 3A also enhanced replication of the additional RV-C types, suggesting that this mutation could enhance replication of RV-C species in general, perhaps by optimizing 3A for replication complex formation in HeLa cells.

In summary, this exemplary embodiment demonstrates developed the first lab strain of RV-C adapted for efficient growth and induction of strong CPE in transduced HeLa-E8 cells, and identified mechanisms for adaptation related to increased binding via interaction with HS proteoglycans ( $\mathrm{T}_{125} \rightarrow \mathrm{~K}$ in VP1) and enhanced replication ( $\mathrm{E}_{41} \rightarrow \mathrm{~K}$ in 3A), respectively.

## Example 2

The following exemplary embodiment describes reporter viruses useful in high-throughput screens for compounds

```
<160> NUMBER OF SEQ ID NOS: 51
<210> SEQ ID NO 1
<211> LENGTH: 6
<212> TYPE: PRT
<213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
<220> FEATURE:
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
<400> SEQUENCE: 1
Leu Ile Ser Ser Ala Gly
1 5
<210> SEQ ID NO 2
<211> LENGTH: 8
<212> TYPE: PRT
<213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
<220> FEATURE:
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
<400> SEQUENCE: 2
Leu Ile Ser Ser Ala Gly Pro Ser
1 5
```

$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 3
$<211>$ LENGTH: 238
$<212>$ TYPE: PRT
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 3

$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 4
$<211>$ LENGTH: 223
$<212>$ TYPE: PRT
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 4


$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 5
$<211>$ LENGTH: 24
$<212>$ TYPE $:$ DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 5
ttaaaactgg gtataggttg ttcc 24
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 6
$<211>$ LENGTH: 22
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 6
tggatgggtc ctgagaaaag tc
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 7
$<211>$ LENGTH: 23
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 7
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 8
$<211>$ LENGTH: 23
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 8

```
<210> SEQ ID NO 9
<211> LENGTH: }2
<212> TYPE: DNA
```

$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 9
tcatttctag gggcagaaca ag 22
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 10
$<211>$ LENGTH: 21
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 10
tggtgcactc atagtcgcgg t 21
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 11
$<211>$ LENGTH: 20
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 11
aacgccaagg cttgccaacg
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 12
$<211>$ LENGTH: 24
$<212>$ TYPE : DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 12
ctattgtgga ttctggggtt gcgt
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 13
$<211>$ LENGTH: 29
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 13
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 14
$<211>$ LENGTH: 24
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 14
atattgggtg ccatggagat tggt
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 15
$<211>$ LENGTH: 31
$<212>$ TYPE : DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 15
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 16
$<211>$ LENGTH: 21
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 16
tgggtgagtc etctagcgat $t \quad 21$
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 17
$<211>$ LENGTH: 31
$<212>$ TYPE : DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 17
gttatctaga ccataggcat gaaccagttt $g$
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 18
$<211>$ LENGTH: 23
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 18
cgttggtgtt ctgggatgaa cct
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 19
$<211>$ LENGTH: 24
$<212>$ TYPE $:$ DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 19
ccgtcaattg tgacagagtc acca 24
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 20
$<211>$ LENGTH: 25
$<212>$ TYPE : DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 20
agtagagcag ctgaggcatg agaat 25
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 21
$<211>$ LENGTH: 27
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 21
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 22
$<211>$ LENGTH: 25
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 22
gaagtttggt tacatccttt gtcac

$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 23
$<211>$ LENGTH: 23
$<212>$ TYPE : DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 23
tgtactgccc ttgtctggtg gag 23
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 24
$<211>$ LENGTH: 26
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 24
attatagcat atggtgatga tgtagt 26
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 25
$<211>$ LENGTH: 20
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 25
atatcccggg ttcgaatcga
<210> SEQ ID NO 27
<211> LENGTH: 33
<212> TYPE: DNA
<213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence <220> FEATURE:
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
<400> SEQUENCE: 27
gtaaccaaca acaaagggtt gatgcaaata atg
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 28
$<211>$ LENGTH: 33
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 28
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 29
$<211>$ LENGTH: 33
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 29
actcaaccat aaagagggat tttaattatg tgc
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 30
$<211>$ LENGTH: 30
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 30
aatccctctt tatggttgag tttgctttac
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 31
$<211>$ LENGTH: 25
$<212>$ TYPE : DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 31
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 32
$<211>$ LENGTH: 23
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 32
ttacacccct ccaggaggtg gat
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 33
$<211>$ LENGTH: 24
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 33
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 34
$<211>$ LENGTH: 37
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: syntehtic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 34
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 35
gcattaaacc tttgttatta gttactatag ttacttcc
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 36
$<211>$ LENGTH: 30
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 36
acataatatg ctgagcctaa accagtaaag
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 38
$<211>$ LENGTH: 22
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 38
gcagatgtag ggacagcaac ac
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 39
$<211>$ LENGTH: 25
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 39
atttccaagt acaccaccac actga
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 40
$<211>$ LENGTH: 29
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 40
aagtaacgta cggtaaatca ataccatac
<210> SEQ ID NO 41
<211> LENGTH: 588
<212> TYPE: DNA
<213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
<220> FEATURE:
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
<400> SEQUENCE: 41
tggtaccaaa ctgatttcat ccettcagtt aacgcaggca caggtaccat cattgctact 60
tgtagcgcct gccetgacat gtctgttagg atgatgaggg atagtccaat gatgaagcaa 120
gaagggaagc tccaaaacaa tgatcccgtg gaatccttca ttcacacaac gctagaggaa 180

| gtactagttg tgccagacac caaccatca ggcccacaac atactaccaa accatcagca | 240 |
| :--- | :--- |
| cttggggcga tggaaattgg agcatcaagc gatgcaactc ctgaatcagt gatagaaact | 300 |
| aggtatgtgt tcaacacaaa caccaatgca gaagctgaca ttgaaatgtt tctgggtaga | 360 |
| tcagctttat gggctaattt gacacttaga gaaggattca ctgaatggga gataaatttc | 420 |
| caggagaatg cacacatcag gaagaagttt gaactattca cotatattag gtttgacatg | 480 |
| gaagttacaa tagtaacgaa caacaaaggg ttaatgcaga tcatgttcgt gccacctgga | 540 |
| atcactggtc caaagaatgc tgaggatatt cgatgggatt cogcctca | 588 |

$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 42
$<211>$ LENGTH: 25
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 42
atcettgcta gcacaaattt ggaca 25
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 43
$<211>$ LENGTH: 40
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 43
tggttctaca gtaaaaagg atttcaacta tgttcattac 40
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 44
$<211>$ LENGTH: 35
$<212>$ TYPE : DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 44
tgaaatccct tttactgta gaaccagccc ttcca
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 45
$<211>$ LENGTH: 25
$<212>$ TYPE : DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION : synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 45
atgttctggg cectgtagag tagct
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 46
$<211>$ LENGTH: 22
$<212>$ TYPE : DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 46

```
<210> SEQ ID NO 47
<211> LENGTH: 24
<212> TYPE: DNA
```

$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 47
<400> SEQUENCE: 47
tctacaatcc acagtgtcat tggt 24
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 48
$<211>$ LENGTH: 22
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 48
acaatacgcg agcacaaagt tc 22
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 49
$<211>$ LENGTH: 28
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE : 49
gataatcaga gtgtagtaat aatggatg
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 50
$<211>$ LENGTH: 27
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 50
agtcatcttc tgattcaggt atgtact
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 51
$<211>$ LENGTH: 22
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: synthetic
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 51

We claim:

1. An isolated mutated rhinovirus C , wherein the mutation is $\mathrm{E}_{41} \mathrm{~K}$ in rhinovirus C protein 3 A or a mutation in the amino acid at a positional equivalent of $\mathrm{E}_{41}$ in protein 3 A to K in non-C15 strains.
2. The virus of claim $\mathbf{1}$, wherein the rhinovirus C is a clinical isolate selected from the group consisting of C 15 , C 41 , and C 2 .
3. The virus of claim 2, wherein the rhinovirus C is clinical isolate C 15 and the mutation comprises $\mathrm{E}_{41} \mathrm{~K}$ in rhinovirus C protein 3 A .
4. The virus of claim 2, wherein the rhinovirus $C$ is clinical isolate C 15 and the mutation comprises both $\mathrm{E}_{41} \mathrm{~K}$ in rhinovirus C protein 3 A and $\mathrm{T}_{125} \mathrm{~K}$ in rhinovirus C protein VP1.
5. The virus of claim 2 , wherein the rhinovirus C is clinical isolate C 41 and the mutation is $\mathrm{E}_{41} \mathrm{~K}$ in rhinovirus C protein 3A in strain C41.
6. The virus of claim 2, wherein the rhinovirus C is clinical isolate C 2 and the mutation is $\mathrm{E}_{41} \mathrm{~K}$ in rhinovirus C protein 3A in strain C2.
7. The virus of claim 1, wherein the mutated rhinovirus $C$ additionally comprises a reporter.
8. The virus of claim 7, wherein the reporter is selected from the group consisting of DsRed and GFP.
9. The virus of claim 7, wherein the reporter is positioned upstream of a viral open reading frame and linked by SEQ ID NO:1.
10. The virus of claim 7, wherein the reporter is between VP1 and 2A and linked by SEQ ID NO:2.
11. A method of propagating rhinovirus $C$, comprising the step of infecting a transduced cell line expressing CDHR3 with the mutated rhinovirus $C$ of claim 1 .
12. The method of claim 11, wherein the cell line is a HeLa-E8 cell line.
13. The method of claim 11, wherein the rhinovirus C is a clinical isolate selected from the group consisting of C15, C 41 , and C 2 .
14. The method of claim 13 , wherein the rhinovirus C is clinical isolate C 15 and the mutation comprises both $\mathrm{T}_{125} \mathrm{~K} \quad 5$ in rhinovirus $C$ protein VP1 and $E_{41} \mathrm{~K}$ in rhinovirus C protein 3A.
15. A method of creating a mutated rhinovirus $C$, wherein the method comprises the steps of introducing $\mathrm{E}_{41} \mathrm{~K}$ in rhinovirus C protein 3A of strain C15 or a mutation that is 10 a positional equivalent of $\mathrm{E}_{41} \mathrm{~K}$ in non-C15 strains, and isolating the mutated rhinovirus C .
16. An isolated mutated rhinovirus $C$ produced by the method of claim 15.
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